

Thrombi outside the left atrial appendage: “small potatoes”?



Moniek Maarse, MD; Lucas V.A. Boersma, MD, PhD; Martin J. Swaans*, MD, PhD

St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia and is associated with the development of atrial thrombi and the risk of embolisation. A detrimental manifestation after embolisation of these thrombi is ischaemic stroke, with 15-20% of all ischaemic strokes occurring in patients with AF¹. The increased thromboembolic risk depends on various risk factors. Therefore, current guidelines recommend risk stratification by CHA₂DS₂-VASc score to estimate the need for oral anticoagulation (OAC) therapy in patients with AF².

Several randomised controlled trials (RCTs) demonstrated a two-thirds reduction in the incidence of stroke using vitamin K antagonists (VKA) compared to placebo in patients with AF³. For several decades VKA treatment was the gold standard, until novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs) were introduced, showing similar efficacy in thromboembolic risk reduction⁴. However, the use of (N)OACs still needs rigorous therapy compliance and carries a concomitant risk for bleeding complications. The fear of severe bleedings causes patients with AF to be undertreated. In patients with a contraindication for long-term OAC therapy, percutaneous left atrial appendage closure (LAAC) is an upcoming and promising alternative⁵.

The origin of the thromboembolic risk in patients with AF has been thoroughly investigated for many decades. Blood stasis in the atria, resulting from atrial mechanical dysfunction during AF, causes a prothrombotic environment. Shear stress causing atrial myocardial damage during AF stimulates the expression of endothelial prothrombotic factors and activates inflammatory cells and platelets, forming the basis for thrombogenesis by Virchow's triad⁶. The left atrial appendage (LAA) has been pointed out as the most frequent origin of thrombi in patients with non-valvular AF. The most cited meta-analysis presenting thrombus location in non-valvular AF patients observed a 91% prevalence of thrombi located in the LAA⁷. **Table 1** illustrates a selection of earlier reports on this subject⁷⁻¹³.

In this issue of EuroIntervention, Cresti and colleagues present an observational study on the prevalence of extra-LAA thrombus in patients scheduled for electrical cardioversion (ECV)¹⁴.

Article, see page 225

A total of 1,420 patients (61% male, mean age 70±10 years) were enrolled. These patients had non-valvular AF or atrial flutter lasting >48 hours, did not use adequate anticoagulation and

*Corresponding author: St. Antonius Ziekenhuis, Koekoekslaan 1, 3435 CM Nieuwegein, the Netherlands.

E-mail: m.swaans@antoniusziekenhuis.nl

Table 1. Selection of available literature on thrombus location in patients with AF.

	N	Thrombus*	LAA	LAC	RAA
Cresti et al ¹⁴ 2019	1,420	87 (6%)	87 (100%)	1 (1%)	3 (4%)
Prior studies [#]					
Cresti et al ¹³ 2016	1,081	104 (10%)	99 (95%)	NR	8 (7%)
Cresti et al ¹² 2014	983	96 (10%)	91 (90%)	3 (3%)	7 (8%)
Maltagliati et al ¹⁰ 2006	757	48 (6%)	42 (88%)	2 (4%)	4 (8%)
Rozenberg et al ⁹ 2000	271	9 (3%)	8 (89%)	0 (-)	1 (11%)
Manning et al ⁸ 1995	230	40 (17%)	33 (83%)	1 (3%)	6 (15%)
Meta-analysis					
Mahajan et al ¹¹ 2012	NR	254	227 (89%)	27 (11%)	NR
Blackshear et al ⁷ 1996	1,288	222 (17%)	201 (91%)	21 (9%)	NR

*Patients with thrombus (some patients with multiple thrombi). #Studies not included in meta-analysis. LAA: left atrial appendage; LAC: left atrial cavity; NR: not reported; RAA: right atrial appendage

opted for transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE) prior to ECV. In 87 patients a total of 91 thrombi were observed; LAA thrombus was present in all patients. In addition, right atrial appendage (RAA) thrombus was observed in three patients and in one patient in the left atrial cavity (LAC), resulting in a prevalence of extra-LAA thrombus of 4.6% in patients with thrombus. Patients with documented thrombi were more often affected by non-valvular AF (92% vs. 77%, $p < 0.001$) and had a higher CHA₂DS₂-VASc score (3.4 vs. 2.8, $p = 0.002$) compared to patients without thrombi.

