Stratifying cardiovascular risk in patients with microvascular dysfunction: new insights from emerging physiology metrics

Christos V. Bourantas^{1,2,3*}, MD, PhD; Karthik H. Chandrasekharan¹, MB BChir

1. Department of Cardiology, Barts Heart Centre, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom; 2. Centre for Cardiovascular Medicine and Devices, William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University London, London, United Kingdom; 3. Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, University College London, London, United Kingdom

Coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD) has been increasingly recognised as a common cause of myocardial ischaemia and typical angina symptoms. Recent reports have examined the mechanisms that are responsible for the mismatch of demand-supply of coronary blood flow in patients with CMD, providing unique insights about the pathophysiological processes that are responsible for this disease^{1,2}. Today, two different endotypes of CMD have been identified, the structural endotype that is associated with increased nitric oxide synthase (NOS) activity resulting in augmented coronary flow at baseline, and the functional endotype where there is a weak augmentation of coronary blood flow at stress due to a high microvascular resistance³. Several studies have shown that patients with the structural endotype have a higher-risk cardiovascular profile, suffering more often from hypertension, diabetes mellitus and diastolic heart failure indicated by a raised NT-proBNP than those with the functional endotype^{1,2}. However, it is unclear whether there is a significant difference between the two groups in the incidence of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE). This is of great interest in this population in particular, as cumulative data have shown that patients suffering from CMD not only have impaired quality of life but are also at risk of suffering MACE⁴.

The study by Toya et al, published in this issue of EuroIntervention, provides unique insights into the prognostic implications of coronary flow reserve (CFR) and hyperaemic microvascular resistance (HMR) in patients with unobstructed coronary arteries⁵.

Article, see page 569

The authors included 610 patients who had physiology assessment using a Doppler guidewire (FloWire[®]; Volcano Therapeutics Inc., Rancho Cordova, CA, USA) and were followed up for eight years. Patients with CMD (i.e., those with a low CFR <2.5 or high HMR >2.0 mmHg/cm/s) had worse outcomes than those with normal microvascular function. In this report, an abnormal CFR (<2.5) was not associated with a higher MACE rate; however, this metric was a predictor of events when it was treated as a continuous variable. Conversely, HMR was an independent predictor of events

*Corresponding author: Barts Heart Centre, West Smithfield, London, EC1A 7BE, United Kingdom. E-mail: cbourantas@gmail.com

© Europa Digital & Publishing 2021. All rights reserved.

DOI: 10.4244/EIJV17I7A95

both as a numerical and as a categorical variable. HMR carried incremental prognostic information to CFR as their combination enabled better event prediction, resulting in a net reclassification improvement of 0.17 (95% confidence interval: 0.02-0.31, p=0.03) compared to standalone CFR. The limitations of this report have been acknowledged by the authors in the relevant section and include the absence of information with regard to the time of each event that did not allow a time-dependent analysis, the lack of information about the cause of death, and the fact that event reporting was based on the recollection of the patients. Despite these limitations, this study is important in the field, as it underscores the implications of the coronary microvascular physiology-derived metrics, and especially the effect of HMR, on clinical outcomes. The reported findings are expected to attract interest, motivate researchers to investigate further the prognostic value of microvascular resistance, examine its pathobiological effects on atherosclerotic disease progression, and introduce therapies that will aim to restore microvascular function.

Recent reports have provided mechanistic insights into the unfavourable effects of CMD on coronary physiology and biology. Studies have demonstrated that coronary endothelial dysfunction is associated with low endothelial shear stress values in the major epicardial arteries, which is a well-known instigator of plaque evolution^{6,7}, while a recent report on patients with CMD has revealed genetic polymorphisms that induce endothelial NOS (eNOS) dysfunction which can promote plaque formation and destabilisation⁸. In line with these findings, intravascular imaging studies have shown an increased atheroma burden and lipid component in patients with CMD and revealed a significant association between raised HMR and vulnerable plaques^{9,10}.

