

Reply: Caffeine and fractional flow reserve overestimation: a word of caution

Hidenari Matsumoto*, MD, PhD

Division of Cardiology, Showa University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

The letter by Siig et al¹ raises a question about caffeine's antagonism to adenosine-induced coronary hyperaemia, in connection with our recent publication², by quoting a paper by Kasumi et al³. They showed no relationship between adenosine triphosphate-induced hyperaemia and caffeine intake before fractional flow reserve (FFR) measurements. The paper, however, has critical limitations. First, they evaluated the time from the last caffeine intake alone and did not measure serum caffeine levels, which precluded any conclusion on the effect of caffeine on adenosine-induced FFR (FFR_{ADN}). Other than the time from the last caffeine intake, several factors influence serum caffeine levels, including habitual caffeine consumption, caffeine concentration or dose in food or beverages recently ingested, and the wide variation in the half-life of caffeine. Secondly, nicorandil was used as a comparator of adenosine triphosphate. Historically, the hyperaemic efficacy of adenosine has been validated against papaverine^{4,5}, which is the most reliable agent for maximal hyperaemia induction^{6,7}. Although nicorandil-induced FFR values were not statistically different from FFR_{ADN} values in validation studies for nicorandil FFR, the Bland-Altman limits of agreement were quite large^{8,9}. Additionally, the reports did not provide any information on serum caffeine levels and caffeine abstinence. Moreover, we recently demonstrated that nicorandil was inferior to papaverine in terms of hyperaemic efficacy⁷.

The authors hypothesise that caffeine, which binds to adenosine receptors, acts as a hyperaemic agent, which leads to FFR_{ADN} underestimations. This is an incoherent argument. It has been validated that caffeine antagonises the pharmacologic actions of

adenosine by competitively blocking adenosine receptor activity¹⁰. Our data demonstrated that serum caffeine level was the strongest factor associated with FFR_{ADN} overestimation in the multivariable analysis². Since FFR is the ratio of the mean distal coronary artery pressure (Pd) to the mean aortic pressure (Pa) during maximal hyperaemia – the lowest achievable Pd/Pa value – the term "FFR underestimation" does not make any sense.

Finally, the letter notes sex differences in caffeine antagonism to adenosine-induced hyperaemia. In a recently published Rubidium-82 positron emission tomography study conducted on healthy young volunteers, caffeine influenced adenosine-induced myocardial blood flow and myocardial flow reserve at lower serum caffeine levels in men than in women¹¹. This is an interesting standpoint. Microvascular function and adenosine receptor expression may differ between the sexes. Nevertheless, the result from healthy volunteers cannot be applied to adenosine-induced FFR measurements in older patients with coronary atherosclerosis or impaired microvascular function. Furthermore, the use of Rubidium-82 with a well-known roll-off phenomenon¹² is also a major limitation of the study. In our study, the result was consistent, regardless of sex.

The effect of caffeine on FFR_{ADN} is clinically relevant. Further investigation is warranted with a larger cohort of patients composed of different ethnic groups.

Conflict of interest statement

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Corresponding author: Division of Cardiology, Showa University School of Medicine, 1-5-8 Hatanodai Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 142-8555, Japan. Email: matsumoto.hidenari@med.showa-u.ac.jp

References

1. Siig C, Ahmad M. Letter: Caffeine and fractional flow reserve overestimation: a word of caution. *EuroIntervention*. 2022;18:524.
2. Tanaka H, Matsumoto H, Takahashi H, Hosonuma M, Sato S, Ogura K, Oishi Y, Masaki R, Sakai K, Sekimoto T, Kondo S, Tsujita H, Tsukamoto S, Sumida A, Okada N, Inoue K, Shinke T. Linear concentration-response relationship of serum caffeine with adenosine-induced fractional flow reserve overestimation: a comparison with papaverine. *EuroIntervention*. 2021;17:e925-31.
3. Kasumi I, Fujii K, Satoru O, Shin T, Katsuyuki H, Hiroto T, Rui I, Shingo Y, Sho N, Wataru Y, Hirofumi K, Yusuke T, Mikio K, Yuji S, Yorihiro H. Influence of caffeine intake on intravenous adenosine-induced fractional flow reserve. *J Cardio*. 2020;76: 72-8.
4. van der Voort PH, van Hagen E, Hendrix G, van Gelder B, Bech JW, Pijls NH. Comparison of intravenous adenosine to intracoronary papaverine for calculation of pressure-derived fractional flow reserve. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn*. 1996;39:120-5.
5. De Bruyne B, Pijls NH, Barbato E, Bartunek J, Bech JW, Wijns W, Heyndrickx GR. Intracoronary and intravenous adenosine 5'-triphosphate, adenosine, papaverine, and contrast medium to assess fractional flow reserve in humans. *Circulation*. 2003;107:1877-83.
6. Wilson RF, White CW. Intracoronary papaverine: an ideal coronary vasodilator for studies of the coronary circulation in conscious humans. *Circulation*. 1986;73:444-51.
7. Matsumoto H, Mikuri M, Masaki R, Tanaka H, Ogura K, Arai T, Sakai R, Oishi Y, Okada N, Shinke T. Feasibility of intracoronary nicorandil for inducing hyperemia on fractional flow reserve measurement: Comparison with intracoronary papaverine. *Int J Cardiol*. 2020;314:1-6.
8. Lee JM, Kato D, Oi M, Toyofuku M, Takashima H, Waseda K, Amano T, Kurita A, Ishihara H, Lim WH, Doh JH, Nam CW, Tanaka N, Koo BK. Safety and efficacy of intracoronary nicorandil as hyperaemic agent for invasive physiological assessment: a patient-level pooled analysis. *EuroIntervention*. 2016;12:e208-15.
9. Jang HJ, Koo BK, Lee HS, Park JB, Kim JH, Seo MK, Yang HM, Park KW, Nam CW, Doh JH, Kim HS. Safety and efficacy of a novel hyperaemic agent, intracoronary nicorandil, for invasive physiological assessments in the cardiac catheterization laboratory. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:2055-62.
10. van Dijk R, Ties D, Kuijpers D, van der Harst P, Oudkerk M. Effects of Caffeine on Myocardial Blood Flow: A Systematic Review. *Nutrients*. 2018;10:1083.
11. Lassen ML, Byrne C, Sheykhzade M, Wissenberg M, Hurry PK, Schmedes AV, Kjaer A, Hasbak P. Gender, Sex Differences and Caffeine Impact in Adenosine-Induced Hyperemia. *J Nucl Med*. 2022;63:431-7.
12. Salerno M, Beller GA. Noninvasive assessment of myocardial perfusion. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2009;2:412-24.