HNIQU





Peregrine Green¹, BMBCh; Shveta Monga¹, MBBS; Steve Ramcharitar^{1*}, BMBCh, DPhil, PhD; Colm Hanratty², MD, FRCPI

1. Wiltshire Cardiac Centre, Great Western Hospital, Swindon, United Kingdom; 2. Belfast City Hospital, Belfast Health and Social Care Trust, Belfast, Northern Ireland, United Kingdom

Series Editors: Philipp Kahlert¹, MD; Jerzy Pregowski², MD; Steve Ramcharitar³, MD; Christoph Naber⁴, MD 1. Department of Cardiology, West German Heart Center Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany; 2. Institute of Cardiology, Warsaw, Poland; 3. Wiltshire Cardiac Centre, Great Western Hospital, Swindon, United Kingdom; 4. Contilia Heart and Vascular Centre, Elisabeth Krankenhaus, Essen, Germany

This paper also includes supplementary data published online at: http://www.pcronline.com/eurointervention/92nd_issue/216

Introduction

In this chapter of Tools and Techniques, the authors present an update on coronary guidewires for chronic total occlusions (CTO). The following is a summarised overview of guidewire selection. The complete, unabridged version with images is available online at: http://:www.pcronline.com/eurointervention/92nd_issue/216.

Coronary guidewires are fundamental to the interventional cardiologist. The wide selection of commercially available guidewires can sometimes be confusing, often leading to random choices being made. Previously in this series, Erglis¹ elegantly strove to address this by outlining the salient features of workhorse wires. This update expands on the previous work but aims primarily to provide an overview of guidewire selection, specifically in the treatment of CTO. Recommendations concerning wire choices are described for: 1) access, 2) microchannel crossing, 3) direct penetration, 4) collateral crossing, 5) knuckling, 6) re-entering and 7) externalisation of the wire².

Guidewire composition and characteristics

Coronary guidewire characteristics include torque control, trackability, steerability, flexibility, prolapse tendency, radiopacity/visibility, tactile feedback, crossing and support¹. The basic composition of guidewires is well established **(Figure 1, Table 1)**, and it is well known that there is a trade-off between lubricity and tactile response Table 1. Key features of the various guidewire components.

Component	Description				
Central core	Inner, stiffest part. Commonly stainless steel or nitinol, or a combination of the two. Imparts differential stiffness along wire's length.				
Distal tip	Distal part. Core-to-tip usually has a radiopaque material, e.g., platinum/iridium alloys. An attached shaping ribbon or composite gives flexibility and allows reshaping. Covered in coils (spring tip) or polymer. Longer tapered tip - more trackable, less prolapse tendency, less support. Shorter tip - less trackable, more prolapse tendency, more support.				
Covering	Optional polymer or plastic layer over core instead of spring coils.				
Coating	Hydrophobic (silicone/Teflon) outer coating aids easy advancement. Hydrophilic outer coating becomes a gel when wet to reduce surface friction and increase wire "slipperiness".				

(Figure 2). In addition to the classic core-to-tip and shaping ribbon tip designs, coronary guidewires are becoming increasingly sophisticated as can be seen in the newer, composite "dual coil" cores from ASAHI Intecc (Aichi, Japan), where a rope coil wraps around the central core and then the whole wire is encased in a spring coil to aid torque transmission further. Moreover, newer wires may have both hydrophobic and hydrophilic coatings, e.g., a mainly

DOI: 10.4244/EIJV1119A216

*Corresponding author: Wiltshire Cardiac Centre, Great Western Hospital, Marlborough Road, Swindon, SN3 6BB, United Kingdom. E-mail: steve.ramcharitar@gmail.com



Figure 1. Schematic drawing of basic guidewire (polymer and non-polymer wires) design.

hydrophilic coating for lubricity but a hydrophobic distal 1-2 cm tip for tactile feedback. Wire stiffness is measured as its tip load – the force (grams) to deflect the wire by 2 mm, when suspended 10 mm from its tip. In CTOs wire stiffness can be enhanced via a microcatheter or an "over-the-wire" balloon.

Choosing the right wires for CTOs

There are four ways in which a CTO can be approached² - wire escalation techniques, whereby the guidewire is manipulated through the occlusion usually with a microcatheter. Wires with different properties are used at different stages throughout the procedure. This can be either from an antegrade or from a retrograde approach (antegrade wire escalation [AWE] or retrograde wire escalation [RWE]). When wire-based strategies are unlikely to be successful (usually in more complex long occlusions) then dissection techniques can be employed. The operator deliberately uses a guidewire or dedicated equipment (CrossBoss[™]; Boston Scientific, Fremont, CA, USA) to dissect through or around the occlusion with the aim of re-entering the vessel distal to the CTO either with a standard guidewire (Subintimal Tracking and Reentry [STAR]³ or Limited Antegrade Subintimal Tracking [LAST]²) or with specific equipment (Stingray[™] balloon and Stingray[™] guidewire; Boston Scientific). Retrograde dissection re-entry (RDR or reverse controlled antegrade and retrograde subintimal tracking [reverse CART]) is the fourth method. Once retrograde access is achieved, dissection is performed. When antegrade and retrograde equipment is overlapping, a balloon is used to connect the spaces and allow the guidewire to be manoeuvred through.

With these techniques in mind, guidewires can then be classified according to their role in the various techniques.

