# The effect of complete percutaneous revascularisation with and without intravascular ultrasound guidance in the drugeluting stent era

Marco A. Magalhaes, MD; Sa'ar Minha, MD; Rebecca Torguson, MPH; Nevin C. Baker, DO; Ricardo O. Escarcega, MD; Al Fazir Omar, MD; Michael J. Lipinski, MD, PhD; Fang Chen, PhD; William O. Suddath, MD; Lowell F. Satler, MD; Augusto D. Pichard, MD; Ron Waksman\*, MD

Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cardiology, MedStar Washington Hospital Center, Washington, DC, USA

# **KEYWORDS**

- complete
   revascularisation
- drug-eluting stent
- intravascular ultrasound

# Abstract

**Aims:** Our aim was to compare the outcomes of complete revascularisation (CR) and incomplete revascularisation (IR) in multivessel coronary artery disease (CAD), with and without intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) guidance, in the drug-eluting stent (DES) era.

**Methods and results:** Overall, 2,132 consecutive patients with multivessel CAD, defined as at least two epicardial vessels with >70% stenosis, had at least one DES implant. Chronic total occlusions were not analysed. Successful treatment of epicardial vessels and significant branches was termed CR; otherwise, treatment was defined as IR. CR and IR were further categorised according to the use of IVUS. The primary outcome was death or Q-wave myocardial infarction (QWMI). Secondary outcomes included the rates of non-QWMI and repeat revascularisation, the latter assessed as either target vessel revascularisation (TVR) or target lesion revascularisation (TLR) at one year. CR was associated with lower rates of death/QWMI (HR 0.66 [0.4-0.9]; p=0.048) and non-QWMI at one year (1.1% vs. 2.6%; p=0.017). Completeness of revascularisation was not independently associated with repeat intervention, but rates of both TVR (89% vs. 93%; p<0.001) and TLR (91% vs. 95%; p<0.001) were higher with CR than IR. IVUS decreased the rates of TLR irrespective of completeness of revascularisation (p-interaction=0.75).

**Conclusions:** CR in selected patients gives better outcomes than IR in multivessel CAD at one year. IVUS guidance can further improve results by reducing rates of repeat intervention irrespective of completeness of revascularisation.

\*Corresponding author: MedStar Washington Hospital Center, 110 Irving St NW, Suite 4B-1, Washington, DC 20010, USA. E-mail: ron.waksman@medstar.net

# **Abbreviations**

CAD	coronary artery disease
CR	complete revascularisation
CTOs	chronic total occlusions
IR	incomplete revascularisation
TLR	target lesion revascularisation
TVR	target vessel revascularisation

# Introduction

Current opinion on multivessel coronary artery disease treatment attributes the magnitude of survival benefit to the level of complete revascularisation (CR) achieved<sup>1</sup>. Historically, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) achieves lower rates of CR compared to surgery<sup>2,3</sup>. This may partially explain the poorer outcomes in patients undergoing multivessel PCI compared to coronary artery bypass surgery<sup>4</sup> and has led to the recommendation of surgery over PCI for severe multivessel disease<sup>5</sup>.

However, incomplete revascularisation (IR) is a surrogate marker of a sicker population with more anatomical complexity<sup>6</sup>. This complicates comparisons between CR and IR, as apparent differences in survival may be at least partially due to the different populations involved. Indeed, in the current era, the use of arterial anastomosis has been shown to be more important than the degree of revascularisation completeness<sup>3,4,7</sup>. On the other hand, even when the rate of CR by PCI approaches that obtained by surgery<sup>4</sup>, PCI patients still experience worse outcomes, with a particularly high incidence of repeat revascularisation<sup>4</sup> due to a combination of restenosis, residual CAD, and atherosclerosis progression.

Although drug-eluting stents (DES) have markedly reduced the restenosis risk, approximately 10% of patients undergo unplanned repeat procedures during the first year<sup>8</sup>. Of these, half are due to restenosis and half are a result of atherosclerosis progression or previously unrecognised significant lesions left untreated<sup>8</sup>. Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) improves outcome by allowing both procedure optimisation<sup>9</sup> and also, in some cases, by detecting severe lesions not seen by angiography<sup>10</sup>, resulting in a more CR. However, the impact of IVUS on the completeness of revascularisation is unknown.

#### Editorial, see page 611

Therefore, our aims were: 1) to assess the independent effect of CR on death and myocardial infarction (MI) in the DES era; and 2) to evaluate the impact of IVUS on outcome according to completeness of revascularisation.

