# **Prevalence**, predictors, and outcomes of in-stent restenosis with calcified nodules

**Takeshi Tada**\*, MD, PhD; Katsuya Miura, MD; Akihiro Ikuta, MD; Masanobu Ohya, MD, PhD; Takenobu Shimada, MD; Kohei Osakada, MD; Makoto Takamatsu, MD; Yuya Taguchi, MD; Shunsuke Kubo, MD; Hiroyuki Tanaka, MD; Yasushi Fuku, MD; Kazushige Kadota, MD, PhD

Department of Cardiology, Kurashiki Central Hospital, Kurashiki, Japan

This paper also includes supplementary data published online at: https://eurointervention.pcronline.com/doi/10.4244/EIJ-D-21-00504

# KEYWORDS

- calcified nodule
- in-stent restenosis
- optical coherence tomography

#### Abstract

**Background:** Calcified nodules (CN) have been reported as being associated with stent failure including in-stent restenosis (ISR). However, there is no systematic study of this condition.

Aims: We aimed to clarify the prevalence, predictors, and midterm results of ISR lesions with CN.

**Methods:** We examined the clinical characteristics of 651 ISR lesions in patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with optical coherence tomography (OCT) between October 2008 and July 2016, and their 6- to 8-month follow-up angiography results. CN was defined as a high backscattering mass with small nodular calcium depositions which protruded into the vessel lumen.

**Results:** Thirty-two ISR lesions (4.9%) had CN. Multivariable analysis showed that calcified lesion (odds ratio [OR] 12.441, p<0.001), incomplete stent apposition (OR 3.228, p=0.005), haemodialysis (OR 3.633, p=0.024), and female gender (OR 3.212, p=0.036) were independently associated with ISR lesions with CN. Midterm follow-up was performed on 612 ISR lesions. Both ISR and target lesion revascularisation (TLR) rates were significantly higher in lesions with CN compared with those without CN (43.8% vs 25.0%, p=0.023; 37.5% vs 18.8%, p=0.020, respectively). However, multivariate analysis did not show the presence of CN as an independent predictor of re-TLR (OR 1.690, p=0.286).

**Conclusions:** The prevalence of ISR lesions with CN was 4.9%. Calcified lesions, incomplete stent apposition, haemodialysis, and female gender are probably associated with CN formation. ISR lesions with CN may have poor midterm outcomes compared with ISR lesions without CN.

\*Corresponding author: Department of Cardiology, Kurashiki Central Hospital, 1-1-1 Miwa, Kurashiki, Okayama 710-8602, Japan. E-mail: tadatakecirc@yahoo.co.jp

# Abbreviations

ACS acute coronary syndrome BMS bare metal stent CAG coronary angiography CN calcified nodule(s) DES drug-eluting stent HD haemodialysis IA intimal area ISA incomplete stent apposition ISR in-stent restenosis MLA minimum lumen area MLD minimum lumen diameter OCT optical coherence tomography OFDI optical frequency domain imaging PCB paclitaxel-coated balloon PCI percutaneous coronary intervention POBA plain old balloon angioplasty QCA quantitative coronary angiographic analysis SA stent area SD stent diameter TLR target lesion revascularisation

# Introduction

Calcified nodules (CN) have been shown to be one of the causes of acute coronary syndrome (ACS)<sup>1,2</sup>. CN can be detected with optical coherence tomography (OCT) or optical frequency domain imaging (OFDI) in patients with ACS and in those with stable angina pectoris<sup>3-8</sup>. Based on OCT investigations, Lee et al reported that 4.2% of de novo culprit lesions had CN3. Histopathological data have suggested that CN consist of nodular calcification and fibrin deposition<sup>7,8</sup>. Additionally, CN have been reported to be associated with stent failure, including in-stent restenosis (ISR) and stent thrombosis9-13. Alfonso et al reported that a CN was observed in an ISR lesion two years after drug-eluting stent (DES) implantation<sup>10</sup>. Mori et al reported two cases of CN which caused in-stent failure<sup>11</sup>. In their study, histological data showed that CN consisted of nodular calcification and fibrin deposition. As mentioned above, there are some case reports of ISR lesions with CN. However, there is no systematic study of this condition.

The aim of this study was to clarify the prevalence and predictors of ISR lesions with CN using OCT and examine the results of their 6- to 8-month (midterm) follow-up coronary angiography (CAG) after repeat percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

# Methods

## STUDY DESIGN AND PATIENT POPULATION

This was a single-centre, retrospective observational study conducted at Kurashiki Central Hospital, Kurashiki, Japan. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Kurashiki Central Hospital. The risks of PCI and follow-up CAG were explained to each patient, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Between May 2008 and July 2016, we tried to perform pre-PCI OCT/OFDI imaging in 720 ISR lesions with 482 patients. The OCT/OFDI catheter could not pass through the lesions before PCI in 41 lesions out of 720 ISR lesions; these lesions were excluded from the study. Furthermore, adequate imaging could not be acquired in 28 lesions owing to insufficient elimination of red blood cells. Thus, 651 lesions in 432 patients were included in the study. After assessment of lesion morphology with OCT, PCI was performed with the use of plain old balloon angioplasty (POBA) alone (86 lesions), POBA+paclitaxelcoated balloon (PCB) (381 lesions), POBA+DES (180 lesions), or POBA+bare metal stent (BMS) (4 lesions). Morphological assessment of neointimal tissue with OCT or OFDI, including the presence or absence of CN, was also performed. Follow-up angiography at 6 to 8 months after PCI was performed in 612 ISR lesions in 404 patients (follow-up rate 94.0%) with a breakdown of POBA alone (81 lesions), POBA+PCB (355 lesions), POBA+DES (172 lesions), and POBA+BMS (4 lesions). We examined the association between the presence of CN and midterm (6- to 8-month) results, including the ISR and target lesion revascularisation (TLR) rates. The study flow diagram is provided in Figure 1.

