Late clinical outcomes after implantation of drug-eluting stents coated with biodegradable polymers: 3-year follow-up of the PAINT randomised trial

Pedro A. Lemos^{1*}, MD, PhD; Bruno Moulin², MD; Marco A. Perin³, MD, PhD; Ludmilla A.R.R. Oliveira⁴, MD; J. Airton Arruda⁵, MD, PhD; Valter C. Lima⁶, MD; Antonio A.G. Lima⁷, MD; Paulo R.A. Caramori⁸, MD, PhD; Cesar R. Medeiros⁹, MD; Mauricio R. Barbosa¹⁰, MD; Fabio S. Brito Jr.¹¹, MD, PhD; Expedito E. Ribeiro¹, MD, PhD

 Heart Institute (InCor), University of São Paulo Medical School (USP), São Paulo, Brazil; 2. Hospital Universitário Cassiano Antonio de Moraes, Vitoria, Brazil; 3. Hospital Santa Marcelina, São Paulo, Brazil; 4. Natal Hospital Center, Natal, Brazil; 5. Hospital Meridional, Vitoria, Brazil; 6. Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP-EPM), São Paulo, Brazil; 7. Hospital Universitario Walter Cantidio, Fortaleza, Brazil; 8. Hospital São Lucas, PUC-RS, Porto Alegre, Brazil; 9. Rede D'Or de Hospitais, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; 10. Hospital Biocor, Belo Horizonte, Brazil; 11. São Camilo Hospital, São Paulo, Brazil

KEYWORDS

• restenosis

- randomised clinical trial
- drug-eluting stents
- coronary
- angioplasty
- atherosclerosis
- biodegradable

Abstract

Aims: The long-term clinical performance of drug-eluting stents (DES) coated with biodegradable polymers is poorly known.

Methods and results: A total of 274 coronary patients were randomly allocated to paclitaxel-eluting stents, sirolimus-eluting stents, or bare metal stents (2:2:1 ratio). The two DES used the same biodegradable polymers and were identical except for the drug. At three years, the pooled DES population had similar rates of cardiac death or myocardial infarction (9.0% vs. 7.1; p=0.6), but lower risk of repeat interventions (10.0% vs. 29.9%; p<0.01) than controls with bare stents. The cumulative 3-year incidence of definite or probable stent thrombosis in the pooled DES group was 2.3% (first year: 1.8%; second year: 0.4%; third year: zero). There were no significant differences in outcomes between paclitaxel- and sirolimus-eluting stents.

Conclusions: The biodegradable-polymer coated DES releasing either paclitaxel or sirolimus were effective in reducing the 3-year rate of re-interventions.

**Corresponding author: Av. Dr. Eneas de Carvalho Aguiar, 44, Bloco I, 3° andar, Hemodinâmica, São Paulo-SP 05403-000, Brazil. E-mail: pedro.lemos@incor.usp.br*

Introduction

Biodegradable polymeric coatings have been proposed as drug carriers for new formulations of drug-eluting stents (DES), in an attempt to enhance biocompatibility and ultimately improve clinical safety. Nevertheless, ideally, any improvement in safety should not be achieved at the expense of a compromise in efficacy. The "Percutaneous intervention with biodegradable-polymer based paclitaxel-eluting or sirolimus-eluting versus bare stents for de novo coronary lesions"-PAINT randomised trial showed that two novel formulations of DES coated with the same biodegradable polymeric coating (but releasing different drugs, either paclitaxel or sirolimus) were effective in reducing neointimal growth at nine months compared with bare metal stents (BMS).¹ Currently, little is known regarding the very long-term clinical performance of DES coated with biodegradable polymers, especially after the second year, when the degradation process of the coating is expected to be completed.^{2,3} In the present study, therefore, we analysed the 36-month clinical outcomes of patients included in the PAINT trial.

Methods

The study protocol and the 1-year results of the PAINT trial have been detailed elsewhere.^{1,4} In brief, the PAINT was a multicentre 3-arm randomised trial which allocated patients to coronary intervention of *de novo* lesions with: I) Infinnium[®] paclitaxel-eluting stent, II) Supralimus[®] sirolimus-eluting stent, or III) control Milennium Matrix[®] bare metal stent (all by Sahajanand Medical Technologies Pvt. Ltd., India) in a 2:2:1 ratio, respectively. The primary endpoint of the present pre-defined substudy was the 3-year incidence of major adverse cardiac events defined as the composite of cardiac death, myocardial infarction or target vessel revascularisation. An independent Adverse Events Committee adjudicated all adverse events.

The stents were built with the same 316L stainless metallic platform and delivery system. The drug carrier (thickness 4-5 μ m) for both DES was a blend of biodegradable polymers that included Poly L-Lactide, 50/50 Poly DL-Lactide-co-Glycolide, 75/25 Poly L-Lactide-co-Caprolactone, and Polyvinyl Pyrrolidone. The polymeric matrix is ultimately eliminated as water and carbon dioxide. Both DES formulations released approximately 50% of the drug content in the first 9-11 days, 90% in 38 days and 100% in 48 days. Complete polymer degradation occurred after seven months.

