# *Repetita iuvant* (repeating helps): why another paper on a new stent is important

Giuseppe Sangiorgi<sup>1</sup>, MD, Giuseppe Biondi-Zoccai<sup>2</sup>, MD, Maria Grazia Modena<sup>2</sup>, MD

1. Division of Cardiology, University of Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy; 2. Division of Cardiology, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

Is interventional cardiology largely sorted out? Can we further improve results of currently available percutaneous revascularisation techniques and devices? More poignantly, in this time of economic hardship, can we afford further developments?<sup>1,2</sup>

Science and technology are moving forward, and most likely for the better. Recent innovations in bioabsorbable endoprostheses will surely have a major impact on the management of patients with coronary artery disease, when their high price will have been decreased or will have been proved worthwhile.<sup>3</sup> Moreover, ultradeliverable stents have been developed<sup>4</sup> with crossing profiles as small as 0.014".<sup>5</sup> Finally, the combination of antirestenotic and prohealing effects will play an ever increasing role in achieving a safe and effective percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).<sup>6</sup>

In the meanwhile, the key question for clinical practitioners and researchers is whether further improvements in coronary stents (i.e., metallic prostheses) are possible and clinically relevant. Indeed, recent results of randomised trials have clearly shown that first and second generation drug eluting stents (DES) can achieve very favourable clinical results, even in all comers,<sup>7-10</sup> or when compared to the gold standard treatment for severe coronary artery disease (CAD), namely coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG).<sup>11</sup>

Despite such breakthroughs, restenosis and stent thrombosis may still occur after implantation of any DES,<sup>12</sup> especially in challenging subsets such as left main disease<sup>13</sup> or acute myocardial infarction.<sup>14</sup> Thus, we welcome the recent preclinical study by Moretti et al which focuses on a novel DES, the Cre8 amphilimus-eluting stent (CID, Saluggia, Italy), published in this issue.<sup>15</sup> Before focusing on the details of the Cre8 stent, it is however appropriate to reconsider what would be the ideal features of a coronary endoprostheses (**Table 1**).

## Dreaming the dream: the perfect stent

The ideal stent should most likely have a number of key features in order to become as safe and as effective as possible. First and foremost, it should be remarkably biocompatible and safe. Thus, abluminal coating, antithrombotic efficacy, absence of polymer coating, pro-healing action, open cell design and thin struts should be mandatory features. In addition, it should be easy to use and deliver. Thus, the device should be extremely flexible, with a minimal crossing profile and supporting platform, yet suitably radiopaque to be visualised under fluoroscopic imaging. Moreover, any coronary endoprosthesis should minimise neointimal hyperplasia, and thus exert a potent, yet controlled, antirestenotic effect. Finally, the ideal device would not be a stent at all (but should we still call it a stent?). Conversely, its platform and drug coating should hopefully dissolve with time without eliciting any inflammation.<sup>3,16</sup>

As "best is the enemy of the good" (*le mieux est l'ennemi du bien* – Voltaire), no currently available or imaginable device possesses

Corresponding author: Division of Cardiology, University of Tor Vergata, Viale Oxford 81, 00133 Rome, Italy. E-mail: gsangiorgi@gmail.com

© Europa Edition 2012. All rights reserved.

DOI: 10.4244/EIJV7I9A162

Features	Details	Cre8 stent
Abluminal coating	No drug on the luminal surface of the stent	Yes (deep reservoirs which protect drug during stent implantation, do not add profile to stent strut, and avoid balloon sticking)
Antirestenotic effect	Inhibition of neointimal hyperplasia	Yes (amphilimus coating)
Antithrombotic effect	Inhibition of foreign body thrombogenicity	Yes (Carbofilm coating)
Bioabsorbable platform	Absorption of the endoprosthesis platform	No (a unique feature of the Absorb device [Abbott Vascular])
Flexible platform	Enhanced deliverability	Enhanced flexibility cobalt chromium platform Enhanced radiopacity
Minimal profile	Enhanced deliverability	No (a unique feature of the Acrobat stent [Svelte Medical] and of the Sparrow stent [Biosensors])
Open cell design	Limited risk of side branch compromise	Yes
Polymer-free	No inflammatory polymer	Yes (amphiphilic carrier)
Pro-healing effect	Inhibition of foreign body thrombogenicity	No (a unique feature of the Genous stent [OrbusNeich])
Radiopacity	Enhanced visibility during fluoroscopy/angiography	Yes (cobalt chromium platform)
Supporting platform	Limited risk of recoil and plaque prolapse	Yes
Thin strut thickness	Limited risk of side branch compromise	Yes (80 μm)