<ul> <li>25,062 lesions in 11,173 patients treated with PCI between May 2008 and July 2016</li> <li>3,145 ISR lesions in 2,393 patients treated with PCI between May 2008 and July 2016</li> <li>700 ISR lesions in 464 patients who underwent pre-PCI OCT/OFDI imaging between May 2008 and July 2016</li> <li>OCT/OFDI catheter could not pass the lesions before PCI in 31 lesions Adequate imaging could not be acquired in 18 lesions</li> <li>651 ISR lesions with 432 patients in whom enough quality imaging could be aquired before PCI</li> <li>Assessment whether ISR lesions with or without a calcified nodule</li> <li>32 ISR lesions in 24 patients with or without a calcified nodule</li> <li>619 ISR lesions in 408 patients without CN</li> <li>612 ISR lesions in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>3,145 ISR lesions in 2,393 patients treated with PCI between May 2008 and July 2016</li> <li>700 ISR lesions in 464 patients who underwent pre-PCI OCT/OFDI imaging between May 2008 and July 2016</li> <li>OCT/OFDI catheter could not pass the lesions before PCI in 31 lesions Adequate imaging could not be acquired in 18 lesions</li> <li>651 ISR lesions with 432 patients in whom enough quality imaging could be aquired before PCI</li> <li>Assessment whether ISR lesions with or without a calcified nodule</li> <li>32 ISR lesions in 24 patients</li> <li>619 ISR lesions in 408 patients without CN</li> <li>612 ISR lesions in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)</li> </ul>	<b>25,062 lesions</b> in <b>11,173 patien</b> between May 2008 and July 2016	ts treated with PCI				
700 ISR lesions in 464 patients who underwent pre-PCI OCT/OFDI imaging between May 2008 and July 2016         OCT/OFDI catheter could not pass the lesions before PCI in 31 lesions         Adequate imaging could not be acquired in 18 lesions         651 ISR lesions with 432 patients in whom enough quality imaging could be aquired before PCI         Assessment whether ISR lesions with or without a calcified nodule         V         32 ISR lesions in 24 patients         with CN         613 ISR lesions in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)	3,145 ISR lesions in 2,393 patie between May 2008 and July 2016	ents treated with PCI				
OCT/OFDI catheter could not pass the lesions before PCI in 31 lesions         Adequate imaging could not be acquired in 18 lesions         651 ISR lesions with 432 patients in whom enough quality imaging could be aquired before PCI         Assessment whether ISR lesions with or without a calcified nodule         32 ISR lesions in 24 patients         619 ISR lesions in 408 patients         with CN         612 ISR lesions in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)	700 ISR lesions in 464 patients between May 2008 and July 2016	who underwent pre-PCI OCT/OFDI imaging				
651 ISR lesions with 432 patients in whom enough quality imaging could be aquired before PCI Assessment whether ISR lesions with or without a calcified nodule 32 ISR lesions in 24 patients with CN 619 ISR lesions in 408 patients without CN 612 ISR lesions in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)	OCT/OFDI catheter could  Adequate imaging could	l not pass the lesions before PCI in 31 lesions not be acquired in 18 lesions				
Assessment whether ISR lesions with or without a calcified nodule 32 ISR lesions in 24 patients with CN 619 ISR lesions in 408 patients without CN 612 ISR lesions in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)	651 ISR lesions with 432 patien could be aquired before PCI	nts in whom enough quality imaging				
Assessment whether ISR lesions with or without a calcified nodule 32 ISR lesions in 24 patients with CN 619 ISR lesions in 408 patients without CN 612 ISR lesions in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)	<b>↓</b>					
32 ISR lesions in 24 patients with CN 619 ISR lesions in 408 patients without CN 612 ISR lesions in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)	Assessment whether ISR lesions	with or without a calcified nodule				
32 ISR lesions in 24 patients with CN 619 ISR lesions in 408 patients without CN 612 ISR lesions in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)		<b>\</b>				
612 ISR lesions in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)	32 ISR lesions in 24 patients with CN619 ISR lesions in 408 patients without CN					
<b>612 ISR lesions</b> in which follow-up CAG was performed at 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up rate 94.0%)						
	<b>612 ISR lesions</b> in which follow- 6-8 months after PCI (follow-up r	up CAG was performed at rate 94.0%)				

**Figure 1.** *Study flow diagram. CAG: coronary angiography;* CN: calcified nodule; ISR: in-stent restenosis; OCT: optical coherence tomography; OFDI: optical frequency domain imaging; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention

#### PCI AND OCT PROCEDURES

Cardiac catheterisation was performed with the use of 6 Fr or 7 Fr sheaths and a guiding catheter via the transradial or brachial approach. Intravenous heparin (about 100 U/kg, adjusted depending on age) and intracoronary nitrates were administered at the beginning of the procedure. After the initial angiography of the target vessel, OCT was performed to obtain information on the entire target vessel. Predilatation using a coronary balloon with a small diameter (1.5 to 2.25 mm) was performed before OCT imaging in 17 lesions

because the target lesions were too tight to allow the OCT catheter to pass through. Between July 2008 and September 2011, time domain OCT was performed with the use of a 0.016-inch OCT catheter (ImageWire; LightLab Imaging). The OCT catheter was advanced to the distal end of the stent through a 3 Fr occlusion balloon catheter. To remove blood from the field of view, an occlusion balloon was inflated up to 0.6 atm at a site proximal to the lesion, and warm lactated Ringer's solution was infused into the coronary artery from the distal tip of the occlusion balloon catheter at a rate of 0.7 ml/s. Between October 2011 and July 2016, frequency domain OCT was performed with the use of a monorail-type OCT imaging catheter (Dragonfly [St. Jude Medical], or FastView [Terumo Corp.]). The OCT imaging catheter was advanced to the distal end of the stent along a 0.014-inch coronary guidewire. To remove blood from the field of view, a contrast agent was infused. The entire lesion length was imaged with an auto-pullback, and the OCT image clearly visualised the target vessel. After all the recordings, PCI was performed for all lesions. First, all lesions were dilated with a high-pressure balloon, a scoring balloon, or both. In the POBA group, the procedure was completed at that point. In the PCB group, further balloon dilatation with the use of a PCB (SeQuent Please balloon catheter; B. Braun Medical) was performed. The recommended inflation time for the PCB was 60 seconds, and the inflation pressure was a rated burst pressure (14 atm). In the DES or BMS groups, additional DES or BMS implantation and postdilatation with a high-pressure balloon were performed. The types of DES used in this study were as follows: sirolimus-eluting stent, 24 lesions; paclitaxel-eluting stent, 22 lesions; zotarolimus-eluting stent, 14 lesions; cobalt-chromium everolimus-eluting stent, 77 lesions; platinum-chromium everolimus-eluting stent, 20 lesions; biolimus-eluting stent, 21 lesions; and Ultimaster sirolimus-eluting stent (Terumo Corp.), 2 lesions. Because this was a retrospective study, there was no clear criterion for choosing a treatment strategy (POBA, PCB, DES, or BMS); it depended on the operator's choice. Generally, we added stent implantation when we could not obtain a good angiographic result after predilatation with a high-pressure balloon.

#### IMAGE ANALYSIS OF CAG AND OCT

Quantitative coronary angiography analysis (QCA) was performed with an automatic edge-detection system (QCA-CMS version 6; Medis Medical Imaging Systems BV). The analysis was performed through the in-stent segment and the adjacent proximal and distal 5 mm vessel segments. Quantitative measurements included reference vessel diameter, minimal luminal diameter, percentage diameter stenosis, and lesion length. Derived variables were as follows: acute gain = postprocedural minimum lumen diameter – preprocedural minimum lumen diameter and late loss = postprocedural minimum lumen diameter – follow-up minimum lumen diameter. Lesion morphologies before previous stenting, including angulated lesions, bifurcation lesions, calcified lesions, and chronic total occlusion, were defined angiographically according to the modified American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) lesion morphology criteria<sup>14</sup>. Calcification was identified as readily apparent radiopacities within the vascular wall at the site of the stenosis and was classified as none/mild, moderate (radiopacities noted only during the cardiac cycle before contrast injection), and severe (radiopacities noted without cardiac motion before contrast injection, generally compromising both sides of the arterial lumen)<sup>15</sup>. Lesions with moderate/severe calcifications were defined as calcified lesions.

The OCT data were stored digitally and analysed by an OCT imaging system (LightLab Imaging), the ILUMIEN OCT imaging system (St. Jude Medical), or the LUNAWAVE imaging system (Terumo Corp.). Qualitative analyses of OCT images were performed at the minimum lumen area (MLA) site and every 1 mm of the preceding and following 5 frames (10 mm, 11 frames) before and after the procedure. The lumen area and stent area (SA) were traced manually, and the stent diameter (SD) and the intimal area (IA) were automatically calculated. Percent IA (%IA) was calculated as the IA divided by the SA. Differences in lumen area, SD, SA, and IA before and after the procedure were defined as the initial gain,  $\Delta$ SD,  $\Delta$ SA, and  $\Delta$ IA, respectively. Based on the OCT image measurements, we determined the MLA site, minimum stent area (MSA) site, and CN site. We measured the distance between the MLA and CN sites, and calculated stent expansions at the three sites. The CN site was defined as a frame with the largest intimal area in which CN was observed. The percentage of stent expansion was defined as stent area divided by the mean of the largest proximal and distal reference lumen area. The largest proximal and distal reference lumen areas were identified within each reference segment adjacent (<5 mm) to each stent edge before the procedure<sup>16</sup>. ISA was defined as a distance between the adluminal surface of the strut and the vessel wall greater than the thickness of each stent strut<sup>17</sup>, and multiple interstrut hollows (MIH) were defined as (1) the existence of hollows between and outside the well-apposed stent struts, and (2) the maximum depths of the hollows  $>0.5 \text{ mm}^{18}$ .

