## Small details make a big difference



## Do-Yoon Kang, MD; Seung-Jung Park\*, MD, PhD

Heart Institute, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea

Angiography is the most commonly used method to guide percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). However, angiography has a number of limitations. It only provides luminal morphology in a two-dimensional view of a complex 3-dimensional structure and does not provide information about plaque characteristics, vascular remodelling, or stent underexpansion after PCI. These drawbacks can be overcome by intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) guidance. IVUS provides valuable information on the cross-sectional coronary vascular structure and plays a key role in contemporary stentbased PCI by accurately assessing the coronary anatomy, assisting in the selection of treatment strategy, and defining optimal stenting outcomes. Many randomised trials and observational cohort studies have shown that IVUS guidance can achieve a larger luminal diameter than angiography guidance. In a recent meta-analysis, Ahn et al reported that IVUS-guided PCI was associated with larger stents and a larger post-procedural angiographic minimal lumen diameter compared with angiography-guided PCI1. The mean difference in stent size and in post-procedural minimal lumen diameter was 0.33 mm (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.22-0.44, p<0.001) and 0.34 mm (95% CI: 0.27-0.40, p<0.001), respectively. IVUS guidance was also associated with more stents and longer stents, and the mean difference in the number and length of stents used was 0.27 (95% CI: 0.11-0.43, p<0.001) and 0.18 mm (95% CI: 0.08-0.27, p<0.001). Because the post-procedural minimal stent area achieved is one of the most important determinants of MACE after stenting<sup>2</sup>, achievement of larger luminal dimensions with IVUS guidance might lead to better clinical outcomes.

In this issue of EuroIntervention, Nerlekar et al<sup>3</sup> report an extensive meta-analysis concerning the clinical impact of IVUS-guided drug-eluting stent (DES) implantation. In a total of 9,313 patients from six randomised trials and nine observational studies, Article, see page 1632

IVUS guidance showed a significant reduction in major adverse cardiac events (MACE) compared with angiography guidance (odds ratio [OR] 0.71, 95% CI: 0.64-0.85, p<0.001). The difference was not only driven by the reduction of target lesion revascularisation (OR 0.77, 95% CI: 0.52-0.84, p<0.001), but also by the hard MACE endpoints of cardiac death (OR 0.55, 95% CI: 0.36-0.83, p=0.005), myocardial infarction (OR 0.67, 95% CI: 0.50-0.90, p=0.01), and stent thrombosis (OR 0.56, 95% CI: 0.40-0.79, p<0.001). In addition, the difference was consistent across first- and second-generation DES and a progressive trend towards a greater beneficial effect to reduce MACE was observed (OR 0.60, 95% CI: 0.48-0.75, p<0.001). Therefore, the authors suggested that the use of IVUS guidance should be encouraged in contemporary PCI.

Because only studies which were stratified by stent generation were included, the size of the data set decreased to 9,313 patients. However, the proportion of patients enrolled in randomised controlled trials has risen to 32% with recently published randomised trials, in comparison with the high proportion of observational data in previous meta-analyses (98.1% in Ahn et al<sup>1</sup>, 96.4% in Zhang et al<sup>4</sup>). Therefore, this study is based on one of the best data sets specifically regarding second-generation DES and is the first

\*Corresponding author: Heart Institute, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan, 388-1 Pungnap-dong, Songpa-gu, Seoul, 138-736, South Korea. E-mail: sjpark@amc.seoul.kr

DOI: 10.4244/EIJV12I13A257

to evaluate a positive temporal trend between stent generations. Because the use of new-generation DES produces better clinical outcomes compared to first-generation DES, it is claimed that the clinical benefit of IVUS would be smaller in second-generation DES compared to first-generation DES. Nevertheless, a clinical benefit for the clinical endpoint was also revealed in second-generation DES, even stronger in the recent studies with a progressive temporal trend. More recent large-volume clinical trials using second-generation DES have enrolled patients with difficult lesion characteristics. The CTO-IVUS trial enrolled 402 patients with chronic total occlusions<sup>5</sup> and the IVUS-XPL trial enrolled 1,400 patients with long coronary lesions (stent length  $\geq 28 \text{ mm})^6$ . Tan et al enrolled a total of 123 elderly patients with unprotected left main disease7. Therefore, the trend would imply that IVUS guidance is especially beneficial during complex PCI such as for chronic total occlusions or long lesions. In addition, improved IVUS catheters and better image quality could contribute to the beneficial effect.

