EuroIntervention

USage of chitosan for Femoral (USF) haemostasis after percutaneous procedures: a comparative open label study

Joel Arbel¹, MD; Eliezer Rozenbaum¹, MD; Orna Reges¹, MPH; Yoram Neuman¹, MD; Alex Levi¹, MD; Jacob Erel¹, MD; Abdel R. Haskia¹, MD; Menachem Caneti¹, MD; Michael Sherf², MD; Morris Mosseri^{1*}, MD, FESC

1. Cardiac division, Meir Medical Center, Kfar-Sava, Israel (affiliated with the Sackler School of Medicine Tel-Aviv University); 2. Soroka Medical Center, Ben Gurion University, Beersheba, Israel

This trial was supported by a non-restrictive grant from HemCon Medical Technologies, Inc., Portland, OR, USA. ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00716365 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

KEYWORDS

Chitosan, vascular access, haemostasis, coronary angiography

Abstract

Aims: To test the efficacy and safety of a chitosan pad for femoral haemostasis as an adjunct to manual compression. Haemostasis of the femoral artery after coronary angiography by manual compression is time consuming and uncomfortable for the patient. Closure devices are costly and do not reduce vascular complication rate. The HemCon[®] pad is used by the US army to control traumatic bleeding. It consists of chitosan, a positively charged carbohydrate that attracts the negatively charged blood cells and platelets and promotes clotting.

Methods and results: Patients undergoing percutaneous coronary angiography were 1:1 randomised for manual compression with regular or HemCon[®] pad. All patients were catheterised with 6 Fr sheath and received 2500 u of heparin. Time to haemostasis, incidence of minor and major bleeding, haematoma size, post-procedural stay at the hospital and level of satisfaction were compared between the two groups. Seventy patients in the HemCon group and 66 patients in the regular pad groups were recruited. Activated clotting time (ACT) before manual compression was similar, 183.9 ± 43.4 and 178.3 ± 34.2 seconds in the HemCon[®] and regular pad groups, respectively. Time to haemostasis was 5.6 ± 2.1 and 8.4 ± 3.5 minutes in the HemCon[®] and regular pad groups, respectively (p<0.001). Haematoma developed in 6% and 14.8% of patients in the HemCon[®] and regular pad group, respectively (p=0.14).

Conclusions: The HemCon[®] pad significantly decreased time-to-haemostasis compared to the regular pad. The total incidence of haematoma tended to be lower in the HemCon[®] pad compared to the regular pad group.

* Corresponding author: Meir Medical Center, 59 Tshernichovsky St., 44281 Kfar-Sava, Israel

E-mail: morris.mosseri@clalit.org.il

© Europa Edition 2011. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Most coronary diagnostic and therapeutic procedures are performed via the femoral artery. After completion of the procedure, haemostasis of the artery is achieved by applying local pressure either manually or by mechanical devices. This is uncomfortable for the patient, time consuming for the physician and occasionally is complicated with vascular events that increase morbidity and hospital duration and reduce patient satisfaction¹. Closure devices have shortened pressure time but they are costly and do not reduce the vascular complication rate²⁻⁴.

The HemCon[®] pad (HemCon Medical Technologies, Inc., Portland OR, USA) is used routinely in the US and other countries' armies to control traumatic bleeding⁵. It consists of a carbohydrate called chitosan (Figure 1) produced by deacetylation of chitin, which is extracted from the shells of shrimps, lobsters and beetles. The positively charged chitosan molecules attract the negatively charged blood cells and platelets, thus promoting clotting.

The aim of the present trial was to study the efficacy of the HemCon[®] pad as an adjunct to manual compression to reduce time-tohaemostasis after percutaneous coronary angiography.



Figure 1. The chitosan molecule. The chitosan molecule is produced by deacetylation of chitin.

Methods

This was a single center, randomised, open label, placebo-controlled study.

Endpoints

The primary efficacy endpoint was comparison of time to haemostasis of HemCon[®] to regular pads. Secondary endpoints included vascular complication rate and patients' satisfaction regarding time to sitting incline and time to ambulation.

Patients

Included in the study were 18-80 year-old patients undergoing diagnostic coronary angiography with a 6 Fr sheath through the right femoral artery. All patients received intravenous 2500 u of

Heparin after insertion of the introducer into the femoral artery, and the contrast medium used was lopromide.

Excluded were patients who had systolic blood pressure >150 mm Hg prior to sheath removal; who received >2500 U Heparin, LMWH or platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antagonists eight hours before or during the angiography; with known bleeding tendency, disturbed clotting system or platelet function; and patients with evidence of bleeding or haematoma at the access site prior to sheath removal. The study was approved by the hospital ethical committee and all patients gave written informed consent for participation in the trial.

Study protocol

HAEMOSTASIS

After completion of coronary angiography a 1:1 randomisation to ${\rm HemCon}^{\circledast}$ or regular (control) pad was performed.

Before the removal of the arterial sheath, a blood examination was taken for activated clotting time (ACT) and the result was recorded without affecting sheath removal timing or procedure.

The femoral sheath was removed by one of three senior operators at the patient's bed immediately after the procedure. One to 2 ml of blood was allowed to exit from the access site and pressure with a HemCon[®] or regular pad (according to randomisation) was then applied manually for five minutes. After five minutes the operator released the pressure. If the bleeding continued, application of local pressure was resumed. After this stage, the operator was allowed to release the pressure after every five minutes or a longer (but not shorter) period of time depending on his impression and sense after first release. The total number of pressure releases and the total time to haemostasis were recorded.

After achieving haemostasis a pressure bandage was applied at the access site as practiced routinely in our hospital.

BED REST AND AMBULATION

Minimal bed rest duration was two hours. Total bed rest time was recorded.

IN HOSPITAL FOLLOW-UP

- A blood count was taken on the morning following the procedure.
- Haematomas were measured and recorded. Our definition for major haematoma was stricter than used in the literature⁶ and included a decrease in haemoglobin of ≥3.0 g/dl or need of any blood transfusion.
- Twenty five percent of all patients were randomly assigned to a duplex examination of the femoral artery at the access site performed both 3-4 hours after sheath removal and the day after the procedure.

DISCHARGE

Patients were routinely discharged one day after the procedure. Complications or adverse events were noted at the patient's medical file and recorded in the clinical research form on discharge.

OUT OF HOSPITAL FOLLOW-UP

One day after discharge patients were contacted by phone and asked for new procedural complications such as groin pain or haematoma, and if needed were invited to the hospital for further



examination. During the phone call patients were asked also of their satisfaction of the ambulation procedure at the scale of 1-10 (10 mostly satisfactory).

Statistics

With the assumption that the HemCon® pad will decrease time to haemostasis (the primary endpoint) by 30%, the calculated number of patients needed for the study (with alpha 0.05 and power 0.8) was 278. The following data were compared between the two groups: Patients' demographics (age and gender), BMI, coronary angiography duration in minutes, time to haemostasis, bed rest duration, incidence of any haematoma and of major haematoma, haematoma size, post procedural stay at the hospital, and level of satisfaction evidenced in post-procedure satisfaction surveys.

Patients' data was stored on an Access database. Chi-square tests were used for categorical variables and independent sample 2-sided *t*-test for continuous variables. Analyses were performed using SPSS-17 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). *P*<0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

Results

The study was stopped after recruiting 136 patients (70 in the HemCon[®] and 66 in the control group) because an interim analysis showed the mean time to haemostasis was significantly lower with HemCon[®] compared to the regular pad group.

All patients received their assigned treatment with no crossovers. There were no significant difference in demographic data, BMI, medications given before angiography and risk factors between the HemCon[®] pad and the regular pad groups (Table 1).

Procedural data after angiography are given in Table 2. There was no difference between the two groups in procedure duration, and in blood pressure and ACT upon arterial sheath removal.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

	HemCon® (n=70)	Regular pad (n=66)	P value
Age	63.5±9.8	61.8±9.4	0.29
Male (%)	52 (74.3)	51 (77.3)	0.69
Body mass index	28.1±4.0	27.4±3.3	0.30
Indication for coronary angiograph	ıy (%)		
Stable angina	18 (25.7)	13 (19.7)	0.66
Unstable angina	21 (30)	27 (40.9)	
Valvular heart disease	5 (7.1)	5 (7.6)	
Dilated CMP	5 (7.1)	4 (6.1)	
Chest pain	17 (24.3)	11 (16.7)	
Other*	4 (5.7)	6 (9.1)	
Comorbid risk factors			
Peripheral vascular disease	1 (1.4)	2 (3.2)	0.60
Peptic ulcer disease or			
Gastro-intestinal bleeding	4 (5.8)	3 (4.5)	1.00
History of CVA or TIA	4 (5.7)	7 (10.6)	0.35
Hypertension	48 (68.6)	42 (63.6)	0.59
DM	24 (34.3)	19 (28.8)	0.58
Hypercholesterolaemia	52 (74.3)	49 (74.2)	1.00
Smoking history	41 (58.6)	41 (62.1)	0.73
Currently smoking	24 (58.5)	19 (46.3)	0.38

*MI or Silent ischaemia

Table 2. Procedural information.

	HemCon® (n=70)	Regular pad (n=66)	P value
Medications (%)			
Aspirin	41 (58.6%)	40 (60.6%)	0.86
Clopidogrel	15 (22.1%)	8 (12.1%)	0.17
Heparin	70 (100.0%)	66 (100.0%)	
Procedural data			
Coronary angiography			
duration (min)	14.5±7.2	14.9±7.9	0.84
Activated clotting time (sec)	183.9±43.4	178.3±34.2	0.41
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	134.2±15.3	138.0±11.7	0.13
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	72.8±9.9	76.4±10.6	0.06
Arterial sheath removal			
Total number of pressure			
releases	1.1±0.4	1.6±0.7	< 0.001
Total time to bleeding			
cessation (minutes)	5.6±2.1	8.4±3.5	<0.001

Haemostasis following sheath removal was achieved after five minutes in 90% and 43.9% in the HemCon[®] and control groups respectively (p<0.001) (Figure 2). The mean time to haemostasis following sheath removal was lower with the HemCon[®] pad by 33% compared to the conventional manual compression (5.6 \pm 2.1 vs. 8.4 \pm 3.5 minutes, p<0.001).

Clinical outcomes are given in Table 3. There was no major haematoma or bleeding event in either group. Minor haematomas occurred in four patients (6%) in the HemCon group and in nine



Figure 2. Distribution of time to haemostasis in the HemCon and the regular pad groups. Most patients in the control (regular pad) group needed 10-15 minutes of manual compression in order to achieve haemostasis of the femoral artery. After five minutes of manual compression 90% of patients in the HemCon group achieved femoral haemostasis compared to 43.9% in the control group (p<0.001).



Table 3. Outcomes.

	HemCon® (n=70)	Regular pad (n=66)	P value
Bed rest (minutes)	384.7±237.0	363.8±216.7	0.61
Patients with haematoma (%)	4 (6.6)	9 (14.8)	0.14
If yes, size in cm	4.7±4.8	3.7±2.4	0.59
Haemoglobin (g%) Before procedure At discharge	13.7±1.5 13.3±1.7	13.9±1.4 13.7±1.3	0.47 0.2
Discharge medication (%) Aspirin Clopidogrel	64 (91.4) 10 (14.3)	53 (81.5) 8 (12.1)	0.13 0.80
Post-procedural stay at the hospital (days)	1.3±0.8	1.2±1.2	0.5
Patients' satisfaction	9.7±0.6	9.4±1.0	0.4

patients (14.8%) in the control group (p=0.14) without significant drop in haemoglobin and without need for blood transfusion. There was no bleeding after discharge in either group.

There was no difference in time to ambulation and in postprocedural stay at the hospital between the two groups, and there was no difference between the two groups regarding their satisfaction from the procedure of sheath removal and follow-up.

Twelve patients in the HemCon and eight patients in the control group were elected randomly for duplex examination of the femoral artery. No patient in the HemCon group and one patient in the regular pad group had pseudo-aneurysm.

Discussion

Local vascular complications at the site of sheath insertion are among the most common problems seen in cardiac catheterisation. These include acute vascular dissection, pseudo-aneurysm, arterio-venous fistula, thrombosis with or without distal embolisation and poorly controlled bleeding manifested as a free haemorrhage or contained haematoma in the thigh or retroperitoneal space. Haemorrhage and haematoma are usually evident within 12 hours after the procedure. The risk for adverse events in an individual patient is dependent on his demographics, cardiovascular anatomy, comorbidities, type of procedure performed and the experience of the operator^{1,7-16}.

The traditional method for haemostasis at the access site is local hand-applied pressure¹⁷. Mechanical clamp pressure saves staff time and reduces vascular complications¹⁸ but might be painful and uncomfortable for the patient and does not decrease the need of staff attendance to ensure that the device remains in the correct position. Closure devices seal the arterial puncture with plug of collagen and polymer, sutures and the like¹⁹⁻²³. They eliminate the need for prolonged arterial compression and reduce the interval of bed rest. Increased risk of local infection or endarteritis by closure devices, a rare but ominous complication has been reported (0.3% in one series)^{3,4}. Two recent propensity analyses have shown trends that were either neutral²⁴ or favoured vascular closure devices²⁵. However these were single site studies at hospitals with extensive experience with vascular closure. To date, there have been no large scale randomised clinical trials comparing the outcomes of using vascular closure devices to manual compression.

The use of topical haemostasis patches as adjuncts to manual compression has grown rapidly over the last decade but the evidence-based data on their clinical benefit is limited. Some of these patches incorporate thrombin or calcium while others include a polysaccharide-based pro-coagulant. In the study by Narins et al, time to haemostasis was improved but this did not translate into a clinically meaningful difference²⁶. Recently, chitosan – a polymer of poly-d-glucosamine and poly-n-acetyl-d-glucosamine found in the shells of shrimp, lobster and beetles have been shown effective in promoting haemostasis^{27,28}. The chitosan positively charged molecules attract the negatively charged blood cells and platelets thus promoting clotting. The HemCon® pad is composed of chitosan. Preclinical surgical and trauma models²⁹⁻³¹ have been successfully treated with the HemCon[®] bandage. Chitosan-based haemostatic dressing has been found effective in controlling bleeding related to traumatic battle-field injuries^{5,32-33}. US military personnel in the Iraqi and Afghanistan conflicts have used the HemCon[®] bandage to successfully stop arterial haemorrhage not controlled by conventional bandages in 64 patients without adverse effects^{34,5}. The HemCon[®] bandage is also an FDA-cleared haemostatic dressing suitable for use in haemodialysis access puncture³⁵.

Retrospective analysis of patients with patches containing poly-nacetyl-d- glucosamine³⁶ and thrombin³⁷ undergoing cardiac catheterisation or EP study demonstrated low incidence of major or minor vascular complications, but were not compared to conventional manual compression. A randomised study in patients undergoing PCI demonstrated a significant reduction in time to haemostasis with the use of either of two chitosan-based patches compared to manual compression alone³⁸. Patients undergoing diagnostic procedures were not included in the study. Another randomised study in PCI patients used a haemostasis patch with a polysaccharide based pro-coagulant (SafeSeal[®] patch; Medrad, Inc., Warrendale, PA, USA). Time to haemostasis was somewhat lower in the haemostasis patch arm (11.8±3.6 versus 13.8±5.8 minutes)²⁶.

In the present study we found that the HemCon[®] pad significantly decreased time to haemostasis by 33% from 8.4 to 5.6 minutes. Although the absolute decrease in time is not dramatic, it is meaningful in terms of shortening the painful manual pressure application which is frequently the most unpleasant part of the whole experience. Saving 2.8 minutes per patient is also significant for a busy operator who performs 10 procedures a day. The number of patients with mild haematoma at discharge tended to be lower in the HemCon group compared to regular pad. Although mild haematoma has negligible clinical significance, it is sometimes the only thing the patient remembers. Duplex examination of the femoral artery performed in a small group of patients excluded vascular complications such as subcutaneous haematoma, pseudo-aneurysm and arterial-venous fistula.

Although this clinical trial was performed only in patients who underwent diagnostic angiography, the intravenous infusion of 2,500 units of heparin included in the protocol increased the ACT to 180 seconds. This implies that our results may be extended to patients undergoing interventional procedure with higher doses of



heparin provided the ACT is checked and allowed to decrease below 200 seconds. This assumption needs to be substantiated in future clinical trials.

Patient's satisfaction was very high in both groups and therefore did not disclose any difference between the groups.

Patients' demographics, risk factors and medications taken prior to angiography in this study represent the patients population who undergo diagnostic coronary angiography with no angioplasty at our laboratory (most of them with non-significant coronary disease), and there was no difference between the HemCon[®] and regular pad groups.

The trial's planned sample size was 139 subjects per treatment arm. During the trial, HemCon's superiority to regular pads became apparent and it was decided to compare the arms earlier than planned. The statistical test yielded a very significant result (p<0.001) for both mean time to haemostasis and percentage of patients achieving haemostasis after five minutes. Based on the statistical guidelines of the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH), we recommended that the trial under the current exploratory protocol be discontinued and its conclusion would be that HemCon[®] is superior to regular pads in this indication.

Limitations

The open label design could bias the results because the operators were aware of the pad used for haemostasis. Since the HemCon[®] pad is composed totally of chitosan, it looks different from regular pads and it would be very difficult to produce a placebo pad for pursuing a double blinded study. The HemCon[®] pad was used as an adjunct to manual compression and the intensity of manual compression was not controlled. However, complications were rare and this factor did not affect our results. The similarity between groups regarding time to discharge is a reflection of our practice to observe patients until the day after the diagnostic catheterisation. Early time to discharge is relevant for practices that discharge patients within a few hours, and should be addressed in a different study. We did not perform cost-benefit analysis because the HemCon[®] pad was not yet marketed in our country and its price has not been determined.

In summary, the HemCon[®] pad significantly decreased time-tohaemostasis compared to regular pads in patients undergoing percutaneous femoral angiography. The total incidence of haematoma tended to decrease in the HemCon[®] pad compared to the control group.

References

1. Baim DS, Grossman W. Complications of cardiac catheterization. In: Cardiac Catheterization, Angiography and Intervention, Baim, DS, Grossman, W (Eds), Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore 1996. p.17.

2. Nikolsky E, Mehran R, Halkin A, Aymong ED, Mintz GS, Lasic Z, Negoita M, Fahy M, Krieger S, Moussa I, Moses JW, Stone GW, Leon MB, Pocock SJ, Dangas G. Vascular complications associated with arteriotomy closure devices in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary procedures: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;44:1200-1209.

3. Geary K, Landers JT, Fiore W, Riggs P. Management of infected femoral closure devices. *Cardiovasc Surg.* 2002;10:161-163.

4. Cherr GS, Travis JA, Ligush J Jr, Plonk G, Hansen KJ, Braden G, Geary RL. Infection is an unusual but serious complication of a femoral artery catheterization site closure device. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2001;15:567-570.

5. Wedmore I, McManus JG, Pustareti AE, Holcomb JB. A special report on the chitosan-based hemostatic dressing: Experience in current combat operations. *J Trauma*. 2006;60:655-658.

6. Steinhubl SR, Kastrati A, Berger PB. Variation in the definitions of bleeding in clinical trials of patients with acute coronary syndromes and undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions and its impact on the apparent safety of antithrombotic drugs. *Am Heart J.* 2007;154:3-11.

7. Johnson LW, Lozner EC, Johnson S, Krone R, Pichard AD, Vetrovec GW, Noto TJ. Coronary angiography 1984-7: A report of the registry of the Society for Cardiac Angiography and Interventions I. Results and complications. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn*. 1989;17:5-10.

8. Hamon M, Baron JC, Viader F, Hamon M. Periprocedural stroke and cardiac catheterization. *Circulation*. 2008;118:678-683.

9. Dorros G, Cowley MJ, Simpson J, Bentivoglio LG, Block PC, Bourassa M, Detre K, Gosselin AJ, Grüntzig AR, Kelsey SF, Kent KM, Mock MB, Mullin SM, Myler RK, Passamani ER, Stertzer SH, Williams DO. Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty: Report of complications from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute PTCA registry. *Circulation.* 1983;67:723-730.

10. Kennedy JW. Complications associated with cardiac catheterization and angiography. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn*. 1982;8:5-11.

11. Noto TJ, Johnson LW, Krone R, Weaver WF, Clark DA, Kramer JR Jr, Vetrovec GW. Cardiac catheterization 1990: A report of the registry of the Society for Cardiac Angiography and Interventions. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn*. 1991;24:75-83.

12. Laskey W, Boyle J, Johnson LW. Multivariable model for prediction of risk of significant complication during diagnostic cardiac catheterization. The Registry Committee of the Society for Cardiac Angiography & Interventions. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn.* 1993;30:185-190.

13. Wyman RM, Safian RD, Portway V, Skillman JJ, McKay RG, Baim DS. Current complications of diagnostic and therapeutic cardiac catheterization. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1988;12:1400-1406.

14. King KM, Ghali WA, Faris PD, Curtis MJ, Galbraith PD, Graham MM, Knudtson ML. Sex differences in outcomes after cardiac catheterization: effect modification by treatment strategy and time. *JAMA*. 2004;291:1220-1225.

15. Hemmelgarn BR, Southern DA, Humphries KH, Culleton BF, Knudtson ML, Ghali WA. Refined characterization of the association between kidney function and mortality in patients undergoing cardiac catheterization. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:1191-1197.

16. Omran H, Schmidt H, Hackenbroch M, Illien S, Bernhardt P, von der Recke G, Fimmers R, Flacke S, Layer G, Pohl C, Lüderitz B, Schild H, Sommer T. Silent and apparent cerebral embolism after retrograde catheterisation of the aortic valve in valvular stenosis: a prospective, randomised study. *Lancet.* 2003;361:1241-1246.

17. El-Jack SS, Ruygrok PN, Webster MW, Stewart JT, Bass NM, Armstrong GP, Ormiston JA, Pornratanarangsi S. Effectiveness of manual pressure hemostasis following transfemoral coronary angiography in patients on therapeutic warfarin anticoagulation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2006;97:485-488.

18. Pracyk JB, Wall TC, Longabaugh JP, Tice FD, Hochrein J, Green C, Cox G, Lee K, Stack RS, Tcheng JE. A randomized trial of vascular hemostasis techniques to reduce femoral vascular complications after coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998;81:970-976.



19. Ward SR, Casale P, Raymond R, Kussmaul WG 3rd, Simpfendorfer C. for the Angio-Seal Investigators. Efficacy and safety of a hemostatic puncture closure device with early ambulation after coronary angiography. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998;81:569-572.

20. Kapadia SR, Raymond R, Knopf W, Jenkins S, Chapekis A, Ansel G, Rothbaum D, Kussmaul W, Teirstein P, Reisman M, Casale P, Oster L, Simpfendorfer C. The 6Fr Angio-Seal arterial closure device: results from a multimember prospective registry. *Am J Cardiol.* 2001;87:789-791.

21. Silber S, Gershony G, Schön B, Schön N, Jensen T, Schramm W. A novel vascular sealing device for closure of percutaneous arterial access sites. *Am J Cardiol.* 1999;83:1248-1252.

22. Silber S, Tofte AJ, Kjellevand TO, Grube E, Gershony G. Final report of the European multi-center registry using the Duett vascular sealing device. *Herz.* 1999;24:620-623.