Qualitative analyses of OCT images were performed by two experienced physicians (T. Tada and K. Miura) who were blinded to clinical and angiographic lesion characteristics. CN was defined as a high backscattering mass with small nodular calcium depositions which protruded in the vessel lumen and had an uneven surface and signal attenuation assessed with OCT or OFDI<sup>3,8</sup>. When the two physicians had different opinions, they reached a final decision after discussion. To assess inter-observer variability in the diagnosis of OCT findings of the presence of CN, OCT images were analysed independently by two experienced interventional cardiologists (T. Tada and K. Miura) who were blinded to the clinical data. Furthermore, one of the two observers (T. Tada) evaluated all OCT images again at four months after the initial evaluation to assess the intra-observer variability in the diagnosis of OCT findings for the presence of CN.

#### CLINICAL AND ANGIOGRAPHIC FOLLOW-UP AFTER PCI

Clinical and angiographic follow-up was performed at 6 months after a successful procedure in the POBA and PCB groups and at 8 months in the DES group. A follow-up angiogram was obtained earlier if clinically indicated. Binary restenosis at follow-up was defined as stenosis occupying more than 50% of the diameter. TLR was defined as any repeat PCI or coronary bypass surgery owing to restenosis (percentage diameter stenosis  $\geq$ 50%) associated with symptoms or objective signs of ischaemia.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data are expressed as means±standard deviations, except for the data pertaining to time from PCI to ISR because these data did not follow a normal distribution. The data pertaining to time from PCI to ISR are expressed as medians (interquartile range). Intergroup comparisons were conducted by the  $\chi^2$  independence test or Fisher's exact probability test, and differences in mean values were tested by the Student's t-test at a critical level of 5% or lower. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare time from PCI to ISR between the two groups. Multiple lesions within the same patient were assumed to be independent of each other. If a patient had two lesions with and without CN, he/she was regarded as a CN patient. To assess the independent predictors of the presence of CN in lesion-level data, multivariable analysis was performed with the use of generalised estimation equations. Rotablation was not adopted in the multivariate analysis because it exhibited a strong causal relationship with calcified lesion, and calcified lesion was adopted in the multivariate analysis. To assess the independent predictors of the occurrence of re-TLR in lesion-level data, multivariable analysis was also performed with the use of generalised estimation equations. Variables were adopted in multivariate analysis if the p-value in univariate analyses was less than 0.10. Inter- and intra-observer variabilities in the diagnosis of tissue morphology were evaluated by Cohen's coefficient kappa. All data were analysed with SPSS software, Version 27.0.0.0 (IBM Corp.).

#### Results

# PATIENT AND LESION CHARACTERISTICS WITH AND WITHOUT CN, AND THE PREVALENCE OF CN

The patients were 346 men and 86 women with a mean age of  $69.0\pm9.7$  years. The median period from the initial PCI to ISR was 0.86 years (range 0.68-2.15). ISR lesions with CN were observed in 32 lesions (24 patients), the prevalence of CN was 4.9%. Two representative cases of an ISR lesion with CN are shown in the **Central illustration**. Patient and lesion characteristics with and without CN are listed in **Table 1** and **Table 2**. In patients with CN, there were more women than men (37.5% vs 18.9%, p=0.035). The prevalence of haemodialysis (HD) was higher in patients with CN than in those without CN (29.2% vs 5.6%, p=0.001) (**Table 1**). Lesions with CN were more frequently observed than those without CN in calcified



**Central illustration.** Two representative cases of ISR lesions with calcified nodules. Case 1: A) An ISR lesion was observed in the mid portion of the right coronary artery three years after paclitaxel-eluting stent implantation in a 55-year-old HD patient (white arrows). B) Magnified view of the lesion. C) & D) OCT images showed a protruding irregular mass with the deposition of small nodular calcification (asterisks). Case 2: E) An ISR lesion was observed in the mid portion of the right coronary artery one year after platinum-chromium everolimus-eluting stent implantation in an 81-year-old HD patient (white arrows). F) Magnified view of the lesion. G) & H) OCT images showed a protruding irregular mass with the deposition of small nodular calcification (asterisks).

	All n=432	CN (+) n=24	CN (–) n=408	Univariate <i>p</i> -value			
Age, years	69.0±9.7	69.8±9.5	69.0±9.8	0.696			
Female, n (%)	86 (19.9)	9 (37.5)	77 (18.9)	0.035			
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	179 (41.4)	14 (58.3)	165 (40.4)	0.092			
Hyperlipidaemia, n (%)	260 (60.2)	12 (50.0)	248 (60.8)	0.294			
Hypertension, n (%)	325 (75.2)	21 (87.5)	304 (74.5)	0.223			
Current smoker, n (%)	24 (5.6)	1 (4.2)	23 (5.6)	1.000			
Haemodialysis, n (%)	30 (6.9)	7 (29.2)	23 (5.6)	0.001			
ACS, n (%)	13 (3.0)	0 (0)	13 (3.2)	0.612			
ACS: acute coronary syndrome; CN: calcified nodule(s)							

#### Table 2. Characteristics of lesions with and without CN.

		All n=651	CN (+) n=32	CN (–) n=619	Univariate <i>p</i> -value
Previous stent type	BMS-ISR, n (%)	83 (12.7)	2 (6.3)	81 (13.1)	
	1 <sup>st</sup> generation DES-ISR, n (%)	275 (42.2)	12 (37.5)	263 (42.5)	0.326
	2 <sup>nd</sup> generation DES-ISR, n (%)	293 (45.0)	18 (56.3)	275 (44.4)	
ISR after PCB dilata	tion, n (%)	106 (16.3)	9 (28.1)	97 (15.7)	0.082
First time ISR, n (%)	)	380 (58.4)	13 (40.6)	367 (59.3)	0.043
Time from PCI to ISF	R, year	0.86 (0.68-2.15)	1.00 (0.40-6.05)	0.85 (0.69-2.08)	0.774
Lesion site	Left main trunk, n (%)	8 (1.2)	2 (6.3)	6 (1.0)	
	Right coronary artery, n (%)	324 (49.8)	21 (65.6)	303 (48.9)	0.008
	Left anterior descending, n (%)	240 (36.9)	6 (18.8)	234 (37.8)	0.008
	Left circumflex, n (%)	79 (12.1)	3 (9.4)	76 (12.3)	
Right coronary artery	r, n (%)	324 (49.8)	21 (65.6)	303 (48.9)	0.072
Presence of ISA in p	revious stent, n (%)	142 (21.8)	14 (43.8)	128 (20.7)	0.004
Presence of MIH in a	a previous stent, n (%)	152 (23.3)	11 (34.4)	141 (22.8)	0.136
ISR in angulated les	ions, n (%)	54 (8.3)	4 (12.5)	50 (8.1)	0.328
ISR in bifurcation le	sions, n (%)	91 (14.0)	1 (3.1)	90 (14.5)	0.071
ISR in calcified lesion	ons, n (%)	146 (22.4)	21 (65.6)	125 (20.2)	0.000
ISR after rotablation	, n (%)	49 (7.5)	15 (46.9)	34 (5.5)	0.000
ISR with stent fractu	ıre, n (%)	92 (14.1)	7 (21.9)	85 (13.7)	0.195
ISR in previous CTO site, n (%)		162 (24.9)	5 (15.6)	157 (25.4)	0.294

BMS: bare metal stent; CN: calcified nodule(s); CTO: chronic total occlusion; DES: drug-eluting stent; ISA: incomplete stent apposition; ISR: in-stent restenosis; MIH: multiple interstrut hollows; PCB: paclitaxel-coated balloon; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention

lesions (65.6% vs 20.2%, p<0.001) and lesions after rotablation (46.9% vs 5.5%, p<0.001). Lesions with CN were less frequently observed than those without CN in the first-time ISR lesions (40.6% vs 59.3%, p=0.043). Incomplete stent apposition (ISA) in previously implanted stents was more frequently observed in lesions with CN than in those without CN (43.8% vs 20.7%, p=0.004) (Table 2).

