Optimal catchment area and primary PCI centre volume revisited: a single-centre experience in transition from highvolume centre to "mega centre" for patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

Mikkel Malby Schoos^{1,2*}, MD, PhD; Frants Pedersen¹, MD, PhD; Lene Holmvang¹, MD, DMSc; Thomas Engstrøm¹, MD, PhD, DMSc; Kari Saunamaki¹, MD, DMSc; Steffen Helqvist¹, MD, DMSc; Jens Kastrup¹, MD, DMSc; Roxana Mehran², MD; George Dangas³, MD, PhD; Erik Jørgensen¹, MD, DMSc; Henning Kelbæk¹, MD, DMSc; Peter Clemmensen¹, MD, DMSc

1. Department of Cardiology, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; 2. The Zena and Michael A. Wiener Cardiovascular Institute, The Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA; 3. Division of Cardiology, Mount Sinai Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; Cardiovascular Research Foundation, New York, NY, USA

KEYWORDS

acute myocardial infarction

- door-to-balloon
- first medical contact to balloon
- health policy
- pre-hospital triage
- primary PCI
- primary PCI centre
 volume
- STEMI
- STEMI networks
- symptom to balloon

Abstract

Aims: The currently stated optimal catchment population for a pPCI centre is 300,000-1,100,000, resulting in 200-800 procedures/year. pPCI centres are increasing in number even within small geographic areas. We describe the organisation and quality of care after merging two high-volume centres, creating one mega centre serving 2.5 million inhabitants, and performing ~1,000 procedures/year.

Methods and results: In this descriptive cohort study, we linked individual-level data from the national Central Population Register holding survival status with our in-hospital dedicated PCI database of baseline, organisational and procedural characteristics. Quality measures were treatment delays and 30-day all-cause mortality. In the three-year study period, 2,066 consecutive pPCIs were performed. After the fusion of the two centres, pPCI procedures increased by 102%, while door-to-balloon remained stable at 32 minutes. Up to 75.1% of patients were directly transferred by pre-hospital triage, of whom 82.7% had ECG-to-balloon <120 min, 92.6% had door-to-balloon <60 min. Thirty-day all-cause mortality remained low at 6.3%.

Conclusions: This study challenges the stated maximal pPCI centre volume. The quality of a centre reflects governance, training, resources and pre-hospital triage, rather than catchment population and STEMI incidence, as long as a minimum volume is guaranteed. Resources can be utilised better by merging neighbouring centres, without negative effects on quality of care.

DOI: 10.4244/EIJY14M11_07

*Corresponding author: Department of Cardiology, The Heart Center, Rigshospitalet, Blegdamsvej 9, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark. E-mail: mikkel.schoos@gmail.com

Introduction

Ischaemic heart disease remains one of the biggest burdens on Europe's hospital system with an incidence of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) ranging from 44 to 142 per 100,000 inhabitants¹. Denmark provided one of the first pivotal trials demonstrating the superiority of pPCI compared to fibrinolytic therapy and, immediately following the result of the DANAMI II trial², implemented pPCI as a national reperfusion strategy³. Initially, there were five pPCI centres serving the country's 5.5 million inhabitants. Worldwide, the capacity for treating patients with STEMI has increased as pPCI has become the dominant therapy. Current guidelines stress the importance of establishing STEMI networks between hospitals and ambulance services^{4,5}, and professional organisations coming together to improve the access to timely effective pPCI using focused implementation programmes such as the "Stent for Life" initiative⁶.

Editorial, see page 491

Based on the number of pPCI/year/country and the number of non-stop 24/7 pPCI centres/country reported by Widimsky et al in 2010 on behalf of the European Association for Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI)¹, the calculated mean volume of pPCI procedures is 295 per pPCI centre in 33 European countries. It is currently stated that the optimal catchment population for a pPCI centre ranges from 300,000-1,100,000 inhabitants, resulting in ~200-800 pPCI procedures/year/centre¹, while a higher volume could result in an overload of the centre. However, current guidelines^{4,5} are not specific regarding the optimal pPCI centre size and are based on consensus and clinical practice1 rather than specific studies. The overwhelming clinical data demonstrating better survival for patients reperfused with pPCI7,8 combined with less well documented statements from authorities regarding the optimal catchment area have led to a marked increase in the number of pPCI centres in many regions with often quite a low patient volume9. Furthermore, the current European and American guidelines^{4,5} favour a pharmacoinvasive approach for patients in whom pPCI is not possible within 120 minutes after first medical contact, even suggesting that this time limit be reduced to one hour among early presenters. Other, more operational recommendations have been issued by national bodies such as the British National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines¹⁰, recommending pPCI if delivered within 120 minutes of the time when fibrinolysis could have been given.

