EuroIntervention

Intracoronary administration of cells derived from bone marrow in acute myocardial infarction. Ready for clinical use? Lessons from the ASTAMI trial

Ketil Lunde¹*, MD; Svend Aakhus¹, MD, PhD; Svein Solheim², MD; Harald Arnesen², MD, PhD; Torstein Egeland³, MD, PhD; Kolbjørn Forfang¹, MD, PhD

1. Department of Cardiology, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; 2. Department of Cardiology, Ullevål University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; 3. Institute of Immunology, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Drs Lunde and Solheim are recipients of research fellowships from the Norwegian Council on Cardiovascular Diseases. The other authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

KEYWORDS

Bone marrow cells, acute myocardial infarction, randomised clinical trial

Abstract

We designed the ASTAMI trial to investigate effects of intracoronary injection of autologous cells derived from bone marrow (BMC) in acute myocardial infarction (AMI) using robust and comprehensive methods. After six month follow-up, we found no effect of the treatment on global left ventricular function assessed by single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), echocardiography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). However, there was a marked improvement in left ventricular function (LVEF) in both groups, and patients with low LVEF at baseline had the greatest improvement. Our findings are in accordance with findings from similar trials using adequate methods for evaluation of left ventricular function. The placebo procedure used in some studies in this field introduces several confounders, and a placebo-controlled design may not be ideal. We observed no complications related to the cell administration procedure. However, data from another recent trial confirm that there is an increased risk of a repeated coronary intervention. More research is needed before intracoronary administration of BMC for patients with AMI should be applied on large patient populations, for research or clinical purposes.

* Corresponding author: Department of Cardiology, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, 0027 Oslo, Norway E-mail: ketil.lunde@rikshospitalet.no

© Europa Edition 2007. All rights reserved.



Introduction

Our interest in cells derived from bone marrow (BMC) for patients with cardiovascular diseases was triggered by the reports from small-animal models that BMC could regenerate myocardium by transdifferentiation, and improve cardiac function after myocardial infarction^{1,2}. The feasibility of intracoronary administration of BMC to patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) was demonstrated in early phase I trials^{3,4}. The method seemed safe, and the results indicated improved cardiac function. However, these studies were small and not randomised. Rikshospitalet and Ullevål University Hospitals in Oslo, Norway serve a total population of about 2.5 million people for acute PCI in STEMI. Institute of Immunology at Rikshospitalet Medical Centre has experience with bone marrow transplantation for clinical and research purposes, and the ex vivo cell laboratory is certified for cell production under "good manufacturing practice", GMP conditions. Thus, we had the necessary patient population and facilities, and in the end of 2002 we designed the autologous stem cell transplantation in acute myocardial infarction (ASTAMI) study, an adequately powered, randomised clinical trial to test the efficacy and safety of BMC therapy for AMI patients⁵.

Methods

Most STEMI patients treated with PCI in the acute phase have an excellent prognosis with current state-of-the-art treatment. BMC treatment is resource demanding, and if this therapy ever will be a part of routine patient care, it will probably be cost effective only for patients at risk of developing congestive heart failure. Inclusion and exclusion criteria (Table 1) in the ASTAMI study were chosen to obtain a homogeneous patient population with extensive myocardial infarctions well suited for accurate evaluation of left ventricular function. We included only patients with anterior wall infarctions, since this infarct localisation has greater impact on left ventricular function⁶ and wall motion analysis is most accurate in anterior wall segments⁷. Different imaging modalities have varying strengths and

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria	
Patient age	40 - 75 years
Anterior wal	l ST elevation AMI according to WHO criteria
Culprit lesio	n in proximal or middle segment of the LAD
PCI with ste after onset o	nt on culprit lesion 2 - 12 h of symptoms
3 or more le reduced con	ft ventricle segments with tractions observed on echocardiography
CK-MB mass	> 3x upper reference limit
Exclusion criteria	
Previous Q-v	vave myocardial infarction
Cardiogenic	shock
Intra-aortic	halloon nump

Intra-aortic balloon pump Permanent pacemaker or other contraindication to MRI Stroke with significant sequelae Severe extra-cardiac disease

weaknesses⁸, and we decided to perform SPECT, echocardiography and MRI for a comprehensive assessment on left ventricular function. Power calculations were based on data obtained with scintigraphy techniques, and SPECT was chosen as the primary imaging modality. We considered a 5% absolute difference between groups of the change in LVEF from baseline to 6 months to be a clinically important effect of this highly invasive approach, and with 80% power and an α of 0.05, we needed 45 patients in each group. To allow for some drop-outs, we decided to include a total number of 100 patients.