In view of the evidence supporting an increased MACE rate in patients with structural CMD and an adverse effect of a raised HMR on plaque morphology and physiology, there is an unmet need to identify these patients and introduce therapies that will aim to restore microvascular function. Expert consensus documents published recently have proposed a systematic approach for the diagnosis of CMD and introduced recommendations for the management of patients suffering from CMD3. Currently, the treatment algorithms are similar in patients with functional and structural CMD. However, as this report indicates, patient characteristics and clinical outcomes are different in the two groups. Therefore, it is essential to study further and understand the similarities and differences of the CMD endotypes and individualise therapy based on microvascular physiology metrics. This is likely to become feasible in future as several novel drugs have recently been introduced that aim to restore microvascular function, including therapies that

target the increased vasoconstrictive response of coronary microvessels and are expected to decrease peripheral vascular resistance (i.e., the phosphodiesterase type 3 inhibitors or the endothelin receptor antagonists atrasentan and zibotentan)¹¹. Whether this strategy will improve outcomes in patients with CMD needs to be proven in prospective appropriately sized clinical trials.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

 Rahman H, Ryan M, Lumley M, Modi B, McConkey H, Ellis H, Scannell C, Clapp B, Marber M, Webb A, Chiribiri A, Perera D. Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction Is Associated With Myocardial Ischemia and Abnormal Coronary Perfusion During Exercise. *Circulation.* 2019;140:1805-16.

 Rahman H, Demir OM, Khan F, Ryan M, Ellis H, Mills MT, Chiribiri A, Webb A, Perera D. Physiological Stratification of Patients With Angina Due to Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2020;75:2538-49.

3. Kunadian V, Chieffo A, Camici PG, Berry C, Escaned J, Maas A, Prescott E, Karam N, Appelman Y, Fraccaro C, Buchanan GL, Manzo-Silberman S, Al-Lamee R, Regar E, Lansky A, Abbott JD, Badimon L, Duncker DJ, Mehran R, Capodanno D, Baumbach A. An EAPCI Expert Consensus Document on Ischaemia with Non-Obstructive Coronary Arteries in Collaboration with European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Coronary Pathophysiology & Microcirculation Endorsed by Coronary Vasomotor Disorders International Study Group. *EuroIntervention*. 2021; 16:1049-69.

4. Gdowski MA, Murthy VL, Doering M, Monroy-Gonzalez AG, Slart R, Brown DL. Association of Isolated Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction With Mortality and Major Adverse Cardiac Events: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Aggregate Data. J Am Heart Assoc. 2020;9:e014954.

5. Toya T, Corban MT, Park JY, Ahmad A, Ozcan I, Sebaali F, Sara J, Gulati R, Lerman LO, Lerman A. Prognostic impact and clinical outcomes of coronary flow reserve and hyperaemic microvascular resistance. *EuroIntervention*. 2021;17:569-75.

6. Kumar A, Hung OY, Piccinelli M, Eshtehardi P, Corban MT, Sternheim D, Yang B, Lefieux A, Molony DS, Thompson EW, Zeng W, Bouchi Y, Gupta S, Hosseini H, Raad M, Ko YA, Liu C, McDaniel MC, Gogas BD, Douglas JS, Quyyumi AA, Giddens DP, Veneziani A, Samady H. Low Coronary Wall Shear Stress Is Associated With Severe Endothelial Dysfunction in Patients With Nonobstructive Coronary Artery Disease. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2018;11:2072-80.

7. Siasos G, Sara JD, Zaromytidou M, Park KH, Coskun AU, Lerman LO, Oikonomou E, Maynard CC, Fotiadis D, Stefanou K, Papafaklis M, Michalis L, Feldman C, Lerman A, Stone PH. Local Low Shear Stress and Endothelial Dysfunction in Patients With Nonobstructive Coronary Atherosclerosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71:2092-102.

8. Fedele F, Mancone M, Chilian WM, Severino P, Canali E, Logan S, De Marchis ML, Volterrani M, Palmirotta R, Guadagni F. Role of genetic polymorphisms of ion channels in the pathophysiology of coronary microvascular dysfunction and ischemic heart disease. *Basic Res Cardiol.* 2013;108:387.

9. Usui E, Yonetsu T, Kanaji Y, Hoshino M, Yamaguchi M, Hada M, Fukuda T, Sumino Y, Ohya H, Hamaya R, Kanno Y, Yuki H, Murai T, Lee T, Hirao K, Kakuta T. Optical Coherence Tomography-Defined Plaque Vulnerability in Relation to Functional Stenosis Severity and Microvascular Dysfunction. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2018;11:2058-68.

 Godo S, Corban MT, Toya T, Gulati R, Lerman LO, Lerman A. Association of coronary microvascular endothelial dysfunction with vulnerable plaque characteristics in early coronary atherosclerosis. *EuroIntervention*. 2020;16:387-94.

11. Bairey Merz CN, Pepine CJ, Shimokawa H, Berry C. Treatment of coronary microvascular dysfunction. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2020;116:856-70.