Throughout this development, Denmark kept its organisation and recently even decreased the number of pPCI centres. The purpose of the present report is to describe the organisation and quality of care in terms of treatment delay and 30-day mortality after merging two high-volume pPCI centres of the Copenhagen capital region, creating one mega centre in 2011, serving 2.5 million inhabitants and performing approximately 1,000 pPCIs per year.

Methods

POPULATION

During a three-year study period from 1/12/2009 to 1/12/2012, 2,066 consecutive STEMI patients were treated with pPCI in this Danish cohort. Patients were grouped according to two 18-month

study periods, one before and one after the official date of the merger of two high-volume pPCI centres. We linked individuallevel data from national registries, using the personal registration number provided to all Danish residents. The data were obtained from the Central Population Registry, registering survival status, and linked to our in-hospital dedicated PCI database holding baseline and procedural patient characteristics, enabling follow-up of all patients who had not emigrated. Follow-up was 99.7% complete.

GEOGRAPHY

During the first 18 months of the study period, the catchment area of our institution, Rigshospitalet, consisted of the urban Copenhagen area and the former counties of Storstrøm and Western Zealand, as well as the island of Bornholm, which covered a total area of ca. 7,000 km² with a catchment population of ~1,250,000 citizens. Gentofte Hospital was the other pPCI centre on Zealand covering a catchment area of ~2,750 km², constituted by the former counties of suburban Copenhagen, Fredensborg and Roskilde with a catchment population of ~1,250,000 citizens. Following a political decision, all cardiothoracic surgery and acute invasive cardiology in these regions were moved to Rigshospitalet on June 1 2011, making it responsible for all pPCI patients from Denmark's main island, Zealand, and its neighbouring islands (Lolland, Falster and Bornholm), serving a population of 2.5 million inhabitants and a total catchment area of almost 10,000 km² (Figure 1).

LOGISTICS OF PRE-HOSPITAL TRIAGE AND IN-HOSPITAL ORGANISATION

The logistics of pre-hospital triage on Zealand have previously been described in detail¹¹⁻¹³. Briefly, all ambulances in Denmark are

	ECG-to-balloon	Before merger	After merger
	Hovedstaden (HS)	85 (70-114)	82 (68-113)
	Bornholm	226(190-329)	224 (194-238)
	Storstrøm	126 (103-152)	112 (88-132)
	Vestsjælland	121 (102-155)	108 (92-126)
	København		83 (67-112)
	Frederiksborg		87 (74-113)
	Roskilde		86 (77-107)
	Door-to-balloon	Before merger	After merger
	Door-to-balloon Hovedstaden (HS)	Before merger 37 (27-51)	After merger 33 (26-43)
	Hovedstaden (HS)	37 (27-51)	33 (26-43)
	Hovedstaden (HS) Bornholm	37 (27-51) 33 (27-41)	33 (26-43) 30 (23-43)
	Hovedstaden (HS) Bornholm Storstrøm	37 (27-51) 33 (27-41) 31 (25-38)	33 (26-43) 30 (23-43) 31 (25-38)
	Hovedstaden (HS) Bornholm Storstrøm Vestsjælland	37 (27-51) 33 (27-41) 31 (25-38)	33 (26-43) 30 (23-43) 31 (25-38) 31 (25-38)

Figure 1. Map of Denmark. Eastern Denmark is marked by a colour code where the blue shades indicate the catchment area before the centre merger and the red shades indicate the catchment area added to Rigshospitalet after the merging of the two pPCI centres on Zealand (indicated by the black dots on the map, the southern dot being Rigshospitalet). The tables illustrate how ECG-to-wire and door-to-balloon times remained the same or decreased after the centre merger, stratified by county.

by law equipped with defibrillators and a device for 12-lead ECG recording and transmission. Usually, the first emergency medical services (EMS) to arrive at the scene are the primary ambulances staffed by paramedics or emergency medical technicians. In order to initiate advanced stabilising treatment, primary ambulances are supported by emergency medical units manned by physicians, which are fast vehicles without the space to transport patients, dispatched simultaneously with the primary ambulance to the injury site or on the way *(en route)* to the hospital, for a so-called "rendezvous" with the primary ambulance.

The on-call cardiologist at the pPCI centre interprets the digitally transmitted 12-lead ECG and calls the ambulance to conduct a short health personnel interview. In case of a likely STEMI⁵, the ambulance is re-routed directly to the pre-alerted catheterisation laboratory. Patients from remote injury sites in the catchment area are transferred to the rooftop helipad at Rigshospitalet by helicopters staffed with trained physicians. During the inclusion period, ~300 STEMI patients were transferred by helicopter at a cost of approximately 4,500 euros/airlift. The median time saved by air transfer was 20 min for patients transported over a median of 97 km¹⁴.