BMC represent a heterogeneous cell population. The different precursor and stem cells like haematopoetic stem cells (HSC), endothelial precursor cells (EPC), mesenchymal stem cells (MSC), side population (SP) are examples of cell subsets of mononuclear cells derived from bone marrow (mBMC) that may have a therapeutic potential. Since it was, and still is, unknown which cell subset(s) could participate in cardiac repair after AMI, we decided to use unfractioned mBMC in the ASTAMI study. Common to standard technique for isolation of mononuclear cells, we used the wellproven ficoll-hypaque (Lymphoprep, Axis-Shield) density gradient centrifugation. The approval of the Norwegian Medicines Agency restricted the use of artificial cell-culture mediums for cell processing, and only autologous products were used in addition to ficoll-hypaque and saline. Cell quality was assessed according to pre-specified criteria⁵ immediately before intracoronary injection. The PCI stop-flow technique described by Strauer et al was used for cell injections³. We decided to perform cell injections 4 to 8 days after the AMI, based on an "educated guess" that this would be the ideal time-point. We supposed administration of cells earlier would be disadvantageous due to the extensive inflammatory response, and later due to reduced levels of homing and transdifferentiation factors from the infarcted tissue. For ethical reasons, bone marrow aspiration and sham intracoronary injections were not performed in the control group.

Results

Patient characteristics were well matched (Table 2). After processing 50 ml of bone marrow, the number of mBMC for intracoronary injection was 68x10⁶ (interquartile range 54 to 130x10⁶). Cell viability was always over 90%. The phenotypic characteristics of certain stem or progenitor cells are provided on www.nejm.org9. The numbers of CD34+ or CD133+ cells per number of mBMC or per volume aspirate were lower than age-matched controls (unpublished data), indicating that AMI patients have changes in the cellular content of the bone marrow, which may affect the therapeutic potential of mBMC.

In the 47 patients who received intracoronary mBMC, 34 (72%) had chest pain and 36 (77%) had ischaemic ECG-changes during balloon inflations. Except for one patient who had a rise in troponin I of 0.05 µg/L, all patients had a decline in troponins the day after the cell injections. The rise in CK-MB in 8 (17%) patients was probably related to the bone marrow aspiration, since 5 of these patients also had elevated CK levels after bone marrow aspiration. No patients had re-infarctions or other complications in relation to the cell injection procedure. We found a similar improvement in left

PCRONI INF COM

Table 2. Patient characteristics*.

	mBMC group (n=50)	Control group (n=50)	р
Age (years)	58.1±8.5	56.7±9.6	0.42
Females	8 (16%)	8 (16%)	1.00
Diabetes mellitus	5 (10%)	4 (8%)	0.73
Current smokers	20 (40%)	24 (48%)	0.72
Median time symptom onset to PCI in minutes (interquartile range)	210 (180 to 330)	230 (180 to 330)	0.54
Thrombolysis prior to PCI	15 (30%)	14 (28%)	0.83
TIMI grade flow before primary Grade 0 / I / II / III	PCI 31 / 2 / 9 / 8	32 / 2 / 8 / 8	1.00
TIMI grade flow after primary P Grade II /III	CI 3 /47	4 /46	1.00
Platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor	24 (48%)	25 (50%)	0.84
Drug eluting stent culprit lesior	n 3 (6%)	2 (4%)	1.00
Q-wave on ECG at primary discharge	42 (84%)	38 (76%)	0.32
CK-MB mass max (µg/l)	400 (223, 444)	357 (220, 400)	0.50
LVEF by SPECT* (%)	41.3 ± 10.4	42.6±11.7	0.57
LVEDV by SPECT (ml)	162.3±59.1	148.0±46.3	0.19
Infarct size by SPECT (%)	43.8±17.4	38.3±21.1	0.16
Medication**			

Values are mean±SD, patient number (proportions) or median (interquartile range). *SPECT was the pre-specified primary imaging modality in the ASTAMI study.

**All patients were discharged with aspirin, clopidogrel, a β -blocker,

an ACE-inhibitor or an ATII-receptor blocker and a statin with the exception of one patient in the mBMC group not taking a β -blocker.

ventricular function in the randomised groups⁹ (Table 3). By dichotomising the patients according to the median value for LVEF at baseline (43.0% by SPECT), we found that the patients with low LVEF at baseline had a greater increase in LVEF than the patients with high baseline LVEF, independent of treatment allocation (Figure 1). Infarct size was reduced with approximately 10% points independent of infarct size at baseline and treatment allocation.