#### Table 1. Features of the ideal coronary endoprosthesis, with focus on the characteristics of the Cre8 stent.

simultaneously all the above features. Yet, several devices are available already –or will shortly be available– which can prove remarkably safe and effective, including several second generation DES, the Absorb prosthesis (Abbott Vascular, Abbott Park, IL, USA),<sup>3</sup> the Genous stent (OrbusNeich, Ft. Lauderdale, FL, USA),<sup>6</sup> the Acrobat stent (Svelte Medical, New Providence, NJ, USA),<sup>4</sup> and the Sparrow stent (Biosensors, Singapore).<sup>5</sup>

## Is the Cre8 stent a true creation?

The Cre8 stent is a mammalian target of rapamycin (m-TOR) inhibitor polymer-free eluting stent pre-mounted on a balloon catheter. The stent is a thin (80  $\mu$ m) cobalt-chromium alloy L605 structure characterised by two main features: the presence of proprietary reservoirs on the stent's outer surface, devoted to drug formulation containment, and a bio-inducer surface based on a permanent ultra-thin and high-density turbostratic carbon coating called i-Carbo-film, which has improved biocompatibility and is 0.03  $\mu$ m thick.<sup>17</sup>

The Cre8 uniqueness also consists in its formulation called amphilimus characterised by a non-polymeric amphiphilic carrier and an m-TOR inhibitor drug loaded at 0.9  $\mu$ g/mm<sup>2</sup>. The m-TOR inhibitor family is widely applied in DES, while the carrier is a small molecule extensively used as an excipient in pharmaceutical field and widely present in the body. Thanks to its amphiphilic properties, it is able to modulate the drug elution from the abluminal reservoirs into the vessel wall. In fact in a preliminary pharmacokinetic study reported by the authors, the Cre8 stent presents a maximum drug blood release concentration in rabbit virtually equal to zero (Cmax=1.74±0.372 ng/mL). This was also confirmed by an extremely low drug systemic availability over 72 hours (AUC=35.8±19.4 ng×h/mL). The tissue drug concentration profile thus shows an initial peak followed by a sustained release for the subsequent 28 days. As further evidence of this device's prolonged drug elution, quantification of the drug remaining on the stent revealed that only 50% of the drug total amount was released in about 18 days.

In the study by Moretti et al<sup>15</sup>, a total of 24 juvenile domestic pigs randomly received two stents (one stent in the left coronary artery and one in the right coronary artery) a total of 48 stents. The Cre8 stents were compared *in vivo* with the same stent platform loaded with just the amphiphilic carrier (R3 stent) and with sirolimus-eluting stents (SES, Cypher Select Plus, Cordis, Miami, FL, USA). Six pigs were sacrificed (12 stents) at seven days to conduct scanning electronic microscopy analysis to assess endothelisation extent, and in all groups the endothelial cells covered almost 100% of the strut surface. Only for the SES was the endothelial cell layer characterised by inhomogeneous cell growth.

Eighteen stents were explanted at 30 days and an additional 18 stents were explanted at 90 days follow-up for histological and histomorphometric examinations. Notably at 30 days, the measured neointimal thickness was lower for Cre8 ( $0.10\pm0.07$  mm) as compared to the other two groups (R3 is  $0.19\pm0.15$  mm and SES is  $0.17\pm0.13$  mm, p>0.05), as was the neointimal area of the Cre8 group in relation to the SES group (Cre8 0.93±0.43 mm<sup>2</sup>; R3 1.49±0.67 mm<sup>2</sup>; SES 1.81±0.94 mm<sup>2</sup>; Cre8 vs. SES, p<0.05). At 90 days, SES showed a statistically higher neointimal growth than Cre8 stent (Cre8 0.15±0.07 mm; R3 0.21±0.12 mm; SES 0.31±0.15 mm; Cre8 vs. SES p<0.05), with neointimal area 1.27±0.56 mm<sup>2</sup> for Cre8, 1.87±0.60 mm<sup>2</sup> for R3 and



 $2.79\pm1.14$  mm<sup>2</sup> for SES, respectively (Cre8 and R3 vs. SES p<0.05). Notably, acute and chronic inflammatory profile was significantly less with Cre8 compared to the R3 and SES groups.