# Methods

#### STUDY POPULATION

This was a retrospective study of 2,132 consecutive patients with multivessel disease who underwent PCI at a single centre between 2003 and 2013. Multivessel disease was defined as the presence of at least two major epicardial vessels with  $\geq$ 70% diameter stenosis. For inclusion in the study, participants were required to have at least one DES implant. We excluded patients with: 1) past CABG; 2) ongoing MI requiring primary PCI; 3) cardiogenic shock; or

4) true chronic total occlusions (CTOs), defined as an epicardial vessel with a *de novo* lesion with Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction flow grade 0 and proven duration  $\geq$ 3 months<sup>11</sup>.

#### **REVASCULARISATION CATEGORIES**

Our database was queried for multivessel patients, who were then categorised as either CR or IR (CR: individuals with successful treatment of all major epicardial lesions, including side branches  $\geq$ 2.5 mm in vessel diameter, at a single stage, or patients who achieved CR through staged procedures within eight weeks of the index intervention; IR: individuals with any epicardial vessel or side branch left untreated). Additionally, patients were categorised according to the IVUS guidance. **Figure 1** shows a flow chart of our research, which was approved by the local Institutional Review Board.



Figure 1. Study flow chart.

#### DEFINITIONS

We defined baseline risk factors as follows: arterial hypertension – blood pressure >140/90 mmHg or the use of antihypertensive therapy; hypercholesterolaemia – fasting cholesterol >250 mg/dl or the use of lipid-lowering therapy; diabetes mellitus – serum blood glucose levels >126 mg/dl or by the use of either oral hypoglycaemic medications or insulin; chronic renal failure (CRF) – serum creatinine >2.0 mg/dl; congestive heart failure (CHF) – defined as evidence of fluid retention from cardiac causes. Peripheral vascular disease was defined as any vascular disease affecting non-coronary arteries.

#### OUTCOMES

All deaths were considered cardiac unless otherwise documented. MI was defined as an elevation in creatine kinase-MB of twice the upper limit (2.6 ng/ml). MI was further categorised as Q-wave MI (QWMI) if new Q-waves >1 mm occurred in ≥two contiguous leads; otherwise, non-QWMI was diagnosed. Repeat revascularisation due to recurrent ischaemia either by CABG or PCI was classified as target lesion revascularisation (TLR), where the stented segment or the 5 mm proximal and distal borders required intervention, or target vessel revascularisation (TVR) when

intervention occurred in remote vessel segments. Stent thrombosis (ST) was defined according to the Academic Research Consortium criteria as definite or probable.

#### PROCEDURE AND ADJUNCTIVE THERAPIES

PCI was performed using standard techniques with all patients treated with aspirin and thienopyridines, in particular clopidogrel, before the procedure. Anticoagulation included either heparin, with a bolus dose of 60 U/kg and additional dose(s) to achieve an activated clotting time of 200-300 s, or bivalirudin 0.75 mg/ kg followed by an infusion of 1.75 mg/kg/hr during the procedure. The choice of DES type was left to physician discretion. Routine IVUS measurements were recorded and interpreted by each physician assisted by a dedicated technician. The Atlantis<sup>®</sup> S or SR Pro (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA, USA) or the Eagle Eye<sup>®</sup> catheter (Volcano Corp., Rancho Cordova, CA, USA) was used. Angiographic success was defined as the presence of Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 3 flow and angiographic lesion final diameter <30%.

#### DATA COLLECTION AND CLINICAL FOLLOW-UP

Patients' demographics, risk factors, baseline characteristics, and procedural details were prospectively recorded in a dedicated system controlled by independent personnel. Outcomes of interest were assessed through telephone interview, office visits, chart review, and a social security linkage process at 30 days, six months and one year. All outcomes were systematically adjudicated by interventional cardiologists blinded to the study objectives.

#### STUDY OBJECTIVES

The primary objective was to determine whether CR by PCI is independently associated with a reduction in the composite of death/QWMI. The secondary objectives were the impact of completeness of revascularisation on repeat intervention at one year, and the impact of IVUS guidance on outcomes according to the completeness of revascularisation.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Binary variables are reported by counts and percentages while the continuous variables are presented as mean±standard deviation. Differences were tested by either chi-square or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables were tested by parametric or non-parametric tests where data were non-normally distributed. We first assessed the time-to-survival for the endpoints of death/QWMI and repeat revascularisation by the Kaplan-Meier method and by the log-rank test. Secondly, the independent effect of CR on outcomes was assessed by a univariate model followed by a multivariable Cox proportional hazards model, entering variables with p<0.10. Subgroups of interest included diabetics, age >80 years, and CHF. Finally, we tested the interactions of IVUS guidance with each outcome. All analyses were conducted using SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

# Results

# BASELINE CLINICAL AND ANGIOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS ACCORDING TO COMPLETENESS OF REVASCULARISATION

The study consisted of 2,132 multivessel CAD patients undergoing PCI with at least one DES implanted. Of these 1,312 (2,403 lesions) received only DES. Overall, approximately 25% of the patients had a history of MI and 36% had diabetes. CR was achieved in 40.6% of the population. IR patients were older, more frequently female, and were also characterised by a higher prevalence of comorbidities such as CRF, CHF, and peripheral vascular disease compared to CR patients (**Table 1**).