#### PREDICTORS OF ISR LESIONS WITH CN

A multivariate analysis was performed for predictors of ISR lesions with CN (**Table 3**). It showed that calcified lesions, the presence of ISA in previously implanted stents, HD, and female gender were independently associated with the prevalence of ISR lesions with CN.

# QCA ANALYSIS OF LESIONS WITH AND WITHOUT CN AT PCI AND FOLLOW-UP CAG

QCA analysis outcomes for lesions with and without CN at PCI and follow-up CAG are listed in **Table 4**.

At PCI, the reference diameter of lesions with CN was significantly larger than that of those without CN  $(3.30\pm0.50 \text{ mm vs} 2.94\pm0.45 \text{ mm}, p<0.001)$ . There were no significant differences in lesion length, preprocedural minimum lumen diameter, preprocedural percent diameter stenosis, postprocedural minimum lumen diameter, and acute gain between lesions with and without CN.

Follow-up CAG was performed in 612 lesions (32 lesions with CN and 580 lesions without CN; follow-up rate 94.0%) at 6 to

# Table 3. Predictors of ISR lesion with calcified nodule.

	Multivariate analysis Odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Female	3.212 (1.079-9.564)	0.036
Diabetes mellitus	1.603 (0.575-4.473)	0.368
Haemodialysis	3.633 (1.182-11.156)	0.024
ISR after PCB dilatation	1.455 (0.435-4.865)	0.543
First time ISR	0.522 (0.210-1.293)	0.160
Right coronary artery lesion	1.366 (0.491-3.800)	0.551
Presence of ISA in a previous stent	3.228 (1.435-7.257)	0.005
ISR in bifurcation lesion	0.255 (0.040-1.644)	0.151
ISR in calcified lesion	12.441 (4.797-32.266)	0.000
ISA: incomplete stent apposition; ISF PCB: paclitaxel-coated balloon	R: in-stent restenosis;	

8 months after PCI. At follow-up CAG, the percent diameter stenosis of lesions with CN was significantly larger than that of the lesions without CN (48.8%±27.9% vs 37.8%±22.7%, p=0.009). Late lumen loss tended to be greater in lesions with CN than in those without CN and the net gain tended to be smaller in lesions with CN than in those without CN.

# OCT FINDINGS IN ISR LESIONS WITH AND WITHOUT CN

CN were identified at stent borders in 4 out of 32 CN cases that were adjacent (<5 mm) to a stent edge; the CN were identified within the body of the stent in the other 28 CN cases. The CN sites were the same cross-sections as the MLA sites in 18 out of 32 CN cases and mean distance between the two sites was  $0.79\pm1.45$  mm.

We compared OCT findings before and after the procedures between ISR lesions with and without CN (Table 5). Before the procedure, MLD, MLA, SD, and SA were significantly larger, %IA was smaller, and the stent underexpansion rate tended to be higher in

Table 4. QCA analysis of lesions	with and without a calcified nodule.
----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

ine 4. Que analysis un resions with and without a calcined noune.								
At PCI	All CN (+) n=651 n=32		CN (–) n=619	Univariate <i>p</i> -value				
Reference diameter, mm	2.95±0.46	3.30±0.50	2.94±0.45	0.000				
Lesion length, mm	14.5±8.69	13.0±6.33	14.6±8.80	0.178				
Pre MLD, mm	0.95±0.45	1.09±0.53	0.94±0.44	0.070				
Percent diameter stenosis, %	67.7±14.2	67.3±14.5	67.7±14.2	0.873				
Post MLD, mm	2.26±0.49	2.39±0.44	2.25±0.49	0.102				
Acute gain, mm	1.31±0.59	1.30±0.67	1.31±0.58	0.982				
At follow-up CAG	All n=612	CN (+) n=32	CN (–) n=580	Univariate <i>p</i> -value				
				P faile				
MLD, mm	1.81±0.72	1.66±0.90	1.82±0.71	0.330				
MLD, mm Percent diameter stenosis, %	1.81±0.72 38.3±23.1	1.66±0.90 48.8±27.9	1.82±0.71 37.8±22.7	0.330 0.009				
MLD, mm Percent diameter stenosis, % Late loss, mm	1.81±0.72 38.3±23.1 0.44±0.70	1.66±0.90 48.8±27.9 0.73±0.96	1.82±0.71 37.8±22.7 0.43±0.68	0.330 0.009 0.082				
MLD, mm Percent diameter stenosis, % Late loss, mm Net gain, mm	1.81±0.72           38.3±23.1           0.44±0.70           0.86±0.75	1.66±0.90           48.8±27.9           0.73±0.96           0.57±1.01	1.82±0.71           37.8±22.7           0.43±0.68           0.88±0.73	0.330 0.009 0.082 0.095				
MLD, mm Percent diameter stenosis, % Late loss, mm Net gain, mm In-stent restenosis rate, n (%)	1.81±0.72 38.3±23.1 0.44±0.70 0.86±0.75 159 (26.0)	1.66±0.90           48.8±27.9           0.73±0.96           0.57±1.01           14 (43.8)	1.82±0.71           37.8±22.7           0.43±0.68           0.88±0.73           145 (25.0)	0.330 0.009 0.082 0.095 0.023				
MLD, mm Percent diameter stenosis, % Late loss, mm Net gain, mm In-stent restenosis rate, n (%) TLR rate, n (%)	1.81±0.72         38.3±23.1         0.44±0.70         0.86±0.75         159 (26.0)         121 (19.8)	1.66±0.90         48.8±27.9         0.73±0.96         0.57±1.01         14 (43.8)         12 (37.5)	1.82±0.71         37.8±22.7         0.43±0.68         0.88±0.73         145 (25.0)         109 (18.8)	0.330 0.009 0.082 0.095 0.023 0.020				

CAG: coronary angiography; CN: calcified nodule(s); MLD: minimum lumen diameter; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; QCA: quantitative coronary angiography; TLR: target lesion revascularisation

lesions with CN than in those without CN. After the procedure, MLD, MLA, SD, and SA were significantly larger, and %IA was smaller in lesions with CN than in those without CN. There were no differences in IA, initial gain,  $\Delta$ SD,  $\Delta$ SA, and  $\Delta$ IA between the two groups.

Furthermore, we examined the stent expansion at MLA and MSA sites in all cases, and at the CN site in CN cases before and after procedures (Table 5). The stent expansions were significantly smaller in lesions with CN than those in lesions without CN both before and after the procedure at the MLA and MSA sites. Mean stent expansions at the CN site in CN cases before and after procedures were 71.0±10.9 % and 97.3±20.3 %, respectively.

# OCT FINDINGS OF CN IN PATIENTS WITH AND WITHOUT HD

In 32 lesions with CN, there were 9 CN lesions in patients with HD. We also compared OCT findings before and after the procedures between CN lesions in patients with and without HD (Supplementary Table 1). Proximal and mean reference lumen area were significantly larger in patients with HD than in those without HD. Before the procedure, there were no significant differences in MLD, MLA, SD, SA, IA, %IA, and stent expansion between the two groups. After the procedure, IA tended to be larger in CN lesions with HD than in those without HD (3.96±1.51 mm<sup>2</sup> vs 2.71±1.60 mm<sup>2</sup>, p=0.056); however, there were no differences in MLD, MLA, SD, SA, %IA, initial gain, ΔSD, ΔSA, ΔIA, and stent expansion between the two groups.

