Patient selection for transcatheter edge-to-edge mitral valve repair for severe functional mitral regurgitation



Paul A. Grayburn*, MD; Anna Sannino, MD, PhD

Baylor University Medical Center, Baylor Heart and Vascular Institute, Cardiology Section, Dallas, TX, USA

In 2018, two randomised clinical trials of transcatheter edge-toedge mitral valve repair (TMVr) for functional mitral regurgitation (FMR) reported very different outcomes. The MITRA-FR trial showed no statistically significant difference in the combined endpoint of death or hospitalisation for heart failure (HF) at one year¹. The COAPT trial showed marked improvements in total HF hospitalisations at two years, as well as mortality and multiple other pre-specified secondary endpoints². We hypothesised that the divergent outcomes of the two trials could be explained largely by enrolment of different patient populations³. Patients in COAPT had a larger mean effective regurgitant orifice area (EROA) and smaller left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV), a finding we termed disproportionately severe MR. In contrast, MITRA-FR enrolled patients with proportionately severe MR characterised by smaller EROA and larger LVEDV. Figure 1 shows typical examples of these subtypes of FMR and why they might respond differently to medical therapy for HF versus mitral intervention.

In this issue of EuroIntervention, Adamo et al report the results of TMVr in 137 patients with FMR at three centres from

2008-2016. The mean age was 70 years, 80% were male and most had NYHA Class III or IV heart failure symptoms⁴.

Article, see page 413

Roughly half had ischaemic aetiology. Patients were categorised as having proportionate versus disproportionate MR based on median values of echocardiographic measurements of EROA and LVEDV. Overall, patients were similar to those in COAPT in terms of EROA and LVEDV, with 78% having disproportionately severe MR and 22% proportionately severe MR. Clinical and demographic variables were well balanced between the groups, except that estimated pulmonary artery systolic pressure was higher in those with disproportionately severe MR (49±12 vs 40±13 mmHg, p<0.001). A combined endpoint of death or HF hospitalisation at two years was not statistically significantly different between the proportionality groups (p=0.318), nor was mortality significantly different. On multivariable analysis, statistically significant predictors of outcomes were EuroSCORE II >8%, NYHA class and more than mild residual MR, but not disproportionately severe MR.

*Corresponding author: Baylor Heart and Vascular Institute, Cardiology Section, 621 N. Hall St, Suite H030, Dallas, TX 75226, USA. E-mail: paul.grayburn@bswhealth.org



Figure 1. Schematic diagrams of patients with disproportionately severe and proportionately severe FMR. A) Disproportionately severe FMR. In this example, the patient has a focal inferobasal infarct (arrow) with asymmetric tethering of the posterior medial scallop (P3) toward the apex. The lateral scallop (P1) is unaffected. Overall, LV size and shape are not severely dilated, and LV systolic function is preserved. Medical therapy is not likely to reduce MR severity because the focal wall motion abnormality is scar. B) Proportionately severe FMR. This patient has symmetric tethering of the entire posterior leaflet due to global LV dilation/dysfunction with displacement of both papillary muscles (arrow). Medical therapy could induce significant reverse LV remodelling, which could improve FMR by repositioning of the papillary muscles. If such patients are first treated with titration of neurohormonal antagonists to target doses, only those patients in whom FMR is not improved will require mitral intervention.

Adamo et al convincingly demonstrate no difference in death or HF hospitalisation for disproportionate versus proportionate FMR after TMVr. These findings are consistent with a larger European registry of 1,016 patients showing no difference in mortality at two years across proportionality groups after TMVr⁵. On the surface, these data could be interpreted to mean that the concept of disproportionately severe FMR does not predict response to TMVr. However, it is important to point out that registries cannot determine response to TMVr since there is no control group of patients treated only with HF medical therapy. It is well known that FMR can respond to neurohormonal antagonists that improve survival in HF⁶⁻⁸. In the Adamo study, patients were treated with optimal medical therapy prior to TMVr, thus selecting a group of patients who continued to have symptomatic severe FMR despite medical therapy. It is encouraging that the registry patients have values of EROA and LVEDV similar to those in COAPT, where randomisation occurred after optimisation of medical therapy. Therefore, both the registry data and COAPT are dominated by disproportionately severe MR. Because FMR is secondary to LV dysfunction, mortality and/or HF hospitalisation could be driven primarily by the FMR itself, the underlying LV dysfunction or a combination of both. By first optimising medical therapy and re-evaluating FMR severity and LV function, one can target TMVr to appropriate patients. This will bias the population towards those with