**Table 2** depicts the angiographic characteristics according to completeness of revascularisation. The IR group had more diseased vessels and more anatomical complexity, even after excluding true CTOs, as shown by a higher prevalence of type C lesions. Indeed, IR patients more often required lesion preparation through rotational atherectomy (3.9% vs. 2.1%; p<0.001) and were less likely to undergo direct stenting compared to CR patients (32% vs. 44%; p<0.001). On the other hand, CR patients required more extensive

# Table 1. Demographics, clinical characteristics according to completeness of revascularisation.

	Complete revascularisation (n=866)	Incomplete revascularisation (n=1,266)	<i>p</i> -value
Demographics			
Male	532 (61%)	851 (67%)	0.01
Age (mean±SD)	65±12	67±12	<0.01
Risk factors, n (%)			
Hypertension	756 (87%)	1,087 (86%)	0.33
Diabetes	331 (38%)	514 (41%)	0.41
Insulin-treated diabetes	139 (16%)	181 (14%)	0.27
Hypercholesterolaemia	752 (87%)	1,123 (89%)	0.15
Peripheral vascular disease	124 (14%)	242 (19%)	<0.01
Prior MI	191 (22.7%)	319 (26.3%)	0.06
Chronic renal insufficiency	110 (13%)	229 (18%)	<0.01
Dialysis	26 (3%)	42 (3%)	0.69
Congestive heart failure	106 (13%)	207 (17%)	0.01
NYHA III/IV	46 (6%)	99 (8%)	0.03
Clinical indication, n (%)			
Accelerated angina	113 (13%)	177 (14%)	0.54
Angina at rest	356 (41.1%)	501 (39.6%)	0.48
Angina post MI	33 (3.8%)	69 (5.5%)	0.08
Stable angina	326 (37.6%)	459 (36.3%)	0.51
Lipid profile, mg/dl (mean:	±SD)		
Total cholesterol	172±51	164±53	0.02
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol	103±58	98±51	0.06
Triglycerides	153±109	141±91	0.01
MI: myocardial infarction; NYHA:	New York Heart Associati	on	

devices (lesion based).							
Complete revascularisation (n=2,225)	Incomplete revascularisation (n=2,074)	<i>p</i> -value					
2.06±0.41	2.36±0.62	<0.01					
2.47±1.09	1.61±0.98	<0.01					
28 (1.3%)	33 (1.6%)	0.36					
714 (32%)	684 (33%)	0.53					
816 (37%)	749 (36%)	0.70					
667 (30%)	608 (29%)	0.64					
795 (36%)	769 (38%)	0.39					
69 (3%)	73 (4%)	0.45					
210 (10%)	181 (9%)	0.31					
1,275 (61%)	1,175 (59%)	0.23					
619 (29%)	644 (32%)	0.05					
43±23	31±19	<0.01					
1.99±1.1	1.35±0.9	<0.01					
37 (4%)	62 (5%)	0.50					
1,315 (60%)	1,270 (63%)	0.12					
47 (2.1%)	80 (3.9%)	<0.01					
2,153 (97%)	2,001 (98%)	0.14					
	revascularisation (n=2,225)           2.06±0.41           2.47±1.09           28 (1.3%)           714 (32%)           816 (37%)           667 (30%)           795 (36%)           69 (3%)           210 (10%)           1,275 (61%)           619 (29%)           43±23           1.99±1.1           37 (4%)           1,315 (60%)           47 (2.1%)	revascularisation (n=2,225)revascularisation (n=2,074) $2.06\pm0.41$ $2.36\pm0.62$ $2.47\pm1.09$ $1.61\pm0.98$ $28$ (1.3%) $33$ (1.6%) $714$ (32%) $684$ (33%) $816$ (37%)749 (36%) $667$ (30%) $608$ (29%) $795$ (36%)769 (38%) $69$ (3%)73 (4%) $210$ (10%) $181$ (9%) $1,275$ (61%) $1,175$ (59%) $619$ (29%) $644$ (32%) $43\pm23$ $31\pm19$ $1.99\pm1.1$ $1.35\pm0.9$ $37$ (4%) $62$ (5%) $1,315$ (60%) $1,270$ (63%) $47$ (2.1%) $80$ (3.9%)					

Table 2. Baseline angiographic, procedure details and adjunctive

Table 3. Outcomes according to completeness of revascularisation.