# MIDTERM RESULTS AFTER PCI FOR ISR LESIONS WITH AND WITHOUT CN

As shown in **Figure 2**, both the re-ISR and the re-TLR rates at 6 to 8 months after PCI were significantly higher in lesions with CN than in in those without CN (43.8% vs 25.0%, p=0.023; 37.5% vs 18.8%, p=0.020; respectively).

		CN	Non-CN	<i>p</i> -value
Before the procedure		n=32	n=619	
Distal re mm <sup>2</sup>	ference lumen area,	8.60±2.66	6.00±2.37	0.000
Proxima mm <sup>2</sup>	I reference lumen area,	9.65±2.73	7.39±2.34	0.000
Mean re mm <sup>2</sup>	ference lumen area,	9.12±2.47	6.70±2.21	0.000
At MLA site	Minimal lumen diameter, mm	1.66±0.47	1.32±0.50	0.000
	Minimal lumen area, mm <sup>2</sup>	2.30±1.11	1.43±0.74	0.000
	Stent diameter, mm	3.04±0.55	2.84±0.52	0.035
	Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup>	7.51±2.83	6.56±2.36	0.030
	Intimal area, mm <sup>2</sup>	5.21±2.41	5.14 ± 2.15	0.861
	Intimal area, %	68.6±13.6	77.0±10.8	0.000
	Stent expansion, %	81.9±17.5	99.4±22.4	0.000
At MSA	Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup>	6.24±1.58	5.89±2.11	0.234
site	Stent expansion, %	69.5±10.5	88.6±17.0	0.000
At CN	Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup>	6.39±1.65		
site	Stent expansion, %	71.0±10.9		
After the	e procedure	n=30	n=557	
At MLA site	Minimal lumen diameter, mm	2.79±0.45	2.50±0.48	0.002
	Minimal lumen area, mm <sup>2</sup>	6.30±1.91	5.13±1.96	0.001
	Stent diameter, mm	3.41±0.53	3.20±0.51	0.032
	Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup>	9.39±2.82	8.28±2.61	0.024
	Intimal area, mm <sup>2</sup>	3.09±1.66	3.15±1.52	0.834
	Intimal area, %	31.8±14.8	38.1±13.0	0.010
	Initial gain, mm <sup>2</sup>	3.95±1.98	3.72±1.84	0.508
	∆Stent diameter, mm	0.35±0.36	0.36±0.27	0.870
	∆Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup>	1.78±2.14	1.70±1.36	0.750
	,			
	ΔIntimal area, mm <sup>2</sup>	2.17±2.17	2.03±1.63	0.641
	∆Intimal area, mm <sup>2</sup> Stent expansion, %	2.17±2.17 103±18.8	2.03±1.63 126±24.7	0.641 0.000
At MSA	∆Intimal area, mm <sup>2</sup> Stent expansion, % Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup>	2.17±2.17 103±18.8 8.65±2.42	2.03±1.63 126±24.7 7.29±2.38	0.641 0.000 0.002
At MSA site	ΔIntimal area, mm²Stent expansion, %Stent area, mm²Stent expansion, %	2.17±2.17 103±18.8 8.65±2.42 95.8±19.0	2.03±1.63 126±24.7 7.29±2.38 111±21.1	0.641 0.000 0.002 0.000
At MSA site At CN	∆Intimal area, mm <sup>2</sup> Stent expansion, % Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup> Stent expansion, % Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup>	2.17±2.17 103±18.8 8.65±2.42 95.8±19.0 8.81±2.59	2.03±1.63 126±24.7 7.29±2.38 111±21.1	0.641 0.000 0.002 0.000
At MSA site At CN site	∆Intimal area, mm <sup>2</sup> Stent expansion, % Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup> Stent expansion, % Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup> Stent expansion, %	2.17±2.17 103±18.8 8.65±2.42 95.8±19.0 8.81±2.59 97.3±20.3	2.03±1.63 126±24.7 7.29±2.38 111±21.1	0.641 0.000 0.002 0.000

Table 5. OCT findings in ISR lesions with and without calcified nodules.

area; MSA: minimum stent area; OCT: optical coherence tomography

To assess the independent predictors of the occurrence of re-TLR, multivariable analysis was performed. It showed that HD and ISR lesions in previous CTO sites (and not CN) were independent predictors of re-TLR (Table 6).

#### ASSOCIATION BETWEEN MIDTERM RESULTS AND PCI DEVICES IN LESIONS WITH AND WITHOUT CN

The differences in the midterm results between lesions with and without CN with respect to each PCI device are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 2. Midterm (6 to 8 months) results after PCI for ISR lesions with and without CN. The ISR and TLR rates in lesions with CN were significantly higher than those in lesions without CN. CN: calcified nodule; ISR: in-stent restenosis; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; TLR: target lesion revascularisation

It was difficult to assess the effect of POBA on lesions with CN because the number of lesions with CN treated with POBA alone was too small. It was also difficult to assess the effects of BMS on lesions with CN. The data are not presented because the number of lesions with CN treated with BMS was also too small.

#### REPRODUCIBILITY OF ANALYSED OCT RESULTS

Inter- and intra-observer variabilities (k values) in qualitative OCT assessments were 0.84/0.84 for CN.

# Discussion

This is the first study on the prevalence of ISR lesions with CN. The major findings are as follows: 1) the prevalence of ISR lesions with CN was 4.9%, it was especially high in calcified lesions, HD patients, female patients, and lesions with ISA in previously implanted stents, and 2) ISR lesions with CN treated with either PCB or DES may have poor midterm outcomes compared with ISR lesions without CN.

Using OCT, Lee et al reported that 4.2% of de novo culprit lesions contained CN<sup>3</sup>. In this study, the prevalence of ISR lesions with CN was 4.9%; this value was relatively close to the result described above. The same authors also reported that the proportion of females, diabetes mellitus, and HD were significantly higher in lesions with CN than in those without CN<sup>3</sup>. These results are consistent with our results.

In this study, HD was also one of the independent predictors of ISR lesions with CN (OR 5.284, 95% CI: 1.989 to 14.038, p=0.001). It is well known that coronary plaques in HD patients are characterised by marked calcifications<sup>19-21</sup>. Chin et al reported that the prevalence of CN in HD patients was 16% in culprit lesions and 13% in non-culprit lesions, these rates were relatively high<sup>21</sup>. It was reported that a) HD patients are exposed to metabolic

Table 6. Predictors of re-TLR in ISR lesions with and without calcified nodule.