This pre-hospital organisation refers to a 24/7 catheterisation laboratory with one primary on-call team, consisting of one out of a total of eight PCI operators, as well as three nurses. The interventional cardiologist is reimbursed with a fixed amount for each day on call plus an hourly rate for actual duty, including time spent on transport. As a consequence of the volume increase, the operator is now more often continuously present in the hospital, which is why hospital cost has not increased proportionally to the increase in pPCI volume. In order to accommodate the increased patient influx, a second pPCI team is activated on an ad hoc basis to avoid treatment delays. This occurs four to nine times per month. Team 2, when called, is reimbursed by a fixed amount. The centre has four dedicated PCI suites and one fully integrated hybrid catheterisation laboratory/operating room for PCI/CABG, and performs approximately 2,200 PCI per year, including ~1,000 STEMI patients. The pPCI centre is backed up by a cardiac ICU and an in-hospital highvolume cardiothoracic surgery department performing ~800 isolated CABG per year¹⁵.

As a consequence of the Danish national reperfusion strategy, no fibrinolytic or pharmacoinvasive therapy was administered during the study period³. Pre-hospital adjunctive pharmacological treatment consisted of 300 mg ASA, either 600 mg clopidogrel or 60 mg prasugrel and 10,000 U of unfractionated heparin. The incatheterisation laboratory treatment consisted of bivalirudin with provisional use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors. During the study period, pre-hospital loading with prasugrel increased, while ticagrelor only came in at the end of the period.

Low-risk STEMI patients, i.e., patients without cardiac arrest, cardiogenic shock, left ventricular ejection fraction \leq 40%, atrioventricular block, continuous post-reperfusion malignant arrhythmias, bleeding complications or recurrent angina¹⁶, constitute the majority of patients (>65%) and are handled in a "fast track" programme with a maximum length of stay of three days. Before discharge to

the secondary district hospital, a transfer of low-risk patients from the cardiac care unit to the in-hospital step-down unit is performed. The latter was a direct consequence of the increased volume after the centre merger. Length of stay at the pPCI centre was not affected and was maintained at two to three days. Numbers of CCU beds did not increase after the merger, nor did the number of beds at the cardiac ICU. However, the number of cardiac nurses from 15:00 hrs to 07:00 hrs was increased. Another direct and planned consequence of this fusion of centres was the decrease in the number of NSTEMI and UAP cases admitted from referring hospitals. Conversely, the centre which no longer treats STEMI patients changed its case mix accordingly towards increased numbers of NST-ACS.

TIME MEASURES OF REPERFUSION DELAY

We used time from diagnostic ECG to balloon as a surrogate for the latest ESC definition of system delay, defined by first medical contact to wire.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The distribution of continuous variables was tested by the Shapiro-Wilk test and was interpreted via a Q-Q plot. Continuous variables are presented as mean±standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR). Discrete data are presented as frequencies and percentages. Age, systolic/diastolic and heart rate were normally distributed, presented as mean±SD and a t-test was used. BMI, heart rate and all time variables (min) were continuous variables that had a non-Gaussian distribution and the Mann-Whitney test was applied. The χ^2 test was used for comparison of categorical variables. Survival rates were evaluated by Kaplan-Meier curves and compared by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. In Cox regression analysis, all baseline variables shown in **Table 1** were tested as mortality predictors in univariable models, and only significant predictors were entered in a manually entered multivariable model. Variables were retained in the model if p<0.1. The statistical significance level was p<0.05 (two-sided test). Data were analysed using the PASW Statistics software package, version 19 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

The fusion with another high-volume pPCI centre resulted in a 102% increase of invasively treated STEMI patients. Baseline and procedural characteristics were well balanced when comparing an 18-month period before and after the centre merger. In the vast majority of cases (93.2%), only one vessel was reperfused and procedural success was high with 93.7% of patients obtaining full reperfusion with TIMI flow 3 (Table 1, Table 2).

Before the centre fusion, 23.7% (1,281/5,398) of all coronary angiograms (CAG) performed at Rigshospitalet had an acute indication and in 66.3% (849/1,281) of these pPCI was the indication. After the centre merger, 40.8% (2,539/6,216) of all CAGs were acute and 70.1% (1,780/2,539) had an indication for pPCI.

Time from diagnostic ECG to balloon decreased significantly by 18% to 93 min, and door-to-balloon times decreased slightly to 32 min after fusion of the two centres **(Table 3)**. The proportion of Table 1. Baseline characteristics according to an 18-month period before and after the two high-volume pPCI centres were merged into one.