DES: drug-eluting stent; IVUS: intravascular ultrasound

intervention, resulting in more lesions being dilated and more stents per patient, totalling an average 12 mm greater stent length compared to IR patients ( $43\pm23$  mm vs.  $31\pm19$  mm; p<0.001).

# DEATH/QWMI ACCORDING TO COMPLETENESS OF REVASCULARISATION

Overall, death/QWMI occurred in 143 (6.7%) patients at one year. Individuals who underwent CR were less likely to die or experience QWMI compared to IR patients, with a difference noted at six months (4.0% vs. 6.1%; p=0.038), which had widened by one year (5.0% vs. 7.9%; p=0.008) (**Table 3**). This difference was mostly driven by a reduction in all-cause mortality at six months (3.7% vs. 5.7%; p=0.036) and at one-year follow-up (4.6% vs. 7.5%; p=0.009). Nonetheless, there was a reduction of non-QWMI in the CR group at one year (1.1% vs. 2.6%; p=0.017).

There was an early separation in survival curves of death/QWMI between the groups resulting in a 37% risk reduction favouring CR at one year (HR 0.63 [0.44-0.90]; p=0.011) (Figure 2). After adjusting for confounders, CR remained independently associated with lower rates of death/QWMI compared to IR (HR 0.66 [0.38-0.99]; p=0.046) (Figure 3). Notably, CR benefit was consistently in the same direction across the pre-specified high-risk subgroups (age >80 years, diabetes and CHF), and no significant interaction was found for these pre-specified subgroups.

	Overall	Complete revascularisation	Incomplete revascularisation	<i>p</i> -value	
30-day	n=2,133	n=866	n=1,267		
All-cause death	2.2% (46/2,133)	2.0% (17/866)	2.3% (29/1,267)	0.61	
QWMI	0.2% (5/2,102)	0.1% (1/854)	0.3% (4/1,248)	0.65	
Non-QWMI	0.4% (9/2,102)	0.4% (3/854)	0.5% (6/1,248)	0.75	
Death/QWMI	2.3% (48/2,133)	2.1% (18/866)	2.4% (30/1,266)	0.66	
TLR	1.0% (21/2,102)	1.4% (12/854)	0.7% (9/1,248)	0.12	
TVR	1.6% (34/2,102)	2.2% (19/854)	1.2% (15/1,248)	0.07	
Stent thrombosis (subacute)	0.6% (13/2,133)	0.3% (3/866)	0.8% (10/1,266)	0.19	
6-month					
All-cause death	4.9% (104/2,132)	3.7 (32/866)	5.7% (72/1,266)	0.04	
QWMI	0.5% (10/2,076)	0.4% (3/848)	0.6% (7/1,228)	0.54	
Non-QWMI	1.2% (25/2,077)	0.7% (6/848)	1.5% (19/1,229)	0.09	
Death/QWMI	5.3% (112/2,132)	4.0% (35/866)	6.1% (77/1,266)	0.04	
TLR	4.0% (83/2,078)	5.3% (45/848)	3.1% (38/1,230)	0.01	
TVR	5.6% (116/2,078)	7.2% (61/848)	4.5% (55/1,230)	< 0.01	
Stent thrombosis	0.7% (14/2,133)	0.3% (3/866)	0.9% (11/1,267)	0.14	
1-year					
All-cause death	6.3% (134/2,122)	4.6% (40/861)	7.5% (94/1,261)	< 0.01	
QWMI	0.6% (12/2,037)	0.5% (4/832)	0.7% (8/1,205)	0.77	
Non-QWMI	2.0% (40/2,038)	1.1% (9/832)	2.6% (31/1,206)	0.02	
Death/QWMI	6.7% (143/2,122)	5.0% (43/861)	7.9% (100/1,261)	< 0.01	
TLR	6.4% (131/2,045)	8.7% (73/837)	4.8% (58/1,208)	< 0.01	
TVR	8.8% (181/2,049)	11.2% (94/838)	7.2% (87/1,211)	< 0.01	
Stent thrombosis (cumulative)	0.8% (16/2,133)	0.3% (3/866)	1.0% (13/1,267)	0.07	



**Figure 2.** *Time-to-death/QWMI survival at one year according to completeness of revascularisation.* 

#### REPEAT INTERVENTION AND STENT THROMBOSIS ACCORDING TO COMPLETENESS OF REVASCULARISATION

CR patients required higher rates of repeat revascularisation at one year at both vessel and lesion levels (TVR: 11.2% vs. 7.2%; p=0.002; and TLR: 8.7% vs. 4.8%; p<0.001) (**Table 3**). This resulted in an approximately 1.9-fold higher risk of TLR in CR compared to IR patients (HR 1.88 [1.33-2.66]; p<0.001) (**Figure 4**). Given the inclusion of successful PCI cases, CABG was required in 0.6% of patients and was similarly distributed between the CR and IR groups (0.5% vs. 0.7%; p=0.457). Conversely, TLR by means of PCI was more frequently reported in CR than in IR patients (8.3% vs. 4.1%; p<0.001).