The incidence of clinical adverse events was estimated with the Kaplan-Meier method, and the outcomes of the study arms were compared using Cox regression analysis. In order to evaluate the impact of the biodegradable polymeric coating on long-term outcomes, the clinical results of both DES were pooled together and compared to the control arm with bare metal stents.

Results

The final study population included 274 patients (111 in the paclitaxel, 106 in the sirolimus, and 57 in the bare stent groups) and 3-year clinical outcomes were assessed for 261 patients (95.2%). The study groups did not differ in terms of their baseline demographic, clinical, angiographic, and procedural characteristics **(Table 1)**. At three years, the rates of cardiac death and of myocardial infarction were not significantly different between the pooled DES and the control groups **(Table 2)**. However,

Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

		DES	DMC				
	PES (n=111)	SES (n=106)	Pooled DES (n=217)	BMS (n=57	<i>p</i> -value*		
Age, years	60.1±10.2	59.7±10.6	59.9±10.4	58.5 ± 9.6	0.6		
Men	61.3	67.0	64.1	66.7	0.8		
Diabetes	28.8	34.9	31.8	26.3	0.5		
Hypertension	83.8	88.7	86.2	86.0	1.0		
Previous MI	27.9	34.0	30.9	38.6	0.3		
Previous CABG	9.0	5.7	7.4	3.5	0.4		
Previous PCI	15.3	15.1	15.2	17.5	0.7		
Clinical presentation					0.6		
Silent ischaemia	3.6	5.7	4.6	8.8			
Stable angina	65.8	63.2	64.5	64.9			
Unstable angina	24.3	25.5	24.9	22.8			
Recent MI	6.3	5.7	6.0	3.5			
Coronary disease					0.7		
Single-vessel	63.1	64.8	63.9	57.9			
Double-vessel	24.3	24.8	24.5	28.1			
Triple-vessel	12.6	10.5	11.6	14.0			
Target vessel					0.1		
Right coronary	33.3	25.5	29.5	15.8			
Left circumflex	44.1	56.6	50.2	57.9			
Left anterior descending	22.5	17.9	20.3	26.3			
Numbers are percentages or means ±standard deviation. BMS: bare metal stent; CABG:							

Numbers are percentages or means ±standard deviation. BMS: bare metal stent; CABG: coronary artery bypass surgery; DES: drug-eluting stent; MI: myocardial infraction; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; PES: paclitaxel-eluting stent; SES: sirolimus-eluting stent; *Refers to the comparison between BMS versus pooled DES

patients treated with drug-eluting stents had significantly fewer repeat interventions than controls (**Table 2**). There were no stent thromboses in patients treated with BMS, while 2.3% of patients receiving DES had a definite or probable thrombotic event during follow-up (p=0.3) (1.8% in the first year, 0.4% in the second year, and zero in the third year) (**Table 3**). There were no significant differences in the incidence of 3-year clinical endpoints between paclitaxel- and sirolimus-eluting stents (p>0.05 for all comparisons) (**Table 2 and Table 3**).

Discussion

The present study shows that, in comparison to bare stents, the two tested formulations of DES using biodegradable coatings were associated with a significant improvement in clinical outcomes that was sustained over the 36-month period of observation. There were no differences in clinical outcomes between sirolimus- and paclitaxel-eluting stents. The analysis of the safety profile of the two biodegradable-coated DES was limited by the small sample size and is merely speculative. However, it is curious to observe that, after the second year, no definite or probable stent thrombosis was detected among patients treated with the DES.

Funding

Sahajanand Medical Technologies Pvt. (India) and CMS Medical (Brazil) provided the study stents and the funds to cover the expenses with the angiographic core laboratory.

Conflict of interest statement

P. Lemos, B. Moulin, J.A. Arruda, V.C. Lima, E.E. Ribeiro are members of the advisory board for Scitech Medical. P. Lemos is member of the

Table 2. Cumulative adverse cardiac events during the three years	of follow-up for the pooled DES population versus the control group.
---	--

		DES		DMC			
	PES (n=111)	SES (n=106)	Pooled DES (n=217)	BMS (n=57)	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Cardiac death	1.9	2.9	2.4	0	-	-	0.5
Up to 1 year	0.9	0.9	0.9	0			
> 1 year to 2 years	0	0	0	0			
> 2 years to 3 years	1.0	2.0	1.5	0			
Cardiac death or non-fatal MI	8.3	9.7	9.0	7.1	1.33	0.46-3.91	0.6
Up to 1 year	6.4	5.7	6.1	5.3			
> 1 year to 2 years	0	1.0	0.5	0			
> 2 years to 3 years	1.9	3.0	2.4	1.8			
Target lesion revascularisation	8.5	7.7	8.2	28.2	0.25	0.13-0.50	< 0.01
Up to 1 year	5.6	4.8	5.2	15.9			
> 1 year to 2 years	0	1.9	0.9	7.0			
> 2 years to 3 years	2.9	1.0	2.0	5.3			
Target vessel revascularisation	11.3	8.7	10.0	29.9	0.30	0.16-0.57	< 0.01
Up to 1 year	5.6	5.8	5.7	17.6			
> 1 year to 2 years	2.7	1.9	2.3	7.0			
> 2 years to 3 years	2.9	1.0	2.0	5.3			
Any major adverse cardiac event	16.6	12.5	14.6	33.3	0.39	0.22-0.70	< 0.01
Up to 1 year	10.9	8.6	9.8	21.1			
> 1 year to 2 years	1.0	1.9	1.4	7.0			
> 2 years to 3 years	4.7	2.0	3.4	5.3			
Numbers are percentages and represent Kap	an-Meier estimates;	p-values refer	to the comparison b	etween pooled	d DES versus E	MS, by Cox regressi	on analysis;

