No resistance to wireless measurements in INOCA patients?

Yolande Appelman*, MD, PhD; Jorge Dahdal, MD

Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit (VU), Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Ischaemia and non-obstructive coronary artery disease (INOCA) is a common but often overlooked disease found predominantly in female patients with chest pain symptoms. Patients and attending doctors often become aware of this potential diagnosis after coronary angiography offers no explanation for the patient's ischaemic symptoms. Despite many believing these patients have a favourable profile, in fact they have worse outcomes and impaired quality of life, leading to a high economic burden for society. Given the importance of the diagnosis, it is recommended to conduct additional coronary functional testing to investigate the possible underlying mechanisms behind the symptoms. Based on the results of these invasive tests, different endotypes have been proposed: vasospastic angina (epicardial vasospasm) and coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD), i.e., 1) impaired vasodilation with a high index of microvascular resistance (IMR) and/or low coronary flow reserve (CFR); 2) increased vasoconstriction of the coronary microcirculation¹. These endotypes can coexist.

In this issue of EuroIntervention, Mejía-Rentería et al describe the validation of a novel, wireless, non-hyperaemic coronary angiography-derived method for assessing IMR (cAngio-IMR), comparing it to the conventional wire-based thermodilutionderived IMR (thermo-IMR) in suspected INOCA patients². This approach relies on analysing coronary angiography through software that integrates computational fluid dynamic and 3D vessel reconstruction and then calculating cAngio-IMR with a formula utilising parameters obtained from standard angiography. They show that the proposed method has high diagnostic accuracy (85%) and high discriminatory power to identify abnormal thermo-IMR (>25 U). Also, surprisingly, the cAngio-IMR derived from resting angiograms had a better diagnostic yield than those from hyperaemic angiograms. As reported by the authors, this could be attributed in part to the challenges in achieving optimal coronary opacification during hyperaemia.

Article, see page 1348

Thermodilution is one of the most frequently used methods for assessing the resistance of the coronary microcirculation at hyperaemia, but it is not exempt from pitfalls. First, the measurement depends to a certain degree on the injection technique of

© Europa Digital & Publishing 2023. All rights reserved.

e1302

^{*}Corresponding author: Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam UMC, location VUmc, De Boelelaan 1117, 1081 HV Amsterdam, the Netherlands. E-mail: y.appelman@amsterdamumc.nl

the operator using a 3-5 cc syringe at room temperature and other sensitive steps prone to potential error³. Secondly, the correlation between thermo-IMR and other methods to assess the resistance of the microcirculation is, at best, modest⁴. In the study by Mejía-Rentería et al, the first point was controlled by experienced operators in the tertiary hospital where the study was performed and by quality checking in an independent core laboratory. Despite its drawbacks, and without a universally recognised clinical gold standard, thermo-IMR is one of the recommended methods to evaluate microcirculation resistance.

Although the use of cAngio-IMR seems promising for implementation in daily practice, a more precise discrimination is needed between the different endotypes. Vasospastic angina is highly prevalent in INOCA patients (60-80%), with a favourable prognosis, but is highly symptomatic and needs tailored treatment. In a way, the present study supports this, as only 41% of the patients had impaired IMR or CFR, underscoring the importance of including spasm provocation testing. As acknowledged by the authors, this could potentially explain the significant mismatch between the results of non-invasive ischaemia testing (80% treadmill stress test) and cAngio-IMR. CMD patients with high microvascular resistance have discordant prognoses. A meta-analysis of 8 studies (1,097 patients, heterogeneous diagnoses) found a slight, significant protective effect of normal IMR on major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE)⁵. Conversely, Boerhout et al showed that high microvascular resistance is not a predictor of MACE in patients with angina and no obstructive coronary artery disease (ANOCA). The patients with ANOCA and low CFR correspond to a group with unfavourable prognoses, with an increased risk of MACE (11.7% at 5-year follow-up)⁶.

From a clinical perspective, IMR measurement should be associated with CFR and provocation test results to obtain a more accurate classification of the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms driving inadequate myocardial perfusion as well as to define the prognosis and treatment of these patients.

We congratulate Mejía-Rentería et al for this seminal publication, which invites us to think of new strategies to guide the diagnostic workup of patients with suspected INOCA. This type of "less invasive" approach, which avoids the need for supplementary catheters, and the use of hyperaemic agents with their consequences (dyspnoea, chest pain, hypotension, and others), could facilitate the expansion of coronary functional testing to healthcare centres with limited access to dedicated catheterisation equipment, thereby providing a valuable tool for the diagnosis and management of this growing patient population.

However, relevant physiological information must be included to develop this method into a more comprehensive and complete tool. Some early studies have highlighted the possibility of computing CFR based on angiography. More recently, Rittger et al showed that angiographically-derived CFR correlates very well (R=0.87; p<0.01) with intracoronary Doppler CFR⁷. We encourage the investigators to continue this important line of research and attempt to articulate it with a more detailed wireless physiological assessment of the microcirculation.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Ong P, Camici PG, Beltrame JF, Crea F, Shimokawa H, Sechtem U, Kaski JC, Bairey Merz CN; Coronary Vasomotion Disorders International Study Group (COVADIS). International standardization of diagnostic criteria for microvascular angina. *Int J Cardiol.* 2018;250:16-20.

2. Mejía-Rentería H, Wang L, Chipayo-Gonzales D, van de Hoef TP, Travieso A, Espejo C, Núñez-Gil IJ, Macaya F, Gonzalo N, Escaned J. Angiography-derived assessment of coronary microcirculatory resistance in patients with suspected myocardial ischaemia and non-obstructive coronary arteries. *EuroIntervention*. 2023;18: e1348-56.

 Layland J, Nerlekar N, Palmer S, Berry C, Oldroyd K. Invasive assessment of the coronary microcirculation in the catheter laboratory. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;199:141-9.

4. Demir OM, Boerhout CKM, de Waard GA, van de Hoef TP, Patel N, Beijk MAM, Williams R, Rahman H, Everaars H; Oxford Acute Myocardial Infarction (OxAMI) Study; Kharbanda RK, Knaapen P, van Royen N, Piek JJ, Perera D. Comparison of Doppler Flow Velocity and Thermodilution Derived Indexes of Coronary Physiology. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2022;15:1060-70.

5. Kelshiker MA, Seligman H, Howard JP, Rahman H, Foley M, Nowbar AN, Rajkumar CA, Shun-Shin MJ, Ahmad Y, Sen S, Al-Lamee R, Petraco R.Coronary flow reserve and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J.* 2022;43:1582-93.

6. Boerhout CKM, de Waard G, de Waard Lee JM, Mejia-Renteria H, Lee SH, Jung JH, Hoshino M, Echavarria-Pinto M, Meuwissen M, Matsuo H, Madera-Cambero M, Eftekhari A, Effat ME, Murai T, Marques K, Appelman Y, Doh JH, Christiansen EC, Banerjee R, Nam CW, Niccoli G, Nakayama M, Tanaka N, Shin ES, Beijk MB, Knaapen P, Escaned J, Kakuta T, Koo BK, Piek JP, van de Hoef TP. Prognostic value of structural and functional coronary microvascular dysfunction in patients with non-obstructive coronary artery disease; from the multicentre international ILIAS registry. *EuroIntervention.* 2022;18:719-28.

7. Rittger H, Kuper A, Breithardt OA, Kuon E, Schmidt M, Sinha AM, Blüm B, Jakob A, Brachmann J. A new angiographic method to assess coronary flow reserve-validation in humans. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;75:167-73.