Importantly, when we considered patients treated with only DES, this difference was no longer statistically significant for TVR (6.4% vs. 6.8%; p=0.777) or TLR (4.7% vs. 4.3%; p=0.764). These analyses were confirmed after adjustment where the effect of completeness of revascularisation on repeat intervention was no longer statistically significant for TVR (HR 1.28 [0.90-1.83];



**Figure 4.** *Time-to-TLR survival at one year according to completeness of revascularisation.* 

p=0.172) and for TLR (HR 1.52 [0.99-2.33]; p=0.06) (**Figure 5A**, **Figure 5B**). Strikingly, the strongest protective factor for both TVR and TLR was revascularisation using only DES.

The rates of stent thrombosis were numerically lower in CR at all time points, i.e., at 30 days (0.3% vs. 0.8%; p=0.197), six months (0.3% vs. 0.9%; p=0.143), and one year (0.3% vs. 1.0%; p=0.074).

# IMPACT OF IVUS GUIDANCE ON OUTCOMES ACCORDING TO COMPLETENESS OF REVASCULARISATION

The use of IVUS guidance was similar between CR and IR groups, with 1,315 and 1,270 lesions assessed, respectively (p=0.12). Using univariate analysis, IVUS guidance did not reach statistical significance for association with death/QWMI (HR 0.82 [0.58-1.16]; p=0.26). On the other hand, IVUS was associated with a lower rate of repeat intervention in both CR and IR groups (**Figure 6**). CR patients with IVUS guidance had an increased survival time free from TLR and a trend for TVR (90.2% vs. 86.3%; p=0.096) at one year which was also observed



Figure 3. Forest plot correlates for death/QWMI at one year.



Figure 5. Forest plot correlates for repeat intervention. A) Target vessel revascularisation. B) Target lesion revascularisation.



Complete revascularisation with and without IVUS

Figure 6. Time-to-repeat intervention with and without intravascular ultrasound.

in IR patients. After adjusting for confounders, IVUS guidance was independently associated with a reduction in TLR and with a trend for TVR (HR 0.76 [0.54-1.09]; p=0.135). Additionally, the reduction of TLR rate observed with IVUS guidance was apparent regardless of the completeness of revascularisation (p-interaction=0.75).

### Discussion

This study is the first to assess the impact of IVUS guidance on outcomes according to completeness of revascularisation in the DES era. Our main findings are: 1) CR is associated with reduced rates of death/QWMI; 2) IVUS is associated with reduced rates of repeat procedures regardless of revascularisation completeness.

In this large series of 2,132 multivessel patients undergoing PCI, CR was achieved in 41% of cases, resulting in a 34% risk reduction for death/QWMI compared to IR. Additionally, non-QWMI was  $\approx$ 58% less likely to ensue during follow-up when a CR strategy was initially undertaken. Our findings are in keeping with the general belief that CR portends better outcomes. Nonetheless, our study differs from others. First, all participants received at least one DES, with 1,312 of those being entirely treated with first-or second-generation devices. Second, 2,585 vessels had IVUS assessment assigned, apportioned between CR and IR groups.

In general, studies that demonstrated poor survival following IR by surgery or PCI have marked clinical and angiographic differences between CR and IR groups<sup>12</sup>. Conversely, where a more neutral effect on outcome was reported<sup>2,7,13,14</sup>, fewer differences were observed between CR and IR groups. This brings into question whether survival outcome depends on degree of revascularisation at all. Attempting to take the differences between CR and IR groups into account in such studies is challenging<sup>15</sup>.

CTOs remain the strongest predictor of IR in the contemporary PCI era<sup>6</sup>. Notably, in the SYNTAX trial, the success rate for CTO attempts by means of PCI was no more than 44% in those in whom clinical equipoise was deemed by either strategy. Thus, it is intuitive that these patients would have higher residual disease with large areas of ischaemic myocardium, increasing the risk of a future event<sup>16</sup>. Additionally, such failed attempts may further worsen myocardial ischaemia, thus magnifying the occurrence of events in IR<sup>11</sup>. Therefore, the excess of hazard attributed to IR PCI patients may, in part, be related to these biases. Our study circumvents some of these disparities by excluding true CTOs, making CR and IR groups anatomically more balanced.