	Valid cases (%)	Before centre merger (n=683)	After centre merger (n=1,383)	<i>p</i> -value	
Age	2,066 (100)	62.8 (±12.4)	63.1 (±12.5)	0.586	
Male	2,066 (100)	513 (75.1)	1,051 (76)	0.659	
Diabetes	1,956 (94.7)	83 (13)	167 (12.7)	0.848	
Hypertension	1,895 (91.7)	220 (36.1)	526 (40.9)	0.047	
Dyslipidaemia	1,713 (82.9)	163 (29.4)	351 (30.3)	0.716	
Smoking status	1,815 (87.9)				
Current		311 (52.3)	596 (48.9)		
Former		135 (22.7)	330 (27)		
Never		149 (25)	294 (24.1)	0.548	
Family IHD	1,705 (82.5)	193 (35.1)	425 (36.8)	0.493	
BMI	1,946 (94.2)	26.2 (24.1-29.4)	26.2 (23.9-29.3)	0.504	
Prior MI	1,903 (92.1)	57 (9.9)	115 (8.7)	0.408	
Prior CABG	1,987 (96.3)	12 (1.9)	25 (1.8)	0.886	
Killip >2	1,983 (96)	24 (3.8)	49 (3.6)	0.806	
Systolic BP	1,499 (72.5)	126.2 (±26.7)	128.4 (±28.3)	0.165	
Diastolic BP	1,486 (71.9)	75.2 (±15.5)	75.5 (±16.6)	0.772	
Heart rate	1,508 (73)	77 (66-90)	76 (63-89)	0.133	
Anterior MI	1,902 (92.1)	257 (42.8)	587 (45.1)	0.336	
		ood pressure; CA heart disease; MI			

patients treated within 120 min of the diagnostic ECG and within 60 min of arrival at the pPCI centre increased significantly to 74% and 93%, respectively **(Table 3, Figure 2)**.

Even after excluding the patients added from the other (and geographically closer) centre after the merger, ECG-to-balloon and door-to-balloon still decreased significantly from median 114 (87-148) to 105 (80-135) min (p<0.001) and 33 (26-43) to 32 (25-40) min (p=0.013), respectively.

Throughout the entire observation period pre-hospital triage with direct transfer to the pPCI centre, bypassing local hospitals, was achieved in 64% of patients, resulting in a significant decrease in



Figure 2. Bar plot (median, error bar depicts upper interquartile range) of overall reperfusion delays before and after the fusion of two high-volume pPCI centres into one mega pPCI centre.

Table 2. Procedural characteristics according to an 18-month period before and after the two high-volume pPCI centres were merged into one.

	Valid cases (%)	Before centre merger (n=683)	After centre merger (n=1,383)	<i>p</i> -value
Culprit	2,055 (99.5)			
LM		13 (1.9)	19 (1.4)	
LAD		274 (40.4)	609 (44.2)	
RCA		287 (42.3)	573 (41.6)	
LCX		104 (15.3)	176 (12.8)	0.202
TIMI flow before pPCI	2,044 (98.9)			
0		398 (58.9)	806 (58.9)	
1		69 (10.2)	92 (6.7)	
2		82 (12.1)	187 (13.7)	
3		127 (18.8)	283 (20.7)	0.035
TIMI flow after pPCI	2,043 (98.9)			
0		14 (2.1)	30 (2.2)	
1		6 (0.9)	10 (0.7)	
2		40 (5.9)	46 (3.4)	
3		617 (91.7)	1,280 (93.7)	0.059
No. treated vessels	2,064 (99.9)			
1		617 (93.2)	1,307 (94.5)	
2		42 (6.3)	69 (5)	
3		3 (0.5)	5 (0.4)	0.170
No. treated lesions	2,045 (99)			
1		558 (81.9)	1,170 (84.6)	
2		95 (14)	175 (12.7)	
3		23 (3.4)	30 (2.2)	
>3		5 (0.7)	5 (0.4)	0.174

main coronary artery; RCA: right coronary artery; TIMI: Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction

ECG-to-balloon interval from 130 to 88 min. Among patients who were transferred directly, 82.7% were treated within 120 min of pre-hospital ECG recording **(Table 4)**. Rates of pre-hospital triage increased over time (38% in 2010, 68% in 2011 and 72% in 2012) and in the fourth quarter of 2012 were at 75.1%. However, these rates are subject to initial underreporting to the database in the early phase of the observation period and therefore do not represent real changes of pre-hospital triage patterns.

Thirty-day all-cause mortality was very similar before and after moving from a high-volume to a mega centre (44 [6.4%] vs. 86 [6.2%] events [log-rank p=0.525]) (**Figure 3**). Cox regression multivariable analysis identified age, female gender, systolic blood pressure, heart rate, Killip class >2, pre-procedural TIMI flow 0/1 and multiple treated vessels as independent predictors for mortality. All variables were evaluated at admission (**Table 5**). Indirect transfer was a univariable, but not multivariable predictor of 30-day all-cause mortality (8.0% vs. 5.4%, log-rank p=0.021). Formal interaction testing was carried out for direct/indirect transfer and