The authors should be congratulated for presenting these results in the largest group of non-valvular AF patients on this subject. Earlier reports included both valvular and non-valvular AF types with variable definitions, anticoagulation strategies were diverse and frequently not well reported and the RAA was not structurally assessed. The extra-LAA origin of thrombus of 4.6% in this study was lower compared to prior studies. This is an important finding in the current era where LAAC is emerging and extra-LAA thrombus occurrence might cast doubts on its effectiveness. The authors note that the low prevalence of extra-LAA thrombi may reinforce indications for LAAC. However, this should be considered carefully due to the highly selected patient population in the current study. Patients who qualify for LAAC tend to have higher CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores and higher prevalence of echocardiographic risk factors associated with developing thrombi. Aside from that, many patients treated by LAAC are affected by permanent AF, which was not present in this cohort at all.

The choice for LAAC is often the only alternative to no therapy at all, since current guidelines only recommend LAAC when (N)OAC is contraindicated. Therefore, a very low incidence of extra-LAA thrombus will most likely not influence decision making, as LAAC seems more attractive than no treatment at all. However, earlier described prevalence of extra-LAA thrombus may have contributed to the restricted indication recommendations for LAAC; the low prevalence in this study may

encourage a different approach for selecting patients for LAAC. Of note, patients received various anticoagulants at the time of TOE. Although not meeting sufficient anticoagulation criteria, the use of these anticoagulants may have led to an underestimation of thrombus prevalence.

Multivariable analysis in this cohort showed heart failure, lower left ventricular ejection fraction and larger atrial volumes to be associated with atrial thrombus. Unfortunately, the authors do not report on results of other previously described echocardiographic risk factors, such as spontaneous echo contrast (SEC) or LAA outflow velocity, which might be a valuable contribution.

Although the risk for stroke is strongly associated with the occurrence of atrial thrombi, not all observed thrombi will embolise. Conversely, cardiac thrombi outside of the LAA may be transient in nature as they are swept away in the bloodstream and may only be seen by chance, as is seen in paradoxical emboli with a patent foramen ovale (PFO) or atrial septal defect (ASD), underestimating extra-LAA thrombus formation. Most extra-LAA thrombi were found in the RAA; very few data are currently available on its clinical consequences. Since no results on clinical outcomes were presented in this study, the clinical relevance remains uncertain. Furthermore, it should be noted that no inclusion period was described, and earlier publications of the same authors seem to be partly about the same cohort, which might give rise to publication bias. The higher prevalence of extra-LAA thrombus (~10%) in these studies is probably explained by not excluding valvular AF patients.

In summary, this study supports the fact that, in a selected population referred for LAAC, the risk of extra-LAA thrombus is low. Therefore, the implication is that LAAC in these patients may be very effective. Extra-LAA thrombus is associated with different clinical and echocardiographic findings and a more personalised approach seems reasonable. However, current risk stratification scores lack the inclusion of most echocardiographic characteristics associated with thrombus formation. When considering the eligibility for LAAC, the risk of extra-appendage thrombus should be assessed to enable better patient selection, and systemic therapy with (N)OAC remains the gold standard in patients who can tolerate it.