Discussion

The ASTAMI study was obviously a negative trial with regard to the primary endpoint, which was the change in LVEF from baseline to 6 months follow-up. It has been speculated whether the number of mBMC and various stem and progenitor cells were insufficient to induce clinical improvement. Furthermore, it has been proposed that our cell preparations impaired cell quality¹⁰. This is unlikely for the following reasons: a) the cell number in ASTAMI is comparable to other studies^{11,12}; b) cell numbers have not been found to correlate with improvement in LVEF^{9,13,14}; c) injected cells in our study always had a high viability; and d) mBMC produced by our procedure had normal content of functionally intact haematopoetic progenitor cells like CFU- GM and BFU-E (unpublished data). Furthermore, Lymphoprep, the ficoll-hypaque medium used in

Table 3. Results on left ventricular function by SPECT.

	Change between ba	seline and 6 months	Treatment effect	р
	mBMC	change	(95% CI)	
LVEF	8.1±11.2	7.0±9.6	0.6 (-3.4 to 4.6)	0.77
(% points	5)			
LVEDV (m	l) – 11.2±36.0	- 1.8±17.6 -	-7.0 (-18.0 to 4.0)	0.21
Infarct siz	ze – 11.0±12.7	- 7.8±8.7	– 2.8 (– 7.1 to 1.6)	0.21
(% points	5)			

Treatment effects and p-values were obtained from analysis of covariance.

SPECT





Figure 1. Effects of baseline values on the change in LVEF and infarct size. The study population was dichotomised according to the median value for baseline LVEF and infarct size respectively. Symbols show mean values.

ASTAMI is similar to the ficoll-hypaque used in e.g. REPAIR-AMI. More likely, our results reflect the limited effect on myocardial function by intracoronary injection of BMC a few days after a reperfused AMI. This finding is in agreement with results of other adequately sized randomised trials using appropriate methods for assessment of LVEF^{15,16} (Table 4). The study by Meluzin et al was rather small¹², and demonstrated a modest effect on LVEF in the high dose group, where similar cell numbers as in ASTAMI were injected. In the REPAIR-AMI study¹⁷, also demonstrating a small effect on LVEF,



						Change in LVEF			
	N	Cell number (10º)	CD34+ cells (10 ⁶)*	Time (days)	Follow-up	Imaging modality	BMC	Control/ Placebo	р
BOOST	30 BMC 30 control	2,460±940*	9.5±6.3	6±1	18 months	MRI	5.9±8.9	3.1±9.6	0.27
Janssens et al	33 BMC 34 placebo	172±72	2.8±1.7	1	4 months	MRI	3.4±6.9	2.2±7.3	0.36
ASTAMI	50 BMC 50 control	68 (54 to 130) [‡] [87±48] [§]	0.7 (0.4 to 1.6) [1.0±0.8]	6 (5 to 6) 6±1	6 months	SPECT	8.1±11.2	7.0±9.6	0.77
						Echocardiography MRI ^[]	3.1±7.9 1.2±7.5	2.1±9.2 4.3±7.1	0.70 0.054
REPAIR-AMI	101 BMC 103 placebo	236±174 198▲	3.6±3.6 2.5	4.3±1.3	4 months	Single-plane angiocardiography	5.5±7.3	3.0±6.5	0.01
Meluzin et al	22 BMC [¶] 22 control	100 (90 to 200)#	1%	7±1.4	3 months	SPECT	5±5	2±5	0.04
	22 BMC• 22 control	10 (9 to 20)	1%	7±1.4	3 months	SPECT	3±5	2±5	0.53

Table 4. Results on LVEF in randomised trials with intracoronary administration of BMC in acute myocardial infarction.

* Except for the study by Meluzin et al where % were reported; All nucleated cells, in the other studies numbers are for mononuclear cells;
‡ Interquartile range; § Mean±SD is given for the comparison; [] Baseline MRI was performed 19 days after the AMI compared to 4 days for SPECT and 4.5 days for echocardiography; Median values given for comparison, range has not been reported; ¶ High dose BMC group; # Range;
Low dose BMC group.

interpretation of the results is hampered by their use of single-plane angiocardiography, which is an particularly inaccurate method in these patients with regional wall motion abnormalities¹⁸. In REPAIR-AMI, patients with low LVEF treated with mBMC improved LVEF with 7.5% compared to 2.5% in the placebo group¹⁷, suggesting that the therapy is particularly useful for patients with large infarcts. The results of the ASTAMI trial confirm that patients with low LVEF at baseline improve LVEF more than the patients with high LVEF. However, this was independent of treatment allocation. In our opinion, the most remarkable finding in this sub-group analysis in REPAIR-AMI, is the small improvement in their placebo group.