Numbers are percentages and represent Kaplan-Meier estimates; p-values refer to the comparison between pooled DES versus BMS, by Cox regression analysis; BMS: bare metal stent; CI: confidence interval; DES: drug-eluting stent; MI: myocardial infarction; PES: paclitaxel-eluting stent; SES: sirolimus-eluting stent

advisory board for Boston Scientific Latin America. P. Lemos is on the speaker's bureau for Boston Scientific, Cordis, Sahajanand, and Scitech. E.E. Ribeiro is on the speaker's bureau for Boston Scientific, CMS, Cordis, and Sanofi-Aventis. F.S. Brito Jr is on the speaker's bureau for

Table 3. Cumulative rate of stent thrombosis during the three years of follow-up for the pooled DES population versus the control group, according to the ARC definition⁵.

		DES	DMC		
	PES	SES	Pooled DES	BMS (n=57)	<i>p</i> -value
	(n=111)	(n=106)	(n=217)	(11=57)	
Definite	1.9	1.9	1.9	0	0.5
Up to 30 days	0	0	0	0	
>30 days to 1 year	1.9	0.9	1.4	0	
>1 year to 2 years	0	0.9	0.4	0	
>2 years to 3 years	0	0	0	0	
Probable	0	0.9	0.4	0	0.8
Up to 30 days	0	0.9	0.4	0	
>30 days to 1 year	0	0	0	0	
>1 year to 2 years	0	0	0	0	
>2 years to 3 years	0	0	0	0	
Possible	0.9	0.9	0.9	0	0.7
Up to 30 days	0	0	0	0	
>30 days to 1 year	0.9	0	0.5	0	
>1 year to 2 years	0	0	0	0	
>2 years to 3 years	0	0.9	0.4	0	
Definite or probable	1.9	2.9	2.3	0	0.5
Up to 30 days	0	0.9	0.4	0	
>30 days to 1 year	1.9	0.9	1.4	0	
>1 year to 2 years	0	0.9	0.4	0	
>2 years to 3 years	0	0	0	0	

Numbers are percentages and represent Kaplan-Meier estimates. p-values refer to the comparison between pooled DES versus BMS, by Cox regression analysis. ARC: Academic Research Consortium5; BMS: bare metal stent; DES: drug-eluting stent; PES: paclitaxel-eluting stent; SES: sirolimus-eluting stent Cordis, Boston Scientific, CMS Medical, and Biotronik. No other authors reported financial relationships with the industry.

References

1. Lemos PA, Moulin B, Perin MA, Oliveira LA, Arruda JA, Lima VC, Lima AA, Caramori PR, Medeiros CR, Barbosa MR, Brito FS, Jr., Ribeiro EE, Martinez EE; PAINT trial investigators. Randomized evaluation of two drug-eluting stents with identical metallic platform and biodegradable polymer but different agents (paclitaxel or sirolimus) compared against bare stents: 1-year results of the PAINT trial. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2009;74:665-73.

2. Byrne RA, Iijima R, Mehilli J, Pinieck S, Bruskina O, Schömig A, Kastrati A. Durability of antirestenotic efficacy in drugeluting stents with and without permanent polymer. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2009;2:291-9.

3. Garg S, Sarno G, Serruys PW, de Vries T, Buszman P, Linke A, Ischinger T, Klauss V, Eberli F, Corti R, Wijns W, Morice MC, Di Mario C, van Geuns RJ, Eerdmans P, van Es GA, Meier B, Jüni P, Windecker S. The twelve-month outcomes of a biolimus eluting stent with a biodegradable polymer compared with a sirolimus eluting stent with a durable polymer. *EuroIntervention*. 2010;6:233-9.

4. Lemos PA, Moulin B, Perin MA, Oliveira LA, Arruda JA, Lima VC, Lima AA, Caramori PR, Medeiros CR, Barbosa MR, Brito FS, Jr., Ribeiro EE, Martinez EE; PAINT study. Rationale and design for the PAINT randomized trial. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2009;93:547-53, 590-7.

5. Serruys PW, Daemen J. Are drug-eluting stents associated with a higher rate of late thrombosis than bare metal stents? Late stent thrombosis: a nuisance in both bare metal and drug-eluting stents. *Circulation*. 2007;115:1433-9; discussion 1439.