## Reply: The discrepancy over the obesity paradox



Rafal Wolny<sup>1,2,3</sup>, MD, PhD; Akiko Maehara<sup>2,3</sup>\*, MD; Gary S. Mintz<sup>2</sup>, MD; Gregg W. Stone<sup>2,4</sup>, MD

1. National Institute of Cardiology, Warsaw, Poland; 2. Clinical Trials Center, Cardiovascular Research Foundation, New York, NY, USA; 3. New York-Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; 4. The Zena and Michael A. Wiener Cardiovascular Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

We appreciate the correspondence by Sueta et al<sup>1</sup> regarding our manuscript<sup>2</sup>.

In their letter, Sueta and colleagues refer to the results of their analysis showing that the prior or current diagnosis of cancer is associated with increased risk of future cardiovascular events after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)<sup>3</sup>. The authors suggest that lower all-cause and non-cardiac post-PCI mortality in patients who are overweight or have class I obesity compared with normal weight individuals observed in our analysis might result from differences in the prevalence of cancer across the body mass index (BMI) subgroups and that expanding our analysis by adding the factor of malignancy would help to clarify the observed "obesity paradox".

While we fully agree that unidentified and unmeasured confounders may explain this "obesity paradox", currently there is little that we can add to the already presented data for several reasons.

First, limited life expectancy (usually <2 years) was an exclusion criterion in all the trials in our analysis, with the sole exception of ACUITY. Thus, most patients with advanced cancer were probably excluded from these studies. Unfortunately, the studies in

our pooled analysis did not routinely ascertain the development of cancer after study entry. Thus, our study cannot be used for external validation of the findings by Sueta et al.

Second, our results are substantially different compared with the findings of Sueta et al<sup>3</sup>, who reported that, in non-cancer patients, BMI below the median was an independent risk factor for adverse cardiovascular outcomes (a composite of cardiovascular death, revascularisation, stroke, non-fatal myocardial infarction, and heart failure readmission). In our analysis, normal BMI was associated with higher all-cause mortality primarily due to greater non-cardiac mortality, without increased rates of cardiac death, myocardial infarction, revascularisation, or stent thrombosis compared with patients who were overweight or had class I obesity. While the reason for such discrepancy is not known, differences in patient selection and a much smaller sample size in the two studies (821 versus 22,922) might be important contributing factors.

Third, the hypothesis that malignancy is the underlying cause of the "obesity paradox" requires a higher prevalence of cancer in normal weight patients compared with those who are overweight and obese. This is unlikely given that obesity itself is a risk factor

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Clinical Trials Center, Cardiovascular Research Foundation, 1700 Broadway, 9th Floor, New York, NY 10019, USA. E-mail: amaehara@crf.org

for multiple types of cancer, including, but not limited to, endometrial, oesophageal, colorectal, post-menopausal breast, prostate, and renal cancer<sup>4</sup>. Even in the study by Sueta et al<sup>3</sup>, the mean BMI in cancer versus non-cancer groups was fairly similar.

Finally, we cannot exclude that a higher prevalence of cancer did not contribute to the excess all-cause and non-cardiac mortality that we observed in underweight patients (<18.5 kg/m²) compared with normal weight individuals. However, given the low number of underweight patients (n=137; 0.5%) and formal exclusions of malignancy in the majority of the studies, this contention should be considered hypothesis-generating only.

## **Conflict of interest statement**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

- 1. Sueta D, Tabata N, Tsujita K. Letter: The discrepancy over the obesity paradox. *EuroIntervention*. 2020;16:e355-6.
- 2. Wolny R, Maehara A, Liu Y, Zhang Z, Mintz GS, Redfors B, Madhavan MV, Smits PC, von Birgelen C, Serruys PW, Mehran R, Leon MB, Stone GW. The obesity paradox revisited: body mass index and long-term outcomes after PCI from a large pooled patient-level database. *EuroIntervention*. 2020;15: 1199-208.
- 3. Sueta D, Tabata N, Ikeda S, Saito Y, Ozaki K, Sakata K, Matsumura T, Yamamoto-Ibusuki M, Murakami Y, Jodai T, Fukushima S, Yoshida N, Kamba T, Araki E, Iwase H, Fujii K, Ihn H, Kobayashi Y, Minamino T, Yamagishi M, Maemura K, Baba H, Matsui K, Tsujita K. Differential predictive factors for cardiovascular events in patients with or without cancer history. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019;98:e17602.
- 4. De Pergola G, Silvestris F. Obesity as a major risk factor for cancer. *J Obes*. 2013;2013:291546.