We decided not to perform bone marrow aspiration and sham intracoronary injection in the control group. Even though a placebo controlled trial is considered the gold standard to assess an intervention, it should be discussed whether this approach is valid for these interventional trials. It is important to note that the placebo-procedures used in the study by Janssens et al and in REPAIR-AMI are not indifferent. Cytokine release can be induced by bone marrow aspiration¹⁹ as well as by PCI²⁰. Repeated balloon occlusion of the infarct-related coronary artery can also affect the infarct process²¹, introducing several confounders. In addition, patient safety is paramount. There is a small but definite increased risk by repeated coronary intervention in these patients, as recently reported by Meluzin et al¹². Out of 44 patients in that study, one had stent thrombosis and another had coronary artery dissection as direct complications to this procedure.

Some researchers advocate for large-scale trials to investigate effects on morbidity and mortality^{10,17}. In our opinion, the results of the available randomised studies in this field do not support this notion. There are still many important unresolved issues in this field, and proceeding too fast towards clinical application without sound evidence from basic and clinical science may set the field back. Among urgent questions that need to be answered are: Which cells should be used? How should they be prepared? Which patients will benefit most? How should cells be administered? What is the ideal timing for cell administration ? Should treatment be repeated? What surrogate end-points are most appropriate for small to mediumscaled trials? These questions should be adequately answered before large scale trials in this field are initiated. Documented effects on quality of life, functional capacity, morbidity and mortality will finally be needed before cell therapy can be recommended for AMI patients in clinical practice.

References

1. Orlic D, Kajstura J, Chimenti S, Jakoniuk I, Anderson SM, Li B, Pickel J, McKay R, Nadal-Ginard B, Bodine DM, Leri A, Anversa P. Bone marrow cells regenerate infarcted myocardium. *Nature*. 2001;410:701-705.

2. Jackson KA, Majka SM, Wang H, Pocius J, Hartley CJ, Majesky MW, Entman ML, Michael LH, Hirschi KK, Goodell MA. Regeneration of ischemic cardiac muscle and vascular endothelium by adult stem cells. *J Clin Invest.* 2001;107:1395-1402.

3. Strauer BE, Brehm M, Zeus T, Kostering M, Hernandez A, Sorg RV, Kogler G, Wernet P. Repair of infarcted myocardium by autologous intracoronary mononuclear bone marrow cell transplantation in humans. *Circulation*. 2002;106:1913-1918.

4. Assmus B, Schächinger V, Teupe C, Britten M, Lehmann R, Dobert N, Grunwald F, Aicher A, Urbich C, Martin H, Hoelzer D, Dimmeler S, Zeiher AM. Transplantation of progenitor cells and regeneration enhancement in acute myocardial infarction (TOPCARE-AMI). *Circulation.* 2002;106:3009-3017.

5. Lunde K, Solheim S, Aakhus S, Arnesen H, Abdelnoor M, Forfang K, on behalf of the ASTAMI investigators. Autologous stem cell transplantation in acute myocardial infarction: The ASTAMI randomized controlled



trial. Intracoronary transplantation of autologous mononuclear bone marrow cells, study design and safety aspects. *Scand Cardiovasc J.* 2005;39:150-158.

6. Stone PH, Raabe DS, Jaffe AS, Gustafson N, Muller JE, Turi ZG, Rutherford JD, Poole WK, Passamani E, Willerson JT. Prognostic significance of location and type of myocardial infarction: independent adverse outcome associated with anterior location. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1988;11:453-463.

7. Takeuchi M, Araki M, Nakashima Y, Kuroiwa A. Comparison of dobutamine stress echocardiography and stress thallium-201 single-photon emission computed tomography for detecting coronary artery disease. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 1993;6:593-602.

8. Antman EM, Anbe DT, Armstrong PW, Bates ER, Green LA, Hand M, Hochman JS, Krumholz HM, Kushner FG, Lamas GA, Mullany CJ, Ornato JP, Pearle DL, Sloan MA, Smith SC, Jr., Alpert JS, Anderson JL, Faxon DP, Fuster V, Gibbons RJ, Gregoratos G, Halperin JL, Hiratzka LF, Hunt SA, Jacobs AK. ACC/AHA Guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* 2004;110:e82-e292.

9. Lunde K, Solheim S, Aakhus S, Arnesen H, Abdelnoor M, Egeland T, Endresen K, llebekk A, Mangschau A, Fjeld JG, Smith HJ, Taraldsrud E, Grogaard HK, Bjornerheim R, Brekke M, Muller C, Hopp E, Ragnarsson A, Brinchmann JE, Forfang K. Intracoronary Injection of Mononuclear Bone Marrow Cells in Acute Myocardial Infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355:1199-1209.

