Follow-up, study design and outcome parameters

Massimo Volpe^{1,2*}, MD, FAHA, FESC; Allegra Battistoni¹, MD; Giuliano Tocci^{1,2}, MD, PhD

1. Division of Cardiology, Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, University of Rome "Sapienza", Sant'Andrea Hospital, Rome, Italy; 2. IRCCS Neuromed, Pozzilli (IS), Italy

KEYWORDS

- adverse effect
- follow-up
- outcome
- parameters
- renal denervation
- resistant
- hypertension

Abstract

Renal sympathetic denervation has shown promise in treating hypertension resistant to drug therapy. This procedure lowers blood pressure via targeted attenuation of renal sympathetic tone. Up to now, it has also shown a favourable safety profile. Despite this, there is reason for cautious optimism, since longer follow-up is needed to address whether these effects may be considered definitive and to detect long-term side effects. To assess this, patients who have undergone this procedure should be submitted to a specific follow-up in centres of excellence in treating resistant hypertension.

*Corresponding author: Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, University of Rome "Sapienza", Sant'Andrea Hospital, Via di Grottarossa 1035-39, 00189 Rome, Italy. E-mail: massimo.volpe@uniroma1.it

Introduction

From 2000 up until now, catheter-based renal denervation has been tested in at least 25 clinical trials¹⁻²⁵ in patients with resistant hypertension (defined as systolic office blood pressure >160 mmHg – in diabetic patients >150 mmHg – treated with \geq 3 antihypertensive drugs) generally resulting in a blood pressure (BP) decrease.

Enthusiasm for these results has been tempered by concerns about the durability of the effects of the procedure, the need for better understanding of the impact of renal denervation on a wide array of pathophysiologic cascades leading to hypertension, and the effect on renal haemodynamics. Indeed, antihypertensive efficacy has been reported to persist up to two years after the procedure¹² with recent unpublished data suggesting efficacy up to three years²⁶; however, longer follow-up is needed to address whether these effects may be considered definitive, since renal nerve fibres may regenerate²⁷. However, the physiological relevance of anatomical regrowth of efferent nerve fibres in sustaining BP remains unclear^{28,29}. Otherwise, no major adverse events have been identified so far; however, data on the long-term side effects are lacking.

Recommendation for the follow-up

As recommended by the European Society of Hypertension³⁰ the assessment of outcomes and chronic safety at follow-up should be carried out in centres of excellence by experienced and specifically trained specialists, since post-procedural patient care is of particular relevance to maintain adherence to lifestyle factors and medications in order to achieve the maximum benefit from the procedure. Ideally, centre experience should be documented (Centre of Excellence of the European Society of Hypertension, European Hypertension Specialists). In **Table 1**, for instance, the UK Joint Societies consensus statement about selection of centres of excellence is summarised **(Table 1)**³¹.

In addition, as currently recommended by position papers of different countries about renal denervation³¹⁻³⁵, participation in national or international registries is mandatory, to obtain data on long-term efficacy and safety of the procedure.

In **Table 2**, proposals about the timing of clinical examinations in the follow-up after renal denervation are illustrated. These are based on trials that have been conducted in recent years and from the recommendations of scientific societies recently published.

How to assess success of the procedure during follow-up

During the first year after the procedure, and especially during the first six months, clinical monitoring should be kept very tight³².

OFFICE BLOOD PRESSURE

Office BP should be recorded after ten minutes of supine rest both on the brachial artery at the first visit, and then on the same side throughout the follow-up. Averages of triplicate measurements with one to two-minute intervals should be recorded. A standard bladder (12-13 cm long and 35 cm wide) should be used, but a larger and a smaller bladder should be available for fat and thin arms, respectively. The cuff

Table 1. Requirements of centres wishing to undertake renal denervation for resistant hypertension.