	Valid cases	Before centre merger (n=683)	After centre merger (n=1,383)	<i>p</i> -value	Change
Patients per year		455	922	NA	↑102%
Symptom to ECG	1,734 (83.9)	79 (42-161)	71 (40-145)	0.049	↓10%
Symptom to door	1,777 (86)	168 (110-254)	140 (95-225)	< 0.001	↓17%
Symptom to procedure start	1,786 (86.5)	195 (135-282)	165 (122-254)	<0.001	↓15%
Symptom to wire	1,753 (84.8)	202 (141-294)	170 (128-261)	<0.001	↓15%
Symptom to balloon	1,731 (83.8)	205 (145-297)	173 (130-262)	<0.001	↓16%
ECG to door	1,715 (83)	75 (48-105)	60 (40-87)	<0.001	↓ 20%
ECG to procedure start	1,757 (85)	101 (76-135)	85 (66-113)	<0.001	↓16%
ECG to wire	1,726 (83.5)	110 (81-143)	91 (72-120)	<0.001	↓17%
ECG to balloon	1,701 (82.3)	113 (85-146)	93 (74-122)	<0.001	↓18%
Door to procedure start	1,934 (93.6)	23 (18-32)	24 (19-31)	0.515	\leftrightarrow
Door to wire	1,900 (92)	30 (24-40)	29 (23-37)	0.058	\leftrightarrow
Door to balloon	1,873 (90.1)	33 (27-45)	32 (26-40)	0.002	↓ 3%
Door to balloon <30 min	1,873 (90.1)	43.5%	46.3%	0.266	\leftrightarrow
Door to balloon <60 min	1,873 (90.1)	88%	93%	<0.001	↑5%
ECG to balloon <120 min	1,701 (82.3)	58%	74%	<0.001	1 6%
ECG: electrocardiogram					·

Table 3. Time intervals according to an 18-month period before and after the two high-volume pPCI centres were merged into one.

before/after the merger regarding 30-day mortality. No significant interaction existed (p=0.641), and before/after merger was not an effect modifier. In univariable logistic regression analysis among variables to hand at the moment of triage, prior CABG was associated with indirect transfer (OR 2.13 [95% CI: 1.11-4.10], p=0.023), whereas an RCA culprit was associated with a trend towards a higher likelihood of field triage (OR 1.20 [95% CI: 0.98-1.44], p=0.054). Other baseline characteristics were not associated with the transfer path of admission. Killip >2 (OR 2.13 [95%



Figure 3. *Kaplan-Meier curve. Thirty-day all-cause mortality after pPCI before and after the fusion of two high-volume pPCI centres into one mega pPCI centre.*

CI: 1.33-3.40], p=0.002) and higher heart rate (per bpm) (OR 1.01 [95% CI: 1.01-1.02], p=0.001) evaluated at pPCI centre admission were associated with indirect transfer.

Discussion

The main result of the present study is the finding that one mega pPCI centre with ~1,000 procedures per year, serving a catchment population of 2.5 million people, provides high-quality treatment, measured by internationally recognised standard quality parameters of pPCI.

Patient load at the present centre doubled after the fusion with the other major PCI centre from the urban Copenhagen region, thereby exceeding the currently stated ideal number for annual procedures in a high-volume pPCI centre¹, while preserving standards of quality of care. Pre-hospital triage with direct transfer and post-procedural TIMI flow 3 reperfusion within in- and pre-hospital ESC guide-line-recommended time frames was achieved in the vast majority of patients. The rate of post-procedural TIMI flow 3 compared well with the recent reports from large randomised trials¹⁷ and reports from international registries^{18,19}. The 30-day all-cause mortality rate for this consecutive population of unselected STEMI patients, including resuscitated STEMI patients and STEMI patients with periprocedural cardiogenic shock, was unaffected by the fusion of the two centres and low compared to international standards^{1,20}.

Our results demonstrate that the feared overload of a pPCI centre by catchment populations >1 million people¹ is unjustified, but rather is dependent on pre- and in-hospital organisation. Table 4. Time intervals depending on pre-hospital triage by telemedicine. Non-directly transferred patients were admitted to a local hospital first before transfer to a pPCI centre was arranged.

	Valid cases	Non-direct transfer	Direct transfer	<i>p</i> -value	Change
Patients	2,058 (99.6)	741 (36)	1,317 (64)	NA	NA
Symptom to ECG	1,734 (83.9)	102 (50-196)	61 (38-120)	<0.001	↓40%
Symptom to door	1,777 (86)	212 (140-315)	125 (90-186)	<0.001	↓ 41%
Symptom to procedure start	1,786 (86.4)	241 (164-340)	150 (115-215)	<0.001	√38%
Symptom to wire	1,753 (84.8)	249 (170-350)	158 (121-222)	<0.001	↓ 37%
Symptom to balloon	1,731 (83.8)	251 (173-349)	160 (124-225)	<0.001	√36%
ECG to door	1,715 (83)	93 (64-137)	53 (37-74)	<0.001	↓43%
ECG to procedure start	1,757 (85)	119 (89-163)	80 (64-103)	<0.001	↓ 33%
ECG to wire	1,726 (83.5)	129 (98-171)	85 (69-109)	<0.001	↓ 34%
ECG to balloon	1,701 (82.3)	130 (100-175)	88 (72-112)	<0.001	↓ 32%
Door to procedure start	1,934 (93.6)	24 (19-31)	24 (19-31)	0.730	\leftrightarrow
Door to wire	1,900 (92)	30 (24-40)	29 (23-37)	0.034	√3%
Door to balloon	1,873 (90.7)	33 (26-43)	32 (26-40)	0.036	√3%
Door to balloon <30 min	1,873 (90.7)	42.9%	46.8%	0.106	\leftrightarrow
Door to balloon <60 min	1,868 (90.4)	89%	92.6%	0.010	↑ 3.6%
ECG to balloon <120 min	1,699 (82.2)	43.3%	82.7%	< 0.001	↑ 39.4%

The decrease in delay in the pre-hospital phase after the centre merger is partly due to the catchment area being primarily expanded with patients living in the greater urban area, but the closed-down pPCI centre also served patients from rural areas up to 70 km away. Air transfer was not used for patients originating from the merged catchment area. Of note, pre-hospital times in patients living nearby were unaffected as a result of our unaltered STEMI network with pre-hospital triage in place, whereas ECG-to-wire times in remote patients were improved (**Figure 1**). This is due to the recent implementation of a physician-staffed helicopter for patients from these counties¹⁴. Furthermore, the proportion of acute CAGs performed under the indication of pPCI (and conversely the proportion of "false activations") remained similar before and after the centre merger, indicating that criteria for patient referral were not altered after the merger.

We have recently shown that in consecutive CAGs pPCI was performed in 81% of patients triaged in the pre-hospital phase, which constitutes a high catchment rate with a low frequency of "false" catheterisation laboratory activations. In contrast, pPCI was only performed in 41% of patients transferred from emergency departments and cardiac care units without pre-hospital triage¹¹. Acute CAGs in so-called false catheterisation laboratory activations yield important risk-stratifying information in patients with chest pain, cardiogenic shock or resuscitated out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. These results indicate that directly and indirectly transferred STEMI patients are probably not entirely comparable, although there were no differences in age, gender and the registered comorbidities. Patients who were directly transferred by pre-hospital triage had an unadjusted 2.5% absolute 30-day mortality risk reduction. Elevated heart rate and Killip >2 were associated with missing pre-hospital triage; however, these variables were evaluated at pPCI centre admission and therefore cannot be interpreted as causal for indirect transfer. Notably, indirect transfer remained an independent predictor of mortality in multivariable models incorporating all of the variables shown in **Table 5**, but lost significance when acute heart failure was added.

Our results indicate that efficient STEMI networks delivering pre-hospital triage in order to reduce reperfusion delay and lower false activations of the catheterisation laboratory are, together with in-hospital organisation, important conditions for allocating and providing quality care in high-volume pPCI centres with large catchment populations and areas. These results confirm previous national experiences, where direct transfer substantially reduced system delays by 60-100 min for ground ambulance²¹⁻²³ and an additional 20-70 minutes by helicopter ambulance²⁴, depending on injury site location.

International hospital settings are currently under reformation with small rural hospitals closing their doors or joining larger units resulting in highly specialised departments at greater distances from local injury sites. This calls for an efficient pre-hospital phase. In a recent North American based study, the benefits and costs of building new PCI capability at US hospitals versus diverting patients with STEMI to existing PCI-capable hospitals were compared. The authors found that emergency medical service (EMS) systems, in which STEMI detection and diversion are feasible, may be more effective and less costly than construction and staffing of new hospital PCI capability^{25,26}.

_	-		-	-	-		
	Univariable analysis			Multivariable analysis			
	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	
Age (per year)	1.06	1.04-1.07	<0.001	1.06	1.04-1.09	<0.001	
Female	1.98	1.39-2.82	<0.001	2.36	1.33-4.19	0.003	
Diabetes	1.60	0.97-2.63	0.065				
Hypertension	1.48	0.98-2.24	0.062				
Killip >2	12.61	8.45-18.81	<0.001	2.78	1.19-6.50	0.019	
Heart rate (per bpm)	1.02	1.01-1.03	0.004	1.02	1.01-1.03	0.002	
Systolic BP (per mmHg)	0.96	0.95-0.97	<0.001	0.97	0.96-0.98	< 0.001	
Anterior MI	1.63	1.12-2.36	0.010	1.72	0.98-3.04	0.060	
LM stenosis	9.58	5.39-17.02	< 0.001				
Pre-proc. TIMI flow 0/1	1.97	1.28-3.04	0.002	2.10	1.11-4.01	0.023	
Post-proc. TIMI flow 3	0.42	0.26-0.68	<0.001				
Treated vessels >1	3.58	2.26-5.67	<0.001	2.75	1.24-6.09	0.013	
Indirect transfer	1.49	1.06-2.11	0.023				
DD blood succession IM left main as		I many a small at the familia		te la More e coltet	la fa na bia n		

Table 5. Cox regression multivariable analysis showing independent predictors of 30-day all-cause mortality.

BP: blood pressure; LM: left main coronary artery; MI: myocardial infarction; TIMI: Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction

Conclusion

This single-centre experience demonstrates the possibility of doubling the capacity of a pPCI centre without compromising the quality of care parameters of in-hospital delays, epicardial reperfusion rate and 30-day mortality. The present study challenges the concept of a maximum desirable capacity of a pPCI centre, as suggested in current European and American guidelines. Quality of care of a pPCI centre is more likely to reflect governance, proper training, resources and pre-hospital triage, rather than catchment population size and STEMI incidence, as long as a minimum volume is guaranteed. Whilst the spreading of pPCI initially demanded the creation of new centres, the present study suggests that resources can be utilised better by merging neighbouring centres, without negative effects on quality of care.

Impact on daily practice

The present study challenges the concept of a maximum desirable capacity of a primary percutaneous coronary intervention (pPCI) centre, as suggested in current international guidelines, and demonstrates that \approx 1,000 annual pPCI procedures per centre with a catchment population of 2.5 million people can be performed efficiently and safely. Quality of care, as measured by treatment delays, coronary reperfusion and 30-day mortality, is more likely to reflect resources and pre-hospital triage, rather than catchment population size, as long as a minimum volume is guaranteed. The present study suggests that resources should be focused on efficient pre-hospital triage and in-hospital organisation, and resources can be utilised better by merging neighbouring pPCI centres.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Widimsky P, Wijns W, Fajadet J, de Belder M, Knot J, Aaberge L, Andrikopoulos G, Baz JA, Betriu A, Claeys M, Danchin N, Djambazov S, Erne P, Hartikainen J, Huber K, Kala P, Klinceva M, Kristensen SD, Ludman P, Ferre JM, Merkely B, Miliciç D, Morais J, Noc M, Opolski G, Ostojiç M, Radovanovic D, De Servi S, Stenestrand U, Studencan M, Tubaro M, Vasiljeviç Z, Weidinger F, Witkowski A, Zeymer U. Reperfusion therapy for ST elevation acute myocardial infarction in Europe: description of the current situation in 30 countries. *Eur Heart J.* 2010; 31:943-57.

2. Andersen HR, Nielsen TT, Rasmussen K, Thuesen L, Kelbaek H, Thayssen P, Abildgaard U, Pedersen F, Madsen JK, Grande P, Villadsen AB, Krusell LR, Haghfelt T, Lomholt P, Husted SE, Vigholt E, Kjaergard HK, Mortensen LS; DANAMI-2 Investigators. A Comparison of Coronary Angioplasty with Fibrinolytic Therapy in Acute Myocardial Infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;349:733-42.

3. Terkelsen CJ, Jensen LO, Tilsted HH, Thaysen P, Ravkilde J, Johnsen SP, Trautner S, Andersen HR, Thuesen L, Lassen JF. Primary percutaneous coronary intervention as a national reperfusion strategy in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;4:570-6.

4. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE Jr, Chung MK, de Lemos JA, Ettinger SM, Fang JC, Fesmire FM, Franklin BA, Granger CB, Krumholz HM, Linderbaum JA, Morrow DA, Newby LK, Ornato JP, Ou N, Radford MJ, Tamis-Holland JE, Tommaso CL, Tracy CM, Woo YJ, Zhao DX, Anderson JL, Jacobs AK, Halperin JL, Albert NM, Brindis RG, Creager MA, DeMets D, Guyton RA, Hochman JS, Kovacs RJ, Kushner FG, Ohman EM, Stevenson WG, Yancy CW. 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: a Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013; 61:e78-e140. 5. The Task Force on the management of ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), Steg P, James SK, Atar D, Badano LP, Lundqvist CB, Borger MA, Di Mario C, Dickstein K, Ducrocq G, Fernandez-Aviles F, Gershlick AH, Giannuzzi P, Halvorsen S, Huber K, Juni P, Kastrati A, Knuuti J, Lenzen MJ, Mahaffey KW, Valgimigli M, van't Hof A, Widimsky P, Zahger D. ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J.* 2012; 33:2569-619.

6. Widimsky P, Fajadet J, Danchin N, Wijns W. "Stent 4 Life" targeting PCI at all who will benefit the most. A joint project between EAPCI, Euro-PCR, EUCOMED and the ESC Working Group on Acute Cardiac Care. *EuroIntervention*. 2009;4:555-557.

7. Boersma E. Primary Coronary Angioplasty vs. Thrombolysis Group. Does time matter? A pooled analysis of randomized clinical trials comparing primary percutaneous coronary intervention and in-hospital fibrinolysis in acute myocardial infarction patients. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:779-88.