10. Schächinger V, Erbs S, Elsasser A, Haberbosch W, Hambrecht R, Holschermann H, Yu J, Corti R, Mathey DG, Hamm CW, Suselbeck T, Werner N, Haase J, Neuzner J, Germing A, Mark B, Assmus B, Tonn T, Dimmeler S, Zeiher AM, for the REPAIR-AMI Investigators. Improved clinical outcome after intracoronary administration of bone-marrow-derived progenitor cells in acute myocardial infarction: final 1-year results of the REPAIR-AMI trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:2775-2783.

11. Fernandez-Aviles F, San Roman JA, Garcia-Frade J, Fernandez ME, Penarrubia MJ, de la Fuente L, Gomez-Bueno M, Cantalapiedra A, Fernandez J, Gutierrez O, Sanchez PL, Hernandez C, Sanz R, Garcia-Sancho J, Sanchez A. Experimental and clinical regenerative capability of human bone marrow cells after myocardial infarction. *Circ Res.* 2004;95:742-748.

12. Meluzin J, Mayer J, Groch L, Janousek S, Hornacek I, Hlinomaz O, Kala P, Panovsky R, Prasek J, Kaminek M, Stanicek J, Klabusay M, Koristek Z, Navratil M, Dusek L, Vinklarkova J. Autologous transplantation of mononuclear bone marrow cells in patients with acute myocardial infarction: the effect of the dose of transplanted cells on myocardial function. *Am Heart J.* 2006;152:975-15.

13. Britten MB, Abolmaali ND, Assmus B, Lehmann R, Honold J, Schmitt J, Vogl TJ, Martin H, Schächinger V, Dimmeler S, Zeiher AM. Infarct remodeling after intracoronary progenitor cell treatment in patients with acute myocardial infarction (TOPCARE-AMI): mechanistic insights from serial contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation*. 2003;108:2212-2218.

14. Wollert KC, Meyer GP, Lotz J, Ringes-Lichtenberg S, Lippolt P, Breidenbach C, Fichtner S, Korte T, Hornig B, Messinger D, Arseniev L, Hertenstein B, Ganser A, Drexler H. Intracoronary autologous bone-marrow cell transfer after myocardial infarction: the BOOST randomised controlled clinical trial. *Lancet.* 2004;364:141-148.

15. Janssens S, Dubois C, Bogaert J, Theunissen K, Deroose C, Desmet W, Kalantzi M, Herbots L, Sinnaeve P, Dens J. Autologous bone marrow-derived stem-cell transfer in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: double-blind, randomised controlled trial. The *Lancet.* 2006;367:113-121.

16. Meyer GP, Wollert KC, Lotz J, Steffens J, Lippolt P, Fichtner S, Hecker H, Schaefer A, Arseniev L, Hertenstein B, Ganser A, Drexler H. Intracoronary bone marrow cell transfer after myocardial infarction: Eighteen months' follow-up data from the randomized, controlled BOOST (BOne marrOw transfer to enhance ST-elevation infarct regeneration) trial. *Circulation.* 2006;113:1287-1294.

17. Schächinger V, Erbs S, Elsässer A, Haberbosch W, Hambrecht R, Hölschermann H, Yu J, Corti R, Mathey DG, Hamm CW, Süselbeck T, Assmus B, Tonn T, Dimmeler S, Zeiher AM. Intracoronary bone marrowderived progenitor cells in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355:1210-1221.

18. Grebe O, Kestler HA, Merkle N, Wohrle J, Kochs M, Hoher M, Hombach V. Assessment of left ventricular function with steady-state-freeprecession magnetic resonance imaging. Reference values and a comparison to left ventriculography. *Z Kardiol.* 2004;93:686-695.

19. Cluitmans FH, Esendam BH, Veenhof WF, Landegent JE, Willemze R, Falkenburg JH. The role of cytokines and hematopoietic growth factors in the autocrine/paracrine regulation of inducible hematopoiesis. *Ann Hematol.* 1997;75:27-31.

20. Paroli M, Mariani P, Accapezzato D, D'Alessandro M, Di Russo C, Bifolco M, Sirinian MI, Fedele F, Bruno G, Sardella G. Modulation of tachykinin and cytokine release in patients with coronary disease undergoing percutaneous revascularization. *Clin Immunol.* 2004;112:78-84.

21. Staat P, Rioufol G, Piot C, Cottin Y, Cung TT, L'Huillier I, Aupetit JF, Bonnefoy E, Finet G, ndre-Fouet X, Ovize M. Postconditioning the Human Heart. *Circulation.* 2005;112:2143-2148.