- 1. Access to access the proximal cap, or a side branch, to anchor a balloon or a retrograde collateral channel and to allow delivery of a microcatheter or balloon.
- Microchannel crossing to find micro connections through a CTO which are not appreciated angiographically.
- Direct penetration to puncture and steer the penetrative wire or a different wire through the CTO segment and enter into the distal lumen. This is now referred to as antegrade wire escalation.



Figure 2. Hydrophilic and hydrophobic wires and coatings, and the relationship between lubricity and tactile response.

- 4. Collateral crossing (CC) via septal or epicardial vessels which are usually small, often tortuous and easy to damage.
- 5. Knuckling the deliberate advancement of a wire which has been intentionally prolapsed.
- 6. Re-entering a penetrative wire (e.g., Confianza; ASAHI Intecc) is used to attempt a directed re-entry into the true lumen as close to the distal cap as possible. The Stingray balloon as part of the BridgePoint family of devices (Boston Scientific) can also facilitate this.
- Externalisation use of a long wire through a retrograde microcatheter, into the antegrade guide catheter and out of the antegrade guide hub.

Suggested recommendations of preferred wires for each CTO technique are given in **Table 2**.

Conclusion

Guidewire characteristics are determined by their composition and design, and a large range of different wires is commercially available. The treatment of CTOs by PCI is complex, and the selection of guidewires according to their specific properties needs to be tailored to the lesion in question and is vital to procedural success. We have summarised the core characteristics of the guidewires which are required in each step, with suggestions for individual wire selection.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Erglis A. Tools and Techniques: Coronary guidewires. *EuroIntervention*. 2010;6:168-9.

2. Brilakis ES, Grantham JA, Rinfret S, Wyman RM, Burke MN, Karmpaliotis D, Lembo N, Pershad A, Kandzari DE, Buller CE, DeMartini T, Lombardi WL, Thompson CA. A percutaneous treatment algorithm for crossing coronary chronic total occlusions. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012;5:367-79.

3. Colombo A, Mikhail GW, Michev I, Iakovou I, Airoldi F, Chieffo A, Rogacka R, Carlino M, Montorfano M, Sangiorgi GM, Corvaja N, Stankovic G. Treating chronic total occlusions using subintimal tracking and reentry: the STAR technique. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2005:64;407-11.

Table 2. Recommendation of preferred wires.

Use		Manufacturer	Name	Tip load	Coating	Cover	Diameter	Tip composition
Access	1	ASAHI	SION blue	0.5 g	Hydrophilic Hydrophobic tip	None	0.014"	20 cm spring coil
	2	Abbott Vascular	BMW Universal	0.6 g	Hydrophilic	Intermediate polymer sleeve	0.014"	Shaping ribbon
	3	Terumo	Runthrough NS Intermediate	3.6 g	Hydrophilic	None	0.014"	
Microchannel crossing	1	ASAHI	Fielder XT	0.8 g	Hydrophilic	Polymer	0.014" 0.009" tip	16 cm spring coil
	2	ASAHI	Fielder XT-A	1.0 g	Hydrophilic	Polymer	0.014" 0.010" tip	16 cm spring coil
Direct penetration	1	ASAHI	Confianza PRO family	9 g/12 g	Hydrophilic Hydrophobic tip	None	0.014" 0.009" tip	20 cm spring coil
	2	Abbott Vascular	PROGRESS 200T	13.0 g	Hydrophilic uncoated tip	Intermediate polymer	0.014" 0.009" tip	
	3	ASAHI	Gaia family (First/Second/ Third)	1.7 g / 3.5 g / 4.5 g	Hydrophilic	None	0.014" 0.010"/0.011"/0.012" tip	15 cm spring coil
	4	Abbott Vascular	PILOT 200	4.1 g	Hydrophilic	Full polymer	0.014"	
Collateral crossing	1	ASAHI	SION	0.7 g	Hydrophilic	None	0.014"	28 cm spring coil
	2	ASAHI	Fielder XT-R	0.6 g	Hydrophilic	Polymer	0.014" 0.010" tip	16 cm spring coil
	3	Abbott Vascular	PILOT 50	1.5 g	Hydrophilic	Full polymer	0.014"	
	4	ASAHI	Fielder FC	0.8 g	Hydrophilic	Polymer	0.014"	11 cm spring coil
	5	ASAHI	SION black	0.8 g	Hydrophilic	Polymer	0.014"	12 cm spring coil
Knuckling	1	ASAHI	Fielder XT	0.8 g	Hydrophilic	Polymer	0.014" 0.009" tip	16 cm spring coil
	2	ASAHI	Fielder FC	0.8 g	Hydrophilic	Polymer	0.014"	11 cm spring coil
	3	Abbott Vascular	PILOT 50	1.5 g	Hydrophilic	Full polymer	0.014"	
	4	Abbott Vascular	PILOT 200	4.1 g	Hydrophilic	Full polymer	0.014"	
Re-entering	1	ASAHI	Confianza	9 g	Hydrophobic	None	0.014" 0.009" tip	20 cm spring coil
	2	Boston Scientific	Stingray wire	12 g	Hydrophilic	None	0.014" 0.0035" tip	20 cm spring coil
Externalisation	1	ASAHI	RG3	3 g	Hydrophilic	None	0.014" 0.010" tip	8 cm spring coil