Significant experience in the management of resistant hypertension.
High-volume interventional radiology/cardiology experience.
A written protocol for the renal denervation procedure including contingency plans for the management of any complications.
Availability of high quality CT/MR imaging.
A business plan commitment from the hospital management team to support the programme, along with local healthcare governance arrangements.
Commissioner agreement for a designated number of procedures.
Catheter laboratory availability to support the programme.
Implementation team made up of at least two operators (interventional radiologists/cardiologists) who are dedicated to the programme.
A multidisciplinary team to be made up of: hypertension specialist(s) with experience in managing resistant hypertension and interventional cardiologist(s)/radiologists with experience of the renal denervation procedure. There should be access to nephrologists and vascular surgery.
Demonstrable compliance with national data submission to the

Demonstrable compliance with national data submission to the national registry.

should be at heart level, whatever the position of the patient, and phase I and V (disappearance) Korotkoff sounds should be used to identify systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP), respectively.

The clinical effects of renal denervation could already be detectable one month after the procedure^{2,3,5,6,9,10,16}, but it often takes several weeks to months before reaching peak effectiveness suggesting that a slowly progressive resetting of sympathetic neural regulation occurs^{4-6,9,10,16,19}. Therefore, these deadlines are advisable to collect data of home BP and to check office BP, ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) is also recommended.

AMBULATORY BLOOD PRESSURE MONITORING

There are conflicting results about the success of renal denervation in the light of the values of ABPM. Generally the effect is less pronounced, although in recent trials only small subgroups of patients have undergone ABPM before the procedure and at follow-up^{2-4,12}. Among studies specifically designed to record effects of renal denervation on 24-hour BP, Prochnau^{10,16} reported a significant decrease in ABPM reading, while Vase did not. This may have been due to the limited number of patients and the lack of complete ablation achieved in two patients¹⁷. Witkowski and colleagues also did not find a significant decrease in the ABPM at three and six months, even though significant changes were reported in clinic measurement of BP¹⁵. Data regarding ABPM are relevant, since they provide further important information regarding the clinical effectiveness of renal denervation.

ANTIHYPERTENSIVE MEDICATION

One, three and six months after the procedure it is equally appropriate to assess the use of antihypertensive drugs. Indeed, despite cases of a decrease in the number of antihypertensive drugs required

One and three months after renal denervation

- Clinical examination.
- Collection of home, clinic and 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure measurements.
- Assessment of adverse events and antihypertensive drug use.
- Blood tests for the assessment of renal function (creatinine, estimated renal glomerular filtration, estimated creatinine clearance, urea, sodium, potassium), urine test, microalbuminuria, creatinuria of the morning urine and calculation of the albuminuria/creatinuria ratio.
- 12-lead electrocardiogram (at one month after the procedure).
- Whole blood count, coagulation screen (PTT and INR) and serum protein electrophoresis.
- Echocardiography (at one month after renal denervation).
- HbA1C and oral glucose tolerance test (when required).

Six months after renal denervation

- Clinical examination.
- Collection of home, clinic and 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure measurements.
- Assessment of adverse events and antihypertensive drug use.
- Blood tests for the assessment of renal function (creatinine, estimated renal glomerular filtration, estimated creatinine clearance, urea, sodium, potassium), urine test, microalbuminuria, creatinuria of the morning urine and calculation of the albuminuria/creatinuria ratio.
- Echocardiography.
- CT angiography (gold standard), MR angiography or Doppler ultrasound of the renal arteries.
- HbA1C and oral glucose tolerance test (when required).

One year after renal denervation

- Clinical examination.
- Collection of home, clinic and 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure measurements.
- Assessment of adverse events and antihypertensive drug use.
- Blood tests for the assessment of renal function (creatinine, estimated renal glomerular filtration, estimated creatinine clearance, urea, sodium, potassium), urine test, microalbuminuria, creatinuria of the morning urine and calculation of the albuminuria/creatinuria ratio.
- CT angiography or MR angiography or Doppler ultrasound of the renal arteries (when not done at six months after renal denervation).
- 12-lead electrocardiogram.
- HbA1C and oral glucose tolerance test (when required).

Every year after renal denervation

- Clinical examination.
- Collection of home, clinic and 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure measurements.
- Assessment of adverse events and antihypertensive drug use.
- