## Two sides to every story: the trade-off between paravalvular leakage and the occurrence of conduction disturbances in transcatheter heart valves



Jan-Malte Sinning, MD, FESC; Eberhard Grube\*, MD, FACC, FSCAI Department of Medicine II, Heart Center Bonn, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany

This year, we are celebrating the 15<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the first transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI). Several randomised trials paved the way for TAVI which has now become the new standard of care for high and intermediate surgical risk patients with non-inferior outcome compared to surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR)<sup>1,2</sup>.

Increasing operator experience, better patient selection, and clinical best practice recommendations have helped to optimise procedural results. However, this development would never have been successful without design modifications of the existing devices and the introduction of so-called next-generation transcatheter heart valves (THV)<sup>3</sup>.

The LOTUS<sup>™</sup> aortic valve (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA, USA) was CE marked in 2013 and has so far been a promising "new kid on the block" but was withdrawn from the market in February 2017. This THV is a fully repositionable, mechanically expandable device with a paravalvular sealing mechanism to reduce further the degree of paravalvular leakage (PVL)<sup>4</sup>.

With their analyses of the REPRISE II Extended Cohort (consisting of 250 high surgical risk patients) in this issue of EuroIntervention, Meredith et al<sup>5</sup> and Dumonteil et al<sup>6</sup> show that the LOTUS valve leads to good clinical outcomes at 30 days and at one year with minimal PVL (1.8% moderate/severe PVL). However, this very low

degree of PVL comes at the price of a significantly higher occurrence of conduction disturbances (CD) that required the implantation of a permanent pacemaker (PPM) in 36.0% of the patients.

## Articles, see page 788 and page 796

These results are confirmed by the recently published RESPOND registry analysing outcomes of 996 LOTUS patients with a 30-day PPM implantation rate of 34.7% in pacemakernaïve patients. The rate of PVL was the lowest ever reported (in 92% of patients PVL was trace or absent, 0.3% had moderate PVL) in this large registry<sup>7</sup>.

We already know from several TAVI studies that appropriate oversizing prevents the development of relevant PVL<sup>8,9</sup>. However, as radial force exerted by the prosthetic valve increases (whether by increasing valve size or by the addition of a paravalvular sealing skirt or cuff), the incidence of PVL decreases but also the occurrence of CD increases due to compression of the conduction system tissue itself. Hence, this inverse relationship between PVL and CD may indicate a trade-off in the choice of valve size between the potential development of PVL and the requirement for a new PPM<sup>6</sup>. Furthermore, the association between implant depth and new CD is firmly established and may be explained by the physical interaction of the ventricular aspect of the prosthesis stent frame with the conduction system<sup>10,11</sup>.

\*Corresponding author: Department of Medicine II, Heart Center Bonn, University Hospital Bonn, Sigmund-Freud-Str. 25, 53105 Bonn, Germany. E-mail: grubee@aol.com

In the REPRISE II Extended Cohort, Dumonteil et al found that overstretch, particularly of the left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT), was significantly and independently associated with increased need for PPM implantation with the LOTUS valve<sup>6</sup>. Although the authors found only a trend towards a significantly lower PPM implantation rate in patients with a more shallow depth of implantation ( $\leq$ 5 mm), this might also have contributed to the high pacemaker implantation rate which varied considerably between centres. Nonetheless, Meredith et al showed that the LOTUS valve leads to very good haemodynamics with a very low degree of PVL<sup>5</sup>.

Recent data suggest that measures can be taken to achieve a lower PPM rate with the LOTUS valve, such as an expanded prosthesis size matrix which may help to avoid CD related to valve oversizing, and implanting the valve in a higher position with Depth Guard<sup>™</sup> technology (Boston Scientific) to reduce the interaction of the prosthesis frame with the conduction system and the LVOT during deployment<sup>7</sup>.

Only when these measures have been taken will we be able to assess whether there are still "two sides to the LOTUS story" or whether the trade-off between PVL and the occurrence of CD will be less pronounced with the re-introduction of the revised LOTUS valve generation.

## Conflict of interest statement

J-M. Sinning has received speaker honoraria and research grants from Medtronic, Edwards Lifesciences and Boston Scientific. E. Grube is a proctor for Medtronic and Boston Scientific and has received speaker honoraria from Medtronic and Boston Scientific.

## References

1. Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack MJ, Makkar RR, Svensson LG, Kodali SK, Thourani VH, Tuzcu EM, Miller DC, Herrmann HC, Doshi D, Cohen DJ, Pichard AD, Kapadia S, Dewey T, Babaliaros V, Szeto WY, Williams MR, Kereiakes D, Zajarias A, Greason KL, Whisenant BK, Hodson RW, Moses JW, Trento A, Brown DL, Fearon WF, Pibarot P, Hahn RT, Jaber WA, Anderson WN, Alu MC, Webb JG; PARTNER 2 Investigators. Transcatheter or Surgical Aortic-Valve Replacement in Intermediate-Risk Patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374:1609-20.

2. Reardon MJ, van Mieghem NM, Popma JJ, Kleiman NS, Søndergaard L, Mumtaz M, Adams DH, Deeb GM, Maini B, Gada H, Chetcuti S, Gleason T, Heiser J, Lange R, Merhi W, Oh JK, Olsen PS, Piazza N, Williams M, Windecker S, Yakubov SJ, Grube E, Makkar R, Lee JS, Conte J, Vang E, Nguyen H, Chang Y, Mugglin AS, Serruys PW, Kappetein AP; SURTAVI Investigators. Surgical or Transcatheter Aortic-Valve Replacement in Intermediate-Risk Patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376:1321-1331.

3. Sinning JM, Werner N, Nickenig G, Grube E. Next-generation transcatheter heart valves: current trials in Europe and the USA. *Methodist Debakey Cardiovasc J.* 2012;8:9-12.

4. Meredith Am IT, Walters DL, Dumonteil N, Worthley SG, Tchétché D, Manoharan G, Blackman DJ, Rioufol G, Hildick-Smith D, Whitbourn RJ, Lefèvre T, Lange R, Müller R, Redwood S, Allocco DJ, Dawkins KD. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement for severe symptomatic aortic stenosis using a repositionable valve system: 30-day primary endpoint results from the REPRISE II study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:1339-48.

5. Meredith IT, Nicolas D, Blackman DJ, Didier T, Walters DL, Hildick-Smith D, Ganesh M, Harnek J, Worthley SG, Rioufol G, Lefevre T, Modine T, van Mieghem NM, Feldman T, Allocco DJ, Dawkins KD. Repositionable percutaneous aortic valve implantation with the LOTUS valve: 30-day and 1-year outcomes in 250 high-risk surgical patients. *EuroIntervention*. 2017;13:788-95.

6. Dumonteil N, Meredith IT, Blackman DJ, Didier T, Hildick-Smith D, Spence MS, Walters DL, Harnek J, Worthley SG, Rioufol G, Lefevre T, Modine T, van Mieghem NM, Feldman T, Houle VM, Allocco DJ, Dawkins KD. Insights into the need for permanent pacemaker following implantation of the repositionable LOTUS valve for transcatheter aortic valve replacement in 250 patients: results from the REPRISE II trial with extended cohort. *EuroIntervention.* 2017;13:796-803.

7. Falk V, Wöhrle J, Hildick-Smith D, Bleiziffer S, Blackman DJ, Abdel-Wahab M, Gerckens U, Linke A, Ince H, Wenaweser P, Allocco DJ, Dawkins KD, van Mieghem NM. Safety and efficacy of a repositionable and fully retrievable aortic valve used in routine clinical practice: the RESPOND Study. *Eur Heart J.* 2017 Jun 22. [Epub ahead of print].

8. Sinning JM, Hammerstingl C, Vasa-Nicotera M, Adenauer V, Lema Cachiguango SJ, Scheer AC, Hausen S, Sedaghat A, Ghanem A, Müller C, Grube E, Nickenig G, Werner N. Aortic regurgitation index defines severity of peri-prosthetic regurgitation and predicts outcome in patients after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;59:1134-41.

9. Sinning JM, Vasa-Nicotera M, Chin D, Hammerstingl C, Ghanem A, Bence J, Kovac J, Grube E, Nickenig G, Werner N. Evaluation and management of paravalvular aortic regurgitation after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62:11-20.

10. Piazza N, Nuis RJ, Tzikas A, Otten A, Onuma Y, García-García H, Schultz C, van Domburg R, van Es GA, van Geuns R, de Jaegere P, Serruys PW. Persistent conduction abnormalities and requirements for pacemaking six months after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *EuroIntervention*. 2010;6:475-84.

11. Petronio AS, Sinning JM, Van Mieghem N, Zucchelli G, Nickenig G, Bekeredjian R, Bosmans J, Bedogni F, Branny M, Stangl K, Kovac J, Schiltgen M, Kraus S, de Jaegere P. Optimal Implantation Depth and Adherence to Guidelines on Permanent Pacing to Improve the Results of Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement With the Medtronic CoreValve System: The CoreValve Prospective, International, Post-Market ADVANCE-II Study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:837-46.