		TLR (+) n=121	TLR (-) n=491	Univariate <i>p</i> -value	Multivariate analysis Odds ratio (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value
Female, n (%)		30 (24.8)	83 (16.9)	0.050	1.613 (0.982-2.649)	0.059
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)		61 (50.4)	193 (39.3)	0.030	1.458 (0.948-2.241)	0.085
Hyperlipidaemia, n (%)		78 (64.5)	302 (62.1)	0.676		
Hypertension, n (%)		93 (76.9)	365 (74.3)	0.640		
Current smoker, n (%)		8 (6.6)	19 (3.9)	0.214		
Haemodialysis, n (%)		17 (14.0)	33 (6.7)	0.015	2.026 (1.073-3.823)	0.030
ACS, n (%)		2 (1.7)	13 (2.6)	0.747		
Previous stent type	BMS-ISR, n (%)	10 (8.3)	67 (13.6)			
	$1^{st}$ generation DES-ISR, n (%)	50 (41.3)	212 (43.2)	0.178		
	2 <sup>nd</sup> generation DES-ISR, n (%)	61 (50.4)	212 (43.2)			
ISR after PCB dilatation, n (%)		22 (18.2)	80 (16.3)	0.352		
First-time ISR, n (%)		72 (59.5)	286 (58.2)	0.443		
Right coronary artery lesion, n (	%)	69 (57.0)	236 (48.1)	0.085	1.190 (0.782-1.809)	0.417
Presence of CN in ISR lesion, n	ı (%)	12 (9.9)	20 (4.1)	0.020	1.690 (0.645-4.433)	0.286
Presence of ISA in a previous st	tent, n (%)	21 (17.4)	112 (22.8)	0.219		
Presence of MIH in a previous s	stent, n (%)	25 (20.7)	117 (23.8)	0.548		
ISR in angulated lesion, n (%)		12 (9.9)	35 (7.1)	0.339		
ISR in bifurcation lesion, n (%)		17 (14.0)	65 (13.2)	0.768		
ISR in calcified lesion, n (%)		42 (34.7)	95 (19.3)	0.001	1.510 (0.894-2.547)	0.123
ISR after rotablation, n (%)		14 (11.5)	30 (6.1)	0.048		
ISR with stent fracture, n (%)		24 (19.8)	63 (12.8)	0.058	1.516 (0.868-2.646)	0.144
ISR in previous CTO site, n (%)		41 (33.9)	115 (23.4)	0.020	1.611 (1.025-2.535)	0.039
Type of therapy	Bare metal stent, n (%)	1 (0.8)	3 (0.6)		0.654 (0.057-7.434)	0.732*
	Drug-coated balloon, n (%)	66 (54.5)	289 (58.9)	0.002	0.370 (0.209-0.658)	0.001*
	Drug-eluting stent, n (%)	26 (21.5)	146 (29.7)	0.003	0.336 (0.170-0.664)	0.002*
	POBA, n (%)	28 (23.1)	53 (10.8)			

\*Compared with POBA. ACS: acute coronary syndrome; BMS: bare metal stent; CI: confidence interval; CN: calcified nodule(s); CTO: chronic total occlusion; DES: drug-eluting stent; ISA: incomplete stent apposition; ISR: in-stent restenosis; MIH: multiple inter-strut hollows; PCB: paclitaxel-coated balloon; POBA: plain old balloon angioplasty; TLR: target lesion revascularisation



**Figure 3.** The association between midterm results and PCI devices in lesions with and without CN. In lesions treated with both PCB and DES, both ISR (panel A) and TLR (panel B) rates were significantly higher in lesions with CN than in those without CN. CN: calcified nodule; DES: drug-eluting stent; ISR: in-stent restenosis; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; PCB: paclitaxel-coated balloon; POBA: plain old balloon angioplasty; TLR: target lesion revascularisation

abnormality in serum calcium and phosphorus, inflammatory cytokines, and oxidative stress, and that b) these factors promote apoptosis of vascular smooth muscle cells, thus leading to vascular calcification<sup>22</sup>. These mechanisms may also be involved in the formation of CN in HD patients. Furthermore, in this study, the IA after the procedure in CN lesion with HD tended to be larger than in those without HD. This may suggest that more severe calcification occurs in in-stent CN lesions with HD than in those without HD, and that it is more difficult to displace to the outer parts of the in-stent CN lesions with HD than those without HD.

Previous pathological studies have suggested that CN consists of nodular calcifications and fibrin deposition and that the mechanism of CN formation is associated with nodular calcification erupting from a fragmented calcified plate, fibrin, and thrombus surrounding the nodular calcification<sup>7,11,23,24</sup>. In this study, in-stent CN were frequently observed in calcified lesions. Vessel injury owing to PCI may cause the fracture of the coronary calcified plate on the outer parts of the stent and may thus lead to eruption of nodular calcification and in-stent CN formation. The presence of ISA in previously implanted stents was also a predictor of CN. ISA has been reported as being a cause of in-stent thrombus formation<sup>25,26</sup>. However, the role of ISA in the process of in-stent CN formation was unclear. Calcified lesions were frequently observed in ISR lesions with CN, and ISA frequently occurs in calcified lesions. That may be why ISA was frequently observed in ISR lesions with CN.

In our OCT quantitative analyses, there were no significant differences in postprocedural and initial gain,  $\Delta$ stent area, and  $\Delta$ intimal area between lesions with and without in-stent CN, although it appeared to be difficult to achieve sufficient postprocedural acute gain in ISR lesions with CN. However, stent expansions before and after the procedure were significantly smaller in lesions with CN than those in lesions without CN. Furthermore, stent expansions at the CN site before and after the procedure were even smaller. Stent underexpansion is reported as being one of the risk factors for ISR<sup>27,28</sup>, and lesions with severe calcification are at risk of stent underexpansion<sup>29</sup>. Stent underexpansion in CN cases might occur as a result of PCI for severely calcified lesions.

There are some case reports on ISR due to CN<sup>9-13</sup>. However, there are few data on the clinical outcomes after PCI for ISR lesions with CN. This study is the first systematic report concerning the midterm results after PCI for ISR lesions with CN. In this study, both ISR and TLR rates were significantly higher in lesions with CN than in those without CN. Additionally, ISR lesions with CN treated with either PCB or DES may have poor midterm outcomes compared with ISR lesions without CN. However, multivariate analyses showed that HD and ISR lesions in a previous CTO site, (and not CN) were independent predictors of re-TLR. The reasons for which CN was not an independent predictor of re-TLR were that (1) CN had strong confounding factors, such as HD and calcified lesions (specifically, HD served as an independent predictor of re-TLR), and (2) the prevalence of CN was 4.9% on ISR lesions and the impact on the whole re-TLR may be relatively small. The ISR rates in lesions with CN treated with PCB and DES were 47.6% and 40%, respectively. Neither PCB nor DES were effective for ISR lesions with CN, despite the fact that both constitute the main strategies for ISR lesions nowadays. There was no significant difference in acute gain between lesions with and without CN. This suggested that the acute results were unlikely to have a significant impact on the midterm results, although the reason for the poor midterm results after PCI for ISR lesions with CN was unclear. We sometimes find recurrent CN after PCI for ISR lesions with CN. Patient and lesion characteristics including HD, calcified lesions, and the presence of ISA, which promote CN formation, may also have an impact on recurrent ISR after PCI for ISR lesions with CN.

#### Limitations

There are several limitations associated with this study. First, this study was a single-centre, retrospective study, and selection lesion bias may have existed. The number of lesions with CN was small, which makes it difficult for the results of this study to be generalised to other cohorts. Second, qualitative definitions of CN are associated with some limitations. However, the inter- and intraobserver reproducibility of the OCT analysis results were generally good, and easiness of qualitative assessment may be important in terms of the clinical usefulness. Third, there were no pathological assessments of lesions with and without CN. CN was defined only with OCT images in this study and OCT images of CN may emulate acute red thrombus. Although there were no ACS patients among the patients with CN, we could not rule out misdiagnosis of CN instead of thrombus. Fourth, there was no morphological plaque assessment with the use of intracoronary imaging prior to previous stent implantation. Fifth, control angiograms may have had some impact on the high re-TLR rate not only in lesions with CN, but in lesions without CN (37.5% and 18.8%, respectively).

#### Conclusions

The prevalence of ISR lesions with CN was 4.9%, which was similar to that of native coronary arteries. Calcified lesions, HD, female gender, and ISA may be associated with CN formation. ISR lesions with CN treated with either PCB or DES may have poor midterm outcomes compared with ISR lesions without CN. Further studies are needed to explore the optimal strategy for ISR lesions with CN.