Residual CAD has been validated as a determinant of poor outcomes for low-, moderate-, and high-risk CAD patients<sup>6,17,18</sup>, regardless of presentation or revascularisation approach. Notably, in a sub-analysis from the COURAGE trial, plaque burden was a better predictor of events than ischaemia<sup>19</sup>, while the Providing Regional Observations to Study Predictors of Events in the Coronary Tree (PROSPECT) trial showed that non-culprit plaque severity correlates with unstable morphology findings<sup>20</sup>. Therefore, the combination of a higher angiographic cut-off ( $\geq$ 70% diameter stenosis) along with the high IVUS assessment rate in our study may have led to a "true" anatomical CR by the treatment of unanticipated lesions, explaining the benefit we found in CR patients in relation to death and MI.

We found that CR had higher crude rates of TLR and TVR compared to IR at one year. This contrasts with studies showing equivalent<sup>13</sup> or higher rates of repeat interventions in IR patients<sup>2,3,21,22</sup>. CR patients who undergo more extensive revascularisation receive more stents than IR patients, resulting therefore in higher rates of restenosis. Although this was confirmed in our study, after adjustment, completeness of revascularisation was no longer independently associated with TVR or TLR. Moreover, IVUS reduced the rates of repeat intervention in both groups.

Overall, the preference for IVUS-guided PCI at our centre contributed to the remarkably low TVR and TLR rates observed, compared to other studies<sup>2,6,21</sup>. Furthermore, the benefit of IVUS was apparent irrespective of the completeness of revascularisation. We speculate that this consistent reduction in repeat intervention in both groups indicates that IVUS may also be linked to uncovering significant lesions unanticipated by angiography, along with target lesion optimisation. Indeed, this may contribute to explaining the persistent disparity in outcome according to completeness of revascularisation after PCI in contrast to surgery. Although the SYNTAX trial showed similar rates of death and MI comparing surgery and PCI in patients with less complex disease, the incidence of repeat intervention was significantly higher across all SYNTAX score tertiles<sup>4</sup>.

The reasons for repeat intervention after multivessel PCI are not explained solely by device failure. Indeed, only half of the unplanned procedures after PCI in the DES era are related to TLR, whereas the other contingent occurs at non-target sites, representing unrecognised lesions8. These findings can explain, in part, the persistently higher rates of repeat revascularisation after multivessel PCI compared to surgery and also the lower impact of CR after surgery compared to PCI. Therefore, multivessel PCI can be further improved both by identifying ischaemic lesions at non-target sites and by optimising implantation techniques at the target sites. Our study indicates that IVUS consistently reduced the rates of repeat revascularisation at target and non-target domains. Another factor contributing to the low rates of repeat revascularisation is the high frequency of DES in our study. Strikingly, PCI only with DES was the strongest protective factor, with a 62% and 56% risk reduction for TVR and TLR, respectively.

Interestingly, a trend towards a lower rate of cumulative ST was noted in CR patients, despite a 10 mm higher mean stent length (p=0.074). This agrees with the SYNTAX trial<sup>6</sup>, which documented a cluster of ST among patients with IR who received fewer stents. The reasons for this are unclear, but might include more calcified lesions, diffuse disease, and underexpanded stenting. In our study, the equivalent angiographic success rate, the higher rate of IVUS guidance, and the similar post-diameter stenosis in CR and IR patients make stent optimisation an unlikely cause for the increase in ST in the IR patients. This question therefore requires additional research.

# Limitations

Our study has several limitations. The first is the retrospective design, where the decision on whether to perform CR or IR was not controlled. Therefore, we cannot exclude residual selection bias which might have affected our results. Thus, our conclusions should be interpreted as hypothesis-generating. Second, our database is not audited. However, this is one of the largest, single-centre registries to evaluate revascularisation completeness in the DES era. Although the exclusion of true CTOs can be viewed as a limitation, PCI of CTOs remains infrequently performed in the USA. Therefore, this is unlikely to change our results since our preferred revascularisation approach is surgery<sup>23</sup>. Fourth, although the secondary prevention medications of the CR and IR groups at hospital discharge were comparable, we could not assess differences between the groups in compliance during follow-up.

#### Conclusions

CR determines better outcomes than IR for multivessel disease in the DES era. IVUS guidance further improves outcomes by reducing the rates of repeat intervention irrespective of completeness of revascularisation. Our study suggests that multivessel PCI with DES assisted by IVUS is a viable strategy for multivessel CAD patients.

#### Impact on daily practice

Percutaneous treatment of multivessel CAD patients in the DES era remains challenging. Although there is still scope for device and technique refinements, residual and unrecognised plaque burden may play an important role in determining outcome, in particular the need for repeat revascularisation. The residual CAD burden is frequently underestimated by angiography, which may partially explain the impact of completeness of revascularisation in patients undergoing PCI. In contrast, adjunctive use of intravascular imaging in PCI refines the CAD assessment, leading to procedure optimisation and better outcomes irrespective of the completeness of revascularisation. Therefore, intravascular imaging has the potential to improve PCI results, further narrowing the performance gap between surgery and PCI in multivessel patients.

