

## Letter: Identifying vulnerable coronary atherosclerotic plaques: from theory to practice

Kyriakos Dimitriadis\*, MD, PhD, FESC; Nikolaos Pырpyris, MD; Konstantinos Tsioufis, MD, PhD, FESC

\*Corresponding author: School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Hippokraton General Hospital, Vasilissis Sofias 114, Athens, GR 115 27, Greece. E-mail: dimitriadiskyr@yahoo.gr

We read with great interest the study by del Val et al<sup>1</sup> regarding the prognostic role of vulnerable plaque features in non-ischæmic lesions, showing thin-cap fibroatheroma (TCFA) as the strongest predictor of future events, with the addition of other vulnerable plaque parameters further increasing this risk. This study is of particular importance; however, several key insights must be highlighted.

Firstly, 68.4-84.4% of patients received statins, with significantly lower use in most subgroups with high-risk characteristics, compared to patients without. Furthermore, there is no report of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitor use. It is well documented that PCSK9 inhibitors lead to plaque regression and stabilisation<sup>2</sup>. Notably, a phenotype of “triple regression” – which consists of the combined presence of (a) percentage of atheroma volume reduction, (b) maximum lipid core burden index within 4 mm reduction and (c) minimal fibrous cap thickness increase – is significantly associated with fewer adverse outcomes and independently associated with the use of PCSK9 inhibitors<sup>3</sup>. Given the prognostic significance of both vulnerable plaques and the presence of plaque regression, as well as the limited data in this context, future efforts should further evaluate if PCSK9 inhibition in patients with baseline vulnerable plaques leads to enhanced prognosis and reduction of reported adverse events.

Furthermore, despite approximately one-quarter of patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome, the investigators did not report any differences in outcomes between different presentations or whether presentation was a predictor of outcomes in patients with vulnerable plaques. Considering the inherent differences of these subgroups, it should be evaluated whether plaque vulnerability impacts patients with acute or stable disease differently, indicating alternative treatment strategies.

It has been shown in haemodynamically significant lesions that fractional flow reserve (FFR)-derived pullback pressure gradient (PPG), which discriminates focal from diffuse disease, is associated with the identification of plaque vulnerability<sup>4</sup>. Namely, lesions with high PPG (focal lesions) had a significantly higher prevalence of a higher plaque burden and TCFA. Given the nature of this study, combining optical coherence tomography and FFR, it would be interesting to evaluate whether such correlations exist in FFR-negative lesions. Identifying a relationship in future studies could provide an additional tool, along with intravascular imaging, in the identification and treatment decision-making of high-risk plaques.

The recently published PREVENT study<sup>5</sup> showed that preventive stenting of FFR-negative vulnerable plaque, in comparison to pharmacotherapy, led to a reduction in major adverse cardiovascular events. Given the positive results, but the absence of PCSK9 inhibition in this trial, it currently remains unknown whether an intensified medical therapy or stenting would provide the most benefit to patients. The risk score provided by the investigators may introduce a novel way of selecting which lesions, and consequently which patients, should be treated with stenting and which with pharmacotherapy – evaluating both vulnerable plaque distinct characteristics and the presence of a combination of high-risk features, which, as reported, increases adverse events. Therefore, such scores should be validated and implemented in future interventional studies, aiming to find the optimal phenotype for both medical and interventional therapy in the context of non-flow-limiting high-risk lesions.

### Authors' affiliation

First Department of Cardiology, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Hippokraton General Hospital, Athens, Greece

## Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

1. Del Val D, Berta B, Roleder T, Malinowski K, Bastante T, Hermanides RS, Wojakowski W, Fabris E, Cuesta J, De Luca G, Rivero F, Alfonso F, Kedhi E. Vulnerable plaque features and adverse events in patients with diabetes mellitus: a post hoc analysis of the COMBINE OCT-FFR trial. *EuroIntervention*. 2024;20:e707-17.
2. Räber L, Ueki Y, Otsuka T, Losdat S, Häner JD, Lønborg J, Fahrni G, Iglesias JF, van Geuns RJ, Ondracek AS, Radu Juul Jensen MD, Zanchin C, Stortecky S, Spirk D, Siontis GCM, Saleh L, Matter CM, Daemen J, Mach F, Heg D, Windecker S, Engstrøm T, Lang IM, Koskinas KC; PACMAN-AMI collaborators. Effect of Alirocumab Added to High-Intensity Statin Therapy on Coronary Atherosclerosis in Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction: The PACMAN-AMI Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2022;327:1771-81.
3. Biccirè FG, Häner J, Losdat S, Ueki Y, Shibutani H, Otsuka T, Kakizaki R, Hofbauer TM, van Geuns RJ, Stortecky S, Siontis GCM, Bär S, Lønborg J, Heg D, Kaiser C, Spirk D, Daemen J, Iglesias JF, Windecker S, Engstrøm T, Lang I, Koskinas KC, Räber L. Concomitant Coronary Atheroma Regression and Stabilization in Response to Lipid-Lowering Therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2023;82:1737-47.
4. Sakai K, Mizukami T, Leipsic J, Belmonte M, Sonck J, Nørgaard BL, Otake H, Ko B, Koo BK, Maeng M, Jensen JM, Buytaert D, Munhoz D, Andreini D, Ohashi H, Shinke T, Taylor CA, Barbato E, Johnson NP, De Bruyne B, Collet C. Coronary Atherosclerosis Phenotypes in Focal and Diffuse Disease. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2023;16:1452-64.
5. Park SJ, Ahn JM, Kang DY, Yun SC, Ahn YK, Kim WJ, Nam CW, Jeong JO, Chae IH, Shiomi H, Kao HL, Hahn JY, Her SH, Lee BK, Ahn TH, Chang KY, Chae JK, Smyth D, Mintz GS, Stone GW, Park DW; PREVENT Investigators. Preventive percutaneous coronary intervention versus optimal medical therapy alone for the treatment of vulnerable atherosclerotic coronary plaques (PREVENT): a multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2024;403:1753-65.