#### Impact on daily practice

This is the first systematic report on the prevalence and predictors of ISR lesions with CN, and on midterm results after repeat PCI for the ISR lesions with CN. The prevalence of ISR lesions with CN was 4.9%. Calcified lesions, ISA, HD, and the female gender are probably associated with CN formation. Because the formation of CN may suggest a poor outcome after repeat PCI, we should consider the indications for PCI and the procedures to avoid ISA when we think about PCI for lesions with a high risk of CN formation.

# **Acknowledgements**

We wish to thank Miho Kobayashi, Makiko Kanaike, and Takako Yukiyoshi for their secretarial assistance. We also thank Hayato Shimizu and his colleagues for their technical assistance.

## **Conflict of interest statement**

T. Tada has received lecture fees from Terumo Corporation and Abbott Vascular. K. Kadota has received lecture fees from Terumo Corporation and Abbott Vascular. S. Kubo has received lecture fees from Abbott Vascular. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

1. Virmani R, Kolodgie FD, Burke AP, Farb A, Schwartz SM. Lessons from sudden coronary death: a comprehensive morphological classification scheme for atherosclerotic lesions. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2000;20:1262-75.

2. Yahagi K, Davis HR, Arbustini E, Virmani R. Sex differences in coronary artery disease: pathological observations. *Atherosclerosis*. 2015;239:260-7.

3. Lee T, Mintz GS, Matsumura M, Zhang W, Cao Y, Usui E, Kanaji Y, Murai T, Yonetsu T, Kakuta T, Maehara A. Prevalence, Predictors, and Clinical Presentation of a Calcified Nodule as Assessed by Optical Coherence Tomography. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;10:883-91.

4. Jia H, Abtahian F, Aguirre AD, Lee S, Chia S, Lowe H, Kato K, Yonetsu T, Vergallo R, Hu S, Tian J, Lee H, Park SJ, Jang YS, Raffel OC, Mizuno K, Uemura S, Itoh T, Kakuta T, Choi SY, Dauerman HL, Prasad A, Toma C, McNulty I, Zhang S, Yu B, Fuster V, Narula J, Virmani R, Jang IK. In vivo diagnosis of plaque erosion and calcified nodule in patients with acute coronary syndrome by intravascular optical coherence tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;62:1748-58.

5. Dai K, Suruga K, Nakao Y, Kobayashi Y, Ikegami Y, Takemoto H, Higaki T, Oi K, Kawase T, Nakama Y, Suenari K, Nishioka K, Sakai K, Otsuka M, Shimatani Y, Masaoka Y, Shiode N. Cauliflower-Like Appearance of Calcified Nodules Observed by Coronary Angioscopy. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:e005722.

6. Umemoto T, Nakagama S, Lee T, Yonetsu T. Calcified Nodule Observed on Coronary Angioscopy. Circ J. 2019;83:2083.

7. Hao H, Fujii K, Shibuya M, Imanaka T, Kawakami R, Hatakeyama K, Asada Y, Masuyama T, Hirota S. Different findings in a calcified nodule between histology and intravascular imaging such as intravascular ultrasound, optical coherence tomography, and coronary angioscopy. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;7:937-8.

8. Saita T, Fujii K, Hao H, Imanaka T, Shibuya M, Fukunaga M, Miki K, Tamaru H, Horimatsu T, Nishimura M, Sumiyoshi A, Kawakami R, Naito Y, Kajimoto N, Hirota S, Masuyama T. Histopathological validation of optical frequency domain imaging to quantify various types of coronary calcifications. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2017;18:342-9.

9. Ishihara T, Fujita M, Iida O, Tsujimura T, Uematsu M. Rupture of Calcified Nodule 105 Months After Sirolimus-Eluting Stent Implantation Observed on Coronary Angioscopy and Optical Frequency Domain Imaging In Vivo. *Circ J.* 2015;79: 2278-9.

10. Alfonso F, Cuesta J, Bastante T, Aguilera MC, Benedicto A, Rivero F. In-Stent Restenosis Caused by a Calcified Nodule: A Novel Pattern of Neoatherosclerosis. *Can J Cardiol.* 2016;32:830.

11. Mori H, Finn AV, Atkinson JB, Lutter C, Narula J, Virmani R. Calcified Nodule: An Early and Late Cause of In-Stent Failure. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2016;9:e125-6.

12. Kawai K, Akahori H, Imanaka T, Miki K, Yoshihara N, Yanaka K, Masuyama T, Ishihara M. Coronary restenosis of in-stent protruding bump with rapid progression: Optical frequency domain imaging and angioscopic observation. *J Cardiol Cases.* 2018;19:12-4.

13. Furuse E, Tanabe J, Tajiri M, Kawanaka H, Shimizu W. In-stent restenosis caused by calcified nodule 11 years after paclitaxel eluting stent implantation treated with drug-coated balloon following rotational atherectomy. *Cardiovasc Interv Ther*: 2020;35:302-3.

14. Ryan TJ, Faxon DP, Gunnar RM, Kennedy JW, King SB 3rd, Loop FD, Peterson KL, Reeves TJ, Williams DO, Winters WL Jr, et al. Guidelines for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Assessment of Diagnostic and Therapeutic Cardiovascular Procedures (Subcommittee on Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty). *Circulation*. 1988;78:486-502.

15. Mintz GS, Popma JJ, Pichard AD, Kent KM, Satler LF, Chuang YC, Ditrano CJ, Leon MB. Patterns of calcification in coronary artery disease. A statistical analysis of intravascular ultrasound and coronary angiography in 1155 lesions. *Circulation*. 1995;9:1959-65.

16. Maehara A, Ben-Yehuda O, Ali Z, Wijns W, Bezerra HG, Shite J, Généreux P, Nichols M, Jenkins P, Witzenbichler B, Mintz GS, Stone GW. Comparison of Stent Expansion Guided by Optical Coherence Tomography Versus Intravascular Ultrasound: The ILUMIEN II Study (Observational Study of Optical Coherence Tomography [OCT] in Patients Undergoing Fractional Flow Reserve [FFR] and Percutaneous Coronary Intervention). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:1704-14.

17. Prati F, Guagliumi G, Mintz GS, Costa M, Regar E, Akasaka T, Barlis P, Tearney GJ, Jang IK, Arbustini E, Bezerra HG, Ozaki Y, Bruining N, Dudek D, Radu M, Erglis A, Motreff P, Alfonso F, Toutouzas K, Gonzalo N, Tamburino C, Adriaenssens T, Pinto F, Serruys PW, Di Mario C; Expert's OCT Review Document. Expert review document part 2: methodology, terminology and clinical applications of optical coherence tomography for the assessment of interventional procedures. *Eur Heart J.* 2012;33:2513-20.

18. Tada T, Kadota K, Hosogi S, Kubo S, Ozaki M, Yoshino M, Miyake K, Eguchi H, Ohashi N, Hayakawa Y, Saito N, Otsuru S, Hasegawa D, Shigemoto Y, Habara S, Imai M, Tanaka H, Fuku Y, Oka N, Kato H, Yamamoto H, Fujii S, Goto T, Mitsudo K. Optical coherence tomography findings in lesions after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation with peri-stent contrast staining. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012;5:649-56.

19. Schwarz U, Buzello M, Ritz E, Stein G, Raabe G, Wiest G, Mall G, Amann K. Morphology of coronary atherosclerotic lesions in patients with end-stage renal failure. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2000;15:218-23.

20. Raggi P, Boulay A, Chasan-Taber S, Amin N, Dillon M, Burke SK, Chertow GM. Cardiac calcification in adult hemodialysis patients. A link between end-stage renal disease and cardiovascular disease? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;39:695-701.

21. Chin CY, Matsumura M, Maehara A, Zhang W, Lee CT, Yamamoto MH, Song L, Parviz Y, Jhalani NB, Mohan S, Ratner LE, Cohen DJ, Ben-Yehuda O, Stone GW, Shlofmitz RA, Kakuta T, Mintz GS, Ali ZA. Coronary Plaque Characteristics in Hemodialysis-Dependent Patients as Assessed by Optical Coherence Tomography. *Am J Cardiol.* 2017;119:1313-9.