### Conflict of interest statement

R. Waksman reports personal fees from Biotronik, personal fees from Medtronic, grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, grants and personal fees from Boston Scientific, personal fees and grants from Biosensors International, personal fees from Abbott Vascular, grants from The Medicines Company, and grants from Edwards Lifesciences. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### References

1. Garcia S, Sandoval Y, Roukoz H, Adabag S, Canoniero M, Yannopoulos D, Brilakis ES. Outcomes after complete versus incomplete revascularization of patients with multivessel coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of 89,883 patients enrolled in randomized clinical trials and observational studies. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62:1421-31.

2. Sarno G, Garg S, Onuma Y, Gutierrez-Chico JL, van den Brand MJ, Rensing BJ, Morel MA, Serruys PW; ARTS-II Investigators. Impact of completeness of revascularization on the five-year outcome in percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass graft patients (from the ARTS-II study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2010;106:1369-75.

3. van den Brand MJ, Rensing BJ, Morel MA, Foley DP, de Valk V, Breeman A, Suryapranata H, Haalebos MM, Wijns W, Wellens F, Balcon R, Magee P, Ribeiro E, Buffolo E, Unger F, Serruys PW. The effect of completeness of revascularization on event-free survival at one year in the ARTS trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2002;39:559-64.

4. Head SJ, Davierwala PM, Serruys PW, Redwood SR, Colombo A, Mack MJ, Morice MC, Holmes DR Jr, Feldman TE, Stahle E, Underwood P, Dawkins KD, Kappetein AP, Mohr FW. Coronary artery bypass grafting vs. Percutaneous coronary intervention for patients with three-vessel disease: final five-year follow-up of the SYNTAX trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:2821-30.

5. Windecker S, Kolh P, Alfonso F, Collet JP, Cremer J, Falk V, Filippatos G, Hamm C, Head SJ, Jüni P, Kappetein AP, Kastrati A, Knuuti J, Landmesser U, Laufer G, Neumann FJ, Richter DJ, Schauerte P, Sousa Uva M, Stefanini GG, Taggart DP, Torracca L, Valgimigli M, Wijns W, Witkowski A. 2014 ESC/EACTS guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *EuroIntervention*. 2015;10:1024-94.

6. Farooq V, Serruys PW, Garcia-Garcia HM, Zhang Y, Bourantas CV, Holmes DR, Mack M, Feldman T, Morice MC, Stahle E, James S, Colombo A, Diletti R, Papafaklis MI, de Vries T, Morel MA, van Es GA, Mohr FW, Dawkins KD, Kappetein AP, Sianos G, Boersma E. The negative impact of incomplete angiographic revascularization on clinical outcomes and its association with total occlusions: The SYNTAX (Synergy Between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention with Taxus and Cardiac Surgery) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:282-94.

7. Moon MR, Sundt TM 3rd, Pasque MK, Barner HB, Gay WA Jr, Damiano RJ Jr. Influence of internal mammary artery grafting and completeness of revascularization on long-term outcome in octogenarians. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2001;72:2003-7.

8. Stolker JM, Cohen DJ, Kennedy KF, Pencina MJ, Lindsey JB, Mauri L, Cutlip DE, Kleiman NS; Evaluation of Drug-Eluting Stents and Ischemic Events (EVENT) Investigators. Repeat revascularization after contemporary percutaneous coronary intervention: an evaluation of staged, target lesion, and other unplanned revascularization procedures during the first year. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012;5:772-82.

9. Zhang Y, Farooq V, Garcia-Garcia HM, Bourantas CV, Tian N, Dong S, Li M, Yang S, Serruys PW, Chen SL. Comparison of intravascular ultrasound versus angiography-guided drug-eluting stent implantation: a meta-analysis of one randomised trial and ten

observational studies involving 19,619 patients. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;8:855-65.

10. Witzenbichler B, Maehara A, Weisz G, Neumann FJ, Rinaldi MJ, Metzger DC, Henry TD, Cox DA, Duffy PL, Brodie BR, Stuckey TD, Mazzaferri EL Jr, Xu K, Parise H, Mehran R, Mintz GS, Stone GW. Relationship between intravascular ultrasound guidance and clinical outcomes after drug-eluting stents: the assessment of dual antiplatelet therapy with drug-eluting stents (ADAPT-DES) study. *Circulation.* 2014;129:463-70.