disproportionately severe MR who have been shown to have a very poor outcome with medical therapy in a single-centre report⁹ and in COAPT³. It will also include a smaller group of patients with proportionate MR who fail to respond to medical therapy, perhaps because of limited reverse LV remodelling, intolerance to target doses of neurohormonal antagonists, or unknown reasons. Unfortunately, there is recent evidence that very few HF patients are on optimal doses of all three major classes of neurohormonal antagonists¹⁰. The cardiology community needs to improve the appropriate use and up-titration of medical therapy for patients with HF, including those with FMR. It is strongly encouraging that the typical FMR patients treated in European registries appear to resemble COAPT patients closely because those are the patients who have been proven to benefit from TMVr.

Conflict of interest statement

P.A. Grayburn was a COAPT trial investigator, sponsored by Abbott Vascular. A. Sannino received research funding from Edwards Lifesciences.

References

1. Obadia JF, Messika-Zeitoun D, Leurent G, Iung B, Bonnet G, Piriou N, Lefèvre T, Piot C, Rouleau F, Carrié D, Nejjari M, Ohlmann P, Leclercq F, Saint Etienne C, Teiger E, Leroux L, Karam N, Michel N, Gilard M, Donal E,

Trochu JN, Cormier B, Armoiry X, Boutitie F, Maucort-Boulch D, Barnel C, Samson G, Guerin P, Vahanian A, Mewton N; MITRA-FR Investigators. Percutaneous Repair or Medical Treatment for Secondary Mitral Regurgitation. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379:2297-306.

2. Stone GW, Lindenfeld J, Abraham WT, Kar S, Lim DS, Mishell J, Whisenant B, Grayburn PA, Rinaldi M, Kapadia SR, Rajagopal V, Sarembock I, Brieke A, Marx SO, Cohen DJ, Weissman NJ, Mack MJ; COAPT Investigators. Transcatheter Mitral Valve Repair in Patients with Heart Failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379:2307-18.

3. Grayburn PA, Sannino A, Packer M. Proportionate and Disproportionate Functional Mitral Regurgitation: A New Conceptual Framework That Reconciles the Results of the MITRA-FR and COAPT trials. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2019;12:353-62.

4. Adamo M, Cani DS, Gavazzoni M, Taramasso M, Lupi L, Fiorelli F, Giannini C, Branca L, Zuber M, Curello S, Petronio AS, Maisano F, Metra M. Impact of disproportionate secondary mitral regurgitation in patients undergoing edge-to-edge percutaneous mitral valve repair. *EuroIntervention.* 2020; 16:413-20.

5. Orban M, Karam N, Lubos E, Kalbacher D, Deseive S, Neuss M, Butter C, Praz F, Kassar M, Petrescu A, Pfister R, Iliadis C, Unterhuber M, Lurz P, Thiele H, Baldus S, von Bardeleben S, Blankenberg S, Massberg S,

Windecker S, Hausleiter J; EuroSMR Investigators. Impact of proportionality of secondary mitral regurgitation on outcome after transcatheter mitral valve repair. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*, 2020; In press.

6. Packer M, Grayburn PA. Neurohormonal and Transcatheter Repair Strategies for Proportionate and Disproportionate Functional Mitral Regurgitation in Heart Failure. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2019;7:518-21.

7. Packer M, Grayburn PA. Contrasting Effects of Pharmacological, Procedural and Surgical Interventions on Proportionate and Disproportionate Functional Mitral Regurgitation in Chronic Heart Failure. *Circulation.* 2019; 140:779-89.

8. Packer M, Grayburn PA. New evidence supporting a novel conceptual framework for distinguishing proportionate and disproportionate functional mitral regurgitation. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020 Feb 19. [Epub ahead of print]

9. Bartko PE, Heitzinger G, Arfsten H, Pavo N, Spinka G, Andreas M, Mascherbauer J, Hengstenberg C, Hülsmann M, Goliasch G. Disproportionate Functional Mitral Regurgitation: Advancing a Conceptual Framework to Clinical Practice. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2019;12:2088-90.

10. Greene SJ, Fonarow GC, DeVore AD, Sharma PP, Vaduganathan M, Albert NM, Duffy CI, Hill CL, McCague K, Patterson JH, Spertus JA, Thomas L, Williams FB, Hernandez AF, Butler J. Titration of Medical Therapy for Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;73:2365-83.