23. Baim DS, Knopf WD, Hinohara T, Schwarten DE, Schatz RA, Pinkerton CA, Cutlip DE, Fitzpatrick M, Ho KK, Kuntz RE. Suture-mediated closure of the femoral access site after cardiac catheterization: results of the suture to ambulate and discharge (STAND I and STAND II) trials. *Am J Cardiol.* 2000;85:864-869.

24. Applegate RJ, Sacrinty MT, Kutcher MA, Baki TT, Gandhi SK, Santos RM, Little WC. Propensity score analysis of vascular complications after diagnostic cardiac catheterization and percutaneous coronary intervention 1998-2003. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interve*. 2006;67:556-562.

25. Arora N, Mathney ME, Sepke C, Resnic FS. A propensity analysis of the risk of vascular complications after cardiac catheterization procedures with the use of closure devices. *Am Heart J.* 2007;153:606-611.

26. Narins CR, Zareba W, Rocco V, McNitt S. A prospective randomized trial of topical hemostasis patch use following percutaneous coronary and peripheral intervention. *J Invasive Cardiol.* 2008;20:579-584.

27. Chou TC, Fu E, Wu CJ, Yeh JH. Chitosan enhances platelet adhesion and aggregation. *Biochem Biophys Res Comm.* 2003;302:480-483.

28. Fischer TH, Bode AP, Demcheva M, Vournakis JN. Hemostatic properties of glucosamine-based materials. *J Biomed Materials Res.* 2007;80A:167-174.

29. Gustafson SB, Fulkerson P, Bildfell R, Aguilera L, Hazzard TM. Chitosan dressing provides hemostasis in swine femoral arterial injury model. *Prehospital emergency care.* 2007;11:172-178.

30. Pausateri AE, McCarthy SG, Gregory KW, Harris RA, Cardenas L, McManus AT, Goodwin CW Jr. Effect of chitosan-based hemostatic dress-

ing on blood loss and survival in a model of severe venous hemorrhage and hepatic injury in swine. *J Trauma*. 2003;54:177-182.

31. Acheson EA, Kheirabadt BS, Deguzman R, Dick EJ Jr, Holcomb JB. Comparison of hemorrhage control agents applied to lethal extremity arterial hemorrhages in swine. *J. Trauma.* 2005: 59: 865-875.

32. Alam HB, Burris D, DaCorta JA, Rhee P. Hemmorrhage control in the battlefield: Role of new hemostatic agents. *Military Med.* 2005;170:63-69.

33. Pustareti AE, Holcomb JB, Kheirabadi BS, Alam HB, Wade CE, Ryan KL. Making sense of the preclinical literature on advanced hemostatic products. *J Trauma.* 2006;60:674-682.

34. Kheirabadi BS, Pausateri AE. Development of hemostatic dressings for use in military operations. Paper presented at the RTO HFM Symposium on "combat casualty care in ground based tactical situations: Trauma technology and emergency medical procedures", held in St. Pete Beach, USA, 16-18 August 2004, and published in RTO-MP-HFM-109.

35. Bachtell N, Goodell T, Grunkemeier G, Jin R, Gregory K. Treatment of dialysis access puncture wound bleeding with chitosan dressing. *Dialysis & transplantation.* 2006;35:672-681.

36. Nader RG, Gracia JC, Drushal K,Pesek T. Clinical evaluation of SyvekPatch in patients undergoing interventional, EPS and diagnostic cardiac catheterization procedures. *J Invasive Cardiol.* 2002;14:305-307.

Excerpt from a reviewer

The authors present a randomised open label trial using chitosan vs. manual compression for diagnostic cardiac catheterisation. The sample size appears to be adequate to prove the primary endpoint which was time to haemostasis. The difference (roughly 2.8 minutes) is statistically significant; however, the clinical relevance of this study is limited since time to ambulation or time to discharge did not vary between groups. The similarity between groups regarding time to discharge may only be a reflection of the local practice where patients appear to be observed for 24 hours after diagnostic catheterisation, but early time to ambulation or discharge may be a more clinically relevant endpoint especially for practices that require discharging patients a few hours after a diagnostic catheterisation.