11. de Labriolle A, Bonello L, Roy P, Lemesle G, Steinberg DH, Xue Z, Kaneshige K, Suddath WO, Satler LF, Kent KM, Pichard AD, Lindsay J, Waksman R. Comparison of safety, efficacy, and outcome of successful versus unsuccessful percutaneous coronary intervention in "true" chronic total occlusions. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008;102:1175-81.

12. Kleisli T, Cheng W, Jacobs MJ, Mirocha J, Derobertis MA, Kass RM, Blanche C, Fontana GP, Raissi SS, Magliato KE, Trento A. In the current era, complete revascularization improves survival after coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2005;129:1283-91.

13. Kim YH, Park DW, Lee JY, Kim WJ, Yun SC, Ahn JM, Song HG, Oh JH, Park JS, Kang SJ, Lee SW, Lee CW, Park SW, Park SJ. Impact of angiographic complete revascularization after drug-eluting stent implantation or coronary artery bypass graft surgery for multivessel coronary artery disease. *Circulation.* 2011;123:2373-81.

14. Rastan AJ, Walther T, Falk V, Kempfert J, Merk D, Lehmann S, Holzhey D, Mohr FW. Does reasonable incomplete surgical revascularization affect early or long-term survival in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease receiving left internal mammary artery bypass to left anterior descending artery? *Circulation*. 2009;120:S70-7.

15. George S, Cockburn J, Clayton TC, Ludman P, Cotton J, Spratt J, Redwood S, de Belder M, de Belder A, Hill J, Hoye A, Palmer N, Rathore S, Gershlick A, Di Mario C, Hildick-Smith D; British Cardiovascular Intervention Society; National Institute for Cardiovascular Outcomes Research. Long-term follow-up of elective chronic total coronary occlusion angioplasty: analysis from the U.K. Central Cardiac Audit Database. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:235-43.

16. Farooq V, Serruys PW, Bourantas CV, Zhang Y, Muramatsu T, Feldman T, Holmes DR, Mack M, Morice MC, Stahle E, Colombo A, de Vries T, Morel MA, Dawkins KD, Kappetein AP, Mohr FW. Quantification of incomplete revascularization and its association with five-year mortality in the synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with taxus and cardiac surgery (SYNTAX) trial validation of the residual SYNTAX score. *Circulation*. 2013;128:141-51.

17. Mancini GB, Hartigan PM, Bates ER, Chaitman BR, Sedlis SP, Maron DJ, Kostuk WJ, Spertus JA, Teo KK, Dada M, Knudtson M, Berman DS, Booth DC, Boden WE, Weintraub WS. Prognostic importance of coronary anatomy and left ventricular ejection fraction despite optimal therapy: assessment of residual risk in the Clinical Outcomes Utilizing Revascularization and Aggressive DruG Evaluation Trial. *Am Heart J.* 2013;166:481-7.

18. Genereux P, Palmerini T, Caixeta A, Rosner G, Green P, Dressler O, Xu K, Parise H, Mehran R, Serruys PW, Stone GW. Quantification and impact of untreated coronary artery disease after percutaneous coronary intervention: the residual SYNTAX (Synergy Between PCI with TAXUS and Cardiac Surgery) score. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;59:2165-74.

19. Mancini GB, Hartigan PM, Shaw LJ, Berman DS, Hayes SW, Bates ER, Maron DJ, Teo K, Sedlis SP, Chaitman BR, Weintraub WS, Spertus JA, Kostuk WJ, Dada M, Booth DC, Boden WE. Predicting outcome in the COURAGE trial (Clinical Outcomes Utilizing Revascularization and Aggressive Drug Evaluation): coronary anatomy versus ischemia. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;7:195-201.

20. Yun KH, Mintz GS, Farhat N, Marso SP, Taglieri N, Verheye S, Foster MC, Margolis MP, Templin B, Xu K, Dressler O, Mehran R, Stone GW, Maehara A. Relation between angiographic lesion severity, vulnerable plaque morphology and future adverse cardiac events (from the Providing Regional Observations to Study Predictors of Events in the Coronary Tree study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2012;110:471-7.

21. Tamburino C, Angiolillo DJ, Capranzano P, Dimopoulos K, La Manna A, Barbagallo R, Tagliareni F, Mangiafico S, Guzman LA, Galassi AR, Bass TA. Complete versus incomplete revascularization in patients with multivessel disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention with drug-eluting stents. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2008;72:448-56.

22. Head SJ, Mack MJ, Holmes DR Jr, Mohr FW, Morice MC, Serruys PW, Kappetein AP. Incidence, predictors and outcomes of incomplete revascularization after percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass grafting: a subgroup analysis of 3-year SYNTAX data. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2012;41:535-41.

23. Strauss BH, Shuvy M, Wijeysundera HC. Revascularization of chronic total occlusions: time to reconsider? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:1281-9.