Optimising mitral repair in functional regurgitation: transcatheter annuloplasty can counteract left ventriclemitral ring mismatch



Nicola Buzzatti*, MD; Paolo Denti, MD; Ottavio Alfieri, MD; Giovanni La Canna, MD

Cardiac Surgery Department, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

This paper also includes supplementary data published online at: http://www.pcronline.com/eurointervention/140th_issue/134



*Corresponding author: Cardiac Surgery Department, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Via Olgettina 60, 20132 Milan, Italy. E-mail: buzzatti.nicola@hsr.it

Mitral regurgitation (MR) recurrence remains a significant limitation of both surgical¹ and transcatheter² mitral repair for functional MR. After surgical undersized annuloplasty, residual leaflet tethering is the predominant mechanism of recurrent MR. Interestingly, a recent analysis from the CTS-Net³ on ischaemic MR suggested that worsened posterior leaflet tethering along with recurrent MR may occur more frequently when too small a ring is implanted above too large a ventricle, pushing the posterior annulus anteriorly while the free edge of the leaflet remains pulled down and outwards by the remodelled ventricle (ventricle-ring mismatch).

A 71-year-old gentleman was referred to our department due to severe symptomatic ischaemic MR (coaptation depth 5 mm, tented area 0.9 cm² and extensive loss of coaptation due to significant annular dilation [septolateral 45 mm, intercommissural 45 mm]) (Panel A, Panel B). The left ventricle was severely dilated (69/46 mm, 127/78 ml), with an ejection fraction of 38% and a posterior wall akinesia (Moving image 1). The Heart Team decided to submit the patient to transcatheter mitral repair with the Cardioband Mitral Valve Reconstruction System (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA).

A Cardioband size F was implanted as previously described⁴. The implantation procedure was straightforward without issues. After implantation, ring adjustment was performed to 5.5 (the maximum) in order to minimise MR. However, moderate residual MR was observed. The mechanism of MR was a worsening of the posterior leaflet tethering compared to baseline (Panel C, Panel D, Moving image 2, Moving image 3). We decided to reverse the implant adjustment from 5.5 to 5.0 in order to relieve the tethering and allow more freedom of movement of the posterior leaflet. Tethering was indeed decreased by the manoeuvre and MR was reduced to mild (Panel E, Panel F). The postoperative course was uneventful and the patient was discharged home with mild residual MR (Moving image 4).

In this patient, we observed in real time the previously described ventricle-ring mismatch physiopathological mechanism. We were able to correct it successfully with the reversible size adjustment feature of the device. Longer follow-up and larger numbers will be needed to judge the results and the implications of this observation fully. Nevertheless, as highlighted by this case, the possibility of working in real time on the loaded beating heart, the ability to optimise annular dimension and the option for reversibility are key procedural advantages of transcatheter annuloplasty in comparison to surgery.

In the pursuit of improved efficacy, mitral annuloplasty represents a fundamental addition to the transcatheter mitral toolbox to achieve an optimal, tailored, minimally invasive treatment.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Goldstein D, Moskowitz AJ, Gelijns AC, Ailawadi G, Parides MK, Perrault LP, Hung JW, Voisine P, Dagenais F, Gillinov AM, Thourani V, Argenziano M, Gammie JS, Mack M, Demers P, Atluri P, Rose EA, O'Sullivan K, Williams DL, Bagiella E, Michler RE, Weisel RD, Miller MA, Geller NL, Taddei-Peters WC, Smith PK, Moquete E, Overbey JR, Kron IL, O'Gara PT, Acker MA; CTSN. Two-Year Outcomes of Surgical Treatment of Severe Ischemic Mitral Regurgitation. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374: 344-53.

2. Maisano F, Franzen O, Baldus S, Schäfer U, Hausleiter J, Butter C, Ussia GP, Sievert H, Richardt G, Widder JD, Moccetti T, Schillinger W. Percutaneous mitral valve interventions in the real world: early and 1-year results from the ACCESS-EU, a prospective, multicenter, nonrandomized post-approval study of the MitraClip therapy in Europe. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;62: 1052-61.

3. Capoulade R, Zeng X, Overbey JR, Ailawadi G, Alexander JH, Ascheim D, Bowdish M, Gelijns AC, Grayburn P, Kron IL, Levine RA, Mack MJ, Melnitchouk S, Michler RE, Mullen JC, O'Gara P, Parides MK, Smith P, Voisine P, Hung J; Cardiothoracic Surgical Trials Network (CTSN) Investigators. Impact of Left Ventricular to Mitral Valve Ring Mismatch on Recurrent Ischemic Mitral Regurgitation After Ring Annuloplasty. *Circulation*. 2016; 134:1247-56.

4. Maisano F, Taramasso M, Nickenig G, Hammerstingl C, Vahanian A, Messika-Zeitoun D, Baldus S, Huntgeburth M, Alfieri O, Colombo A, La Canna G, Agricola E, Zuber M, Tanner FC, Topilsky Y, Kreidel F, Kuck KH. Cardioband, a transcatheter surgical-like direct mitral valve annuloplasty system: early results of the feasibility trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:817-25.

Supplementary data

Moving image 1. Baseline posterior wall akinesia.

Moving image 2. Leaflet tethering at baseline, 5.5 implant adjustment reversed to 5.0.

Moving image 3. Mitral regurgitation at baseline, 5.5 implant adjustment reversed to 5.0.

Moving image 4. Discharge residual MR.

The supplementary data are published online at: http://www.pcronline.com/ eurointervention/140th_issue/134