Conflict of interest statement

L. Boersma is a consultant for Boston Scientific and proctor for Abbott. M. Swaans reports proctoring fees for training/educational services to the Department of Cardiology from Boston Scientific, and personal fees from Abbott Vascular, Philips Healthcare and BioVentric, Inc., outside the submitted work. M. Maarse has no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Murtagh B, Smalling RW. Cardioembolic stroke. *Curr Atheroscler Rep.* 2006;8:310-6.
- Lip GY, Frison L, Halperin JL, Lane DA. Comparative validation of a novel risk score for predicting bleeding risk in anticoagulated patients with atrial fibrillation: the HAS-BLED (Hypertension, Abnormal Renal/Liver Function, Stroke, Bleeding

History or Predisposition, Labile INR, Elderly, Drugs/Alcohol Concomitantly) score. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57:173-80.

3. [No authors listed]. Risk Factors for Stroke and Efficacy of Antithrombotic Therapy in Atrial Fibrillation. Analysis of pooled data from five randomized controlled trials. *Arch Intern Med*. 1994;154:1449-57.

4. Bruins Slot KMH, Berge E. Factor Xa inhibitors versus vitamin K antagonists for preventing cerebral or systemic embolism in patients with atrial fibrillation: Results from a Cochrane systematic review. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008980.pub3>.

5. Boersma LV, Ince H, Kische S, Pokushalov E, Schmitz T, Schmidt B, Gori T, Meincke F, Protopopov AV, Betts T, Foley D, Sievert H, Mazzone P, De Potter T, Vireca E, Stein K, Bergmann MW; EWOLUTION Investigators. Efficacy and safety of left atrial appendage closure with WATCHMAN in patients with or without contraindication to oral anticoagulation: 1-Year follow-up outcome data of the EWOLUTION trial. *Heart Rhythm*. 2017;14:1302-8.

6. Lip GY, Gibbs CR. Does heart failure confer a hypercoagulable state? Virchow's triad revisited. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1999;33:1424-6.

7. Blackshear JL, Odell JA. Appendage obliteration to reduce stroke in cardiac surgical patients with atrial fibrillation. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1996;61:755-9.

8. Manning WJ, Silverman DI, Keighley CS, Oettgen P, Douglas PS. Transesophageal echocardiographically facilitated early cardioversion from atrial fibrillation using short-term anticoagulation: final results of a prospective 4.5-year study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1995;25:1354-61.

9. Rozenberg V, Boccara F, Benhalima B, Lamisse N, Buyukoglu B, Cohen A. Comparison of echocardiographic markers of embolism in atrial flutter and fibrillation: frequency of protruding atherosclerotic plaques in the thoracic aorta. *Echocardiography*. 2000;17:555-62.

10. Maltagliati A, Galli CA, Tamborini G, Calligaris A, Doria E, Salehi R, Pepi M. Usefulness of transoesophageal echocardiography before cardioversion in patients with atrial fibrillation and different anticoagulant regimens. *Heart*. 2006;92:933-8.

11. Mahajan R, Brooks AG, Sullivan T, Lim HS, Alasady M, Abed HS, Ganesan AN, Nayyar S, Lau DH, Roberts-Thomson KC, Kalman JM, Sanders P. Importance of the underlying substrate in determining thrombus location in atrial fibrillation: implications for left atrial appendage closure. *Heart*. 2012;98:1120-6.

12. Cresti A, García-Fernández MA, Miracapillo G, Picchi A, Cesareo F, Guerrini F, Severi S. Frequency and significance of right atrial appendage thrombi in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2014;27:1200-7.

13. Cresti A, García-Fernández MA, De Sensi F, Miracapillo G, Picchi A, Scalese M, Severi S. Prevalence of auricular thrombosis before atrial flutter cardioversion: a 17-year transoesophageal echocardiographic study. *Europace*. 2016;18:450-6.

14. Cresti A, Garcia-Fernandez MA, Sievert H, Mazzone P, Baratta P, Solari M, Geyer A, De Sensi F, Limbruno U. Prevalence of extra-appendage thrombosis in non-valvular atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter in patients undergoing cardioversion: a large transoesophageal study. *EuroIntervention*. 2019;15:e225-30.