22. Shanahan CM. Mechanisms of vascular calcification in CKD—evidence for premature ageing? *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2013;9:661-70.

23. Sakakura K, Nakano M, Otsuka F, Ladich E, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R. Pathophysiology of atherosclerosis plaque progression. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2013;22: 399-41.

24. Otsuka F, Joner M, Prati F, Virmani R, Narula J. Clinical classification of plaque morphology in coronary disease. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* 2014;11:379-89.

25. Ako J, Morino Y, Honda Y, Hassan A, Sonoda S, Yock PG, Leon MB, Moses JW, Bonneau HN, Fitzgerald PJ. Late incomplete stent apposition after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation: a serial intravascular ultrasound analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2005;46:1002-5.

26. Cook S, Wenaweser P, Togni M, Billinger M, Morger C, Seiler C, Vogel R, Hess O, Meier B, Windecker S. Incomplete stent apposition and very late stent thrombosis after drug-eluting stent implantation. *Circulation*. 2007;115:2426-34.

27. Song HG, Kang SJ, Ahn JM, Kim WJ, Lee JY, Park DW, Lee SW, Kim YH, Lee CW, Park SW, Park SJ. Intravascular ultrasound assessment of optimal stent area to prevent in-stent restenosis after zotarolimus-, everolimus-, and sirolimus-eluting stent implantation. *Catheter CardiovascInterv.* 2014;83:873-8.

28. Kang SJ, Mintz GS, Park DW, Lee SW, Kim YH, Whan Lee C, Han KH, Kim JJ, Park SW, Park SJ. Mechanisms of in-stent restenosis after drug-eluting stent implantation: intravascular ultrasound analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2011;4:9-14.

29. Fujino A, Mintz GS, Matsumura M, Lee T, Kim SY, Hoshino M, Usui E, Yonetsu T, Haag ES, Shlofmitz RA, Kakuta T, Maehara A. A new optical coherence tomographybased calcium scoring system to predict stent underexpansion. *EuroIntervention*. 2018;13:e2182-9.

# Supplementary data

**Supplementary Table 1.** OCT findings of ISR lesions with CN in patients with and without HD.

The supplementary data are published online at: https://eurointervention.pcronline.com/ doi/10.4244/EIJ-D-21-00504



# Supplementary data

Supplementary Table 1. OCT findings of ISR lesions with CN in patients with and without haemodialysis.

	HD n=9	Non-HD n=23	<i>p</i> -value	
Before the procedure				
Distal reference lumen area, mm <sup>2</sup>	9.61±3.34	8.20±2.30	0.180	
Proximal reference lumen area, mm <sup>2</sup>	$11.4 \pm 2.81$	8.96±2.42	0.019	
Mean reference lumen area, mm <sup>2</sup>	$10.5 \pm 2.85$	8.58±2.13	0.044	
At MLA site				
Minimal lumen diameter, mm	$1.87 \pm 0.49$	$1.58 \pm 0.45$	0.124	
Minimal lumen area, mm <sup>2</sup>	$2.64{\pm}1.18$	$2.17 \pm 1.07$	0.282	
Stent diameter, mm	$3.15 \pm 0.58$	$3.00 \pm 0.54$	0.476	
Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup>	$8.05 \pm 2.83$	$7.29 \pm 2.86$	0.504	
Intimal area, mm <sup>2</sup>	5.41±2.29	5.13±2.51	0.770	
Intimal area, %	$67.0{\pm}10.7$	69.2±14.8	0.698	
Stent expansion, %	76.1±14.2	84.2±18.4	0.243	
At MSA site				
Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup>	$7.00{\pm}1.76$	$5.95 \pm 1.44$	0.091	
Stent expansion, %	67.9±11.5	70.1±10.3	0.612	
At CN site				
Stent area, mm <sup>2</sup>	$7.17 \pm 1.91$	$6.08 \pm 1.48$	0.157	
Stent expansion, %	69.3±11.6	71.7±10.8	0.586	

$2.85 \pm 0.47$	$2.76 \pm 0.45$	0.592	
6.58±1.96	6.18±1.93	0.609	
3.61±0.56	$3.32 \pm 0.50$	0.185	
$10.5 \pm 3.07$	$8.90 \pm 2.62$	0.144	
3.96±1.51	$2.71 \pm 1.60$	0.056	
37.3±10.2	29.4±16.0	0.184	
$3.94{\pm}1.45$	$3.96 \pm 2.20$	0.985	
$0.45 \pm 0.30$	$0.30{\pm}0.38$	0.309	
$2.50{\pm}1.75$	$1.47 \pm 2.25$	0.237	
$1.45 \pm 1.72$	$2.48 \pm 2.31$	0.238	
$100{\pm}14.5$	95.4±35.9	0.686	
9.57±2.22	8.26±2.44	0.175	
93.1±15.6	88.5±34.1	0.698	
9.84±2.71	8.37±2.47	0.157	
95.1±17.4	89.7±35.1	0.668	
	$2.85\pm0.47$ $6.58\pm1.96$ $3.61\pm0.56$ $10.5\pm3.07$ $3.96\pm1.51$ $37.3\pm10.2$ $3.94\pm1.45$ $0.45\pm0.30$ $2.50\pm1.75$ $1.45\pm1.72$ $100\pm14.5$ $9.57\pm2.22$ $93.1\pm15.6$ $9.84\pm2.71$ $95.1\pm17.4$	$2.85\pm0.47$ $2.76\pm0.45$ $6.58\pm1.96$ $6.18\pm1.93$ $3.61\pm0.56$ $3.32\pm0.50$ $10.5\pm3.07$ $8.90\pm2.62$ $3.96\pm1.51$ $2.71\pm1.60$ $37.3\pm10.2$ $29.4\pm16.0$ $3.94\pm1.45$ $3.96\pm2.20$ $0.45\pm0.30$ $0.30\pm0.38$ $2.50\pm1.75$ $1.47\pm2.25$ $1.45\pm1.72$ $2.48\pm2.31$ $100\pm14.5$ $95.4\pm35.9$ $9.57\pm2.22$ $8.26\pm2.44$ $93.1\pm15.6$ $88.5\pm34.1$ $9.84\pm2.71$ $8.37\pm2.47$ $95.1\pm17.4$ $89.7\pm35.1$	$2.85\pm0.47$ $2.76\pm0.45$ $0.592$ $6.58\pm1.96$ $6.18\pm1.93$ $0.609$ $3.61\pm0.56$ $3.32\pm0.50$ $0.185$ $10.5\pm3.07$ $8.90\pm2.62$ $0.144$ $3.96\pm1.51$ $2.71\pm1.60$ $0.056$ $37.3\pm10.2$ $29.4\pm16.0$ $0.184$ $3.94\pm1.45$ $3.96\pm2.20$ $0.985$ $0.45\pm0.30$ $0.30\pm0.38$ $0.309$ $2.50\pm1.75$ $1.47\pm2.25$ $0.237$ $1.45\pm1.72$ $2.48\pm2.31$ $0.238$ $100\pm14.5$ $95.4\pm35.9$ $0.686$ $9.57\pm2.22$ $8.26\pm2.44$ $0.175$ $93.1\pm15.6$ $88.5\pm34.1$ $0.698$ $9.84\pm2.71$ $8.37\pm2.47$ $0.157$ $95.1\pm17.4$ $89.7\pm35.1$ $0.668$

CN: calcified nodule(s); HD: haemodialysis; ISR: in-stent restenosis; MLA: minimum lumen area; MSA; minimum stent area; OCT: optical coherence tomography

n=9