8. Keeley EC, Boura JA, Grines CL. Primary angioplasty versus intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: a quantitative review of 23 randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2003;361:13-20.

9. Ludman PF, de Belder MA, McLenachan JM, Birkhead JS, Cunningham D, Gray HH. The importance of audit to monitor applications of procedures and improve primary angioplasty results. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;8 Suppl P:P62-70.

10. Myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation: the acute management of myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Clinical guidelines CG167. http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG167 2013

11. Clemmensen P, Schoos MM, Lindholm MG, Rasmussen LS, Steinmetz J, Hesselfeldt R, Pedersen F, Jørgensen E, Holmvang L, Sejersten M. Pre-hospital diagnosis and transfer of patients with acute myocardial infarction--a decade long experience from one of Europe's largest STEMI networks. *J Electrocardiol.* 2013;46:546-52.

12. Schoos MM, Kelbæk H, Pedersen F, Kjærgaard B, Trautner S, Holmvang L, Jørgensen E, Helqvist S, Saunamäki K, Engstrøm T, Clemmensen P. Search and rescue helicopter-assisted transfer of ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients from an island in the Baltic Sea: results from over 100 rescue missions. *Emerg Med J.* 2013 Jul 22. doi: 10.1136/emermed-2013-202771. [Epub ahead of print].

13. Knudsen L, Hansen TM, Hesselfeldt R, Steinmetz J. [Time gain by helicopter transportation of ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients]. *Ugeskr Laeger*. 2013;175:190-3.

14. Hesselfeldt R, Pedersen F, Steinmetz J, Vestergaard L, Simonsen L, Jørgensen E, Clemmensen P, Rasmussen L. Implementation of a physician-staffed helicopter: impact on time to primary PCI. *EuroIntervention*. 2013;9:477-83.

15. Annual report from The Danish Heart Registry; http://www. dhreg.dk/html/om_dhr/DHR-aarsberetning_2011.pdf.2012

16. Andersson H, Sejersten M, Clemmensen P, Grande P. Prognosis and high-risk complication identification in unselected

patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Acute Card Care.* 2010;12:102-8.

17. Brener SJ, Mehran R, Brodie BR, Guagliumi G, Witzenbichler B, Cristea E, Xu K, Lansky AJ, Stone GW. Predictors and implications of coronary infarct artery patency at initial angiography in patients with acute myocardial infarction (from the CADILLAC and HORIZONS-AMI Trials). *Am J Cardiol.* 2011;108:918-23.

18. Claessen BE, Kikkert WJ, Engstrom AE, Hoebers LP, Damman P, Vis MM, Koch KT, Baan J, Meuwissen M, van der Schaaf RJ, de Winter RJ, Tijssen JG, Piek JJ, Henriques JP. Primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST elevation myocardial infarction in octogenarians: trends and outcomes. *Heart.* 2010;96:843-7.

19. Ndrepepa G, Mehilli J, Schulz S, Iijima R, Keta D, Byrne RA, Pache J, Seyfarth M, Schömig A, Kastrati A. Prognostic significance of epicardial blood flow before and after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008;52:512-7.

20. Yeh RW, Sidney S, Chandra M, Sorel M, Selby JV, Go AS. Population trends in the incidence and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362:2155-65.

21. Schoos MM, Sejersten M, Hvelplund A, Madsen M, Lønborg J, Steinmetz J, Treschow PM, Pedersen F, Jørgensen E, Grande P, Kelbæk H, Clemmensen P. Reperfusion delay in patients treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention: insight from a real world Danish ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction population in the era of telemedicine. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care.* 2012;1:200-9.

22. Sejersten M, Sillesen M, Hansen PR, Nielsen SL, Nielsen H, Trautner S, Hampton D, Wagner GS, Clemmensen P. Effect on treatment delay of prehospital teletransmission of 12-lead electrocardiogram to a cardiologist for immediate triage and direct referral of patients with ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction to primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008;101:941-6.

23. Sørensen JT, Terkelsen CJ, Nørgaard BL, Trautner S, Hansen TM, Bøtker HE, Lassen JF, Andersen HR. Urban and rural implementation of pre-hospital diagnosis and direct referral for primary percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J.* 2011;32:430-6.

24. Knudsen L, Stengaard C, Hansen T, Lassen J, Terkelsen C. Earlier reperfusion in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction by use of helicopter. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med.* 2012;20:70.

25. Concannon TW, Kent DM, Normand SL, Newhouse JP, Griffith JL, Cohen J, Beshansky JR, Wong JB, Aversano T, Selker HP. Comparative effectiveness of ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction regionalization strategies. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2010;3:506-13.

26. Concannon TW, Nelson J, Kent DM, Griffith JL. Evidence of systematic duplication by new percutaneous coronary intervention programs. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2013;6:400-8.