The role of intravascular imaging is also highlighted in bioresorbable vascular scaffold (BVS) implantation. The recently published ILUMIEN III: OPTIMIZE PCI trial reported that intravascular imaging-guided BVS implantation, including optical coherence tomography (OCT) and IVUS, displayed a larger stent area than with angiographic guidance (5.79 mm<sup>2</sup> with OCT, 5.89 mm<sup>2</sup> with IVUS, and 5.49 mm<sup>2</sup> with angiography)<sup>8</sup>. The currently ongoing GUIDE-BVS trial (NCT02831218) comparing clinical outcomes between intravascular imaging and angiography-guided BVS implantation will address the role of intravascular imaging in the BVS era.

IVUS enables accurate lesion assessment, adequate stent selection and optimal stent outcome by way of increased stent size and minimal luminal diameter. Well composed IVUS images make for a good post-procedural result, thus achieving a good long-term clinical outcome. Small details make a big difference!

## Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

1. Ahn JM, Kang SJ, Yoon SH, Park HW, Kang SM, Lee JY, Lee SW, Kim YH, Lee CW, Park SW, Mintz GS, Park SJ. Metaanalysis of outcomes after intravascular ultrasound-guided versus angiography-guided drug-eluting stent implantation in 26,503 patients enrolled in three randomized trials and 14 observational studies. *Am J Cardiol.* 2014;113:1338-47.

2. Song HG, Kang SJ, Ahn JM, Kim WJ, Lee JY, Park DW, Lee SW, Kim YH, Lee CW, Park SW, Park SJ. Intravascular ultrasound assessment of optimal stent area to prevent in-stent restenosis after zotarolimus-, everolimus-, and sirolimus-eluting stent implantation. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;83:873-8.

3. Nerlekar N, Cheshire CJ, Verma KP, Ihdayhid AR, McCormick LM, Cameron JD, Bennett MR, Malaiapan Y, Meredith IT, Brown AJ. Intravascular ultrasound guidance improves clinical outcomes during implantation of both first- and second-generation drug-eluting stents: a meta-analysis. *EuroIntervention*. 2017;12:1632-42.

4. Zhang YJ, Pang S, Chen XY, Bourantas CV, Pan DR, Dong SJ, Wu W, Ren XM, Zhu H, Shi SY, Iqbal J, Gogas BD, Xu B, Chen SL. Comparison of intravascular ultrasound guided versus angiography guided drug eluting stent implantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2015;15:153.

5. Kim BK, Shin DH, Hong MK, Park HS, Rha SW, Mintz GS, Kim JS, Kim JS, Lee SJ, Kim HY, Hong BK, Kang WC, Choi JH, Jang Y; CTO-IVUS Study Investigators. Clinical Impact of Intravascular Ultrasound-Guided Chronic Total Occlusion Intervention With Zotarolimus-Eluting Versus Biolimus-Eluting Stent Implantation: Randomized Study. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:e002592.

6. Hong SJ, Kim BK, Shin DH, Nam CM, Kim JS, Ko YG, Choi D, Kang TS, Kang WC, Her AY, Kim YH, Hur SH, Hong BK, Kwon H, Jang Y, Hong MK; IVUS-XPL Investigators. Effect of Intravascular Ultrasound-Guided vs Angiography-Guided Everolimus-Eluting Stent Implantation: The IVUS-XPL Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2015;314:2155-63.

7. Tan Q, Wang Q, Liu D, Zhang S, Zhang Y, Li Y. Intravascular ultrasound-guided unprotected left main coronary artery stenting in the elderly. *Saudi Med J.* 2015;36:549-53.

8. Ali ZA, Maehara A, Généreux P, Shlofmitz RA, Fabbiocchi F, Nazif TM, Guagliumi G, Meraj PM, Alfonso F, Samady H, Akasaka T, Carlson EB, Leesar MA, Matsumura M, Ozan MO, Mintz GS, Ben-Yehuda O, Stone GW; ILUMIEN III: OPTIMIZE PCI Investigators. Optical coherence tomography compared with intravascular ultrasound and with angiography to guide coronary stent implantation (ILUMIEN III: OPTIMIZE PCI): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2016;388:2618-28.