Accordingly, in support of the enhanced biocompatibility of the i-Carbofilm coating, antiplatelet treatment was limited to aspirin from two days before to the seventh day after the intervention, with no supplementary antiplatelet drugs. Despite this premature antiplatelet discontinuation and the ensuing risks<sup>18</sup>, neither thrombus, incomplete strut apposition or myocardial infarction were detected in any animal either by angiography or by histology.

Notwithstanding the crucial role of preclinical research in guiding clinical research and, possibly, also informing clinical practice by explaining pathophysiologic mechanisms, data on clinical safety and efficacy are eagerly awaited.<sup>19,20</sup> Further insights will certainly be provided by the upcoming presentation at EuroPCR 2012 of the NEXT study, a randomised trial comparing the Cre8 stent with the Taxus Liberté stent in 300 patients with *de novo* coronary lesions. Preliminary data have already been reported by Didier Carrié at the 2011 TCT meeting.

## Conclusions

Despite major achievements in the development of coronary stents, further improvements can still be envisioned and realistically pursued. The novel Cre8 amphilimus-eluting stent holds the promise of becoming a useful adjunct in the interventional cardiologist's armamentarium. Perhaps another paper on a new stent is not as important as that stent's introduction into clinical practice.

## **Conflicts of interest**

G. Biondi-Zoccai has consulted for Abbott Vascular, CID, Cordis, Medtronic; lectured for Boston Scientific and Medtronic; and received a career grant from Medtronic. G. Sangiorgi has consulted for Biotronik, Eurocor and Medtronic and lectured for Abbott Vascular, Cordis and Medtronic. The other authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

#### References

1. Garg S, Serruys PW. Coronary stents: current status. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;56:S1-42.

2. Garg S, Serruys PW. Coronary stents: looking forward. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;56:S43-78.

3. Onuma Y, Serruys PW, Ormiston JA, Regar E, Webster M, Thuesen L, Dudek D, Veldhof S, Rapoza R. Three-year results of clinical follow-up after a bioresorbable everolimus-eluting scaffold in patients with de novo coronary artery disease: the ABSORB trial. *EuroIntervention* 2010;6:447-53.

4. Sarno G, Okamura T, Gomez-Lara J, Garg S, Girasis C, Kopia G, Pomeranz M, Easterbrook W, van Geuns RJ, van der Giessen W, Serruys PW. The coronary Stent-On-A-Wire (SOAW). *EuroIntervention* 2010;6:413-7.

5. Jilaihawi H, Farah B, Laborde JC. The use of self-expanding stents in coronary bifurcations and beyond: a paradigm revisited. *EuroIntervention* 2009;4:669-75.

6. Sangiorgi G, Morice MC, Bramucci E, Ferlini M, Grinfeld L, Petronio AS, Pierli C, Iadanza A, Biondi-Zoccai G, Colombo A. Evaluating the safety of very short-term (10 days) dual antiplatelet therapy after Genous Bio-engineered R stent<sup>™</sup> implantation: the multicenter pilot GENOUS trial. *EuroIntervention* 2011;7: 813-9.

7. Biondi-Zoccai GG, Agostoni P, Abbate A, Testa L, Burzotta F, Lotrionte M, Crea F, Biasucci LM, Vetrovec GW, Colombo A. Adjusted indirect comparison of intracoronary drug-eluting stents: evidence from a metaanalysis of randomized bare-metal-stent-controlled trials. *Int J Cardiol* 2005;100:119-23.

8. Biondi-Zoccai GG, Lotrionte M, Abbate A, Valgimigli M, Testa L, Burzotta F, Crea F, Agostoni P. Direct and indirect comparison meta-analysis demonstrates the superiority of sirolimus- versus paclitaxel-eluting stents across 5854 patients. *Int J Cardiol* 2007;114:104-5.

9. Serruys PW, Silber S, Garg S, van Geuns RJ, Richardt G, Buszman PE, Kelbaek H, van Boven AJ, Hofma SH, Linke A, Klauss V, Wijns W, Macaya C, Garot P, DiMario C, Manoharan G, Kornowski R, Ischinger T, Bartorelli A, Ronden J, Bressers M, Gobbens P, Negoita M, van Leeuwen F, Windecker S. Comparison of zotarolimus-eluting and everolimus-eluting coronary stents. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:136-46.

10. Kedhi E, Joesoef KS, McFadden E, Wassing J, van Mieghem C, Goedhart D, Smits PC. Second-generation everolimus-eluting and paclitaxel-eluting stents in real-life practice (COMPARE): a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2010;375:201-9.

11. Serruys PW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP, Colombo A, Holmes DR, Mack MJ, Ståhle E, Feldman TE, van den Brand M, Bass EJ, Van Dyck N, Leadley K, Dawkins KD, Mohr FW; SYNTAX Investigators. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary-artery bypass grafting for severe coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:961-72.

12. Biondi-Zoccai GG, Agostoni P, Moretti C, Meliga E, Sheiban I. Making sense of the recent meta-analytical confusion concerning the safety of drug-eluting stents. *EuroIntervention* 2007;3:381-5.

13. Biondi-Zoccai GG, Lotrionte M, Moretti C, Meliga E, Agostoni P, Valgimigli M, Migliorini A, Antoniucci D, Carrié D, Sangiorgi G, Chieffo A, Colombo A, Price MJ, Teirstein PS, Christiansen EH, Abbate A, Testa L, Gunn JP, Burzotta F, Laudito A, Trevi GP, Sheiban I. A collaborative systematic review and metaanalysis on 1278 patients undergoing percutaneous drug-eluting stenting for unprotected left main coronary artery disease. *Am Heart J* 2008;155:274-83.

14. Chechi T, Vecchio S, Vittori G, Giuliani G, Lilli A, Spaziani G, Consoli L, Baldereschi G, Biondi-Zoccai GG, Sheiban I, Margheri M. ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction due to early and late stent thrombosis a new group of high-risk patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;51:2396-402.

15. Moretti C, Lolli V, Perona G, Vignolini MC, Cabiale K, Falzone M, Galloni M. Cre8<sup>™</sup> coronary stent : preclinical in-vivo assessment of a new generation polymer-free DES with Amphilimus<sup>™</sup> formulation. *EuroIntervention* 2011;7:1087-94.



16. Sheiban I, Anselmino M, Moretti C, Biondi-Zoccai G, Galloni M, Vignolini C, Mattoni M, Sciuto F, Omedè P, Trevi GP. Effect of a novel drug-eluted balloon coated with genistein before stent implantation in porcine coronary arteries. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2008;97:891-8.

17. Paccagnella A, Majni G, Ottaviani G, Arm P, Santi M, Vallana F. Properties of a new carbon film for biomedical applications. *J Art Org* 1986;9:115-118.

18. Biondi-Zoccai GG, Lotrionte M, Agostoni P, Abbate A, Fusaro M, Burzotta F, Testa L, Sheiban I, Sangiorgi G. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the hazards of discontinuing or not

adhering to aspirin among 50,279 patients at risk for coronary artery disease. *Eur Heart J* 2006;27:2667-74.

19. Schwartz RS, Edelman ER, Carter A, Chronos N, Rogers C, Robinson KA, Waksman R, Weinberger J, Wilensky RL, Jensen DN, Zuckerman BD, Virmani R; Consensus Committee. Drug-eluting stents in preclinical studies: recommended evaluation from a consensus group. *Circulation* 2002;106:1867-73.

20. Biondi-Zoccai GG, Abbate A, Parisi Q, Agostoni P, Burzotta F, Sandroni C, Zardini P, Biasucci LM. Is vasopressin superior to adrenaline or placebo in the management of cardiac arrest? A meta-analysis. *Resuscitation* 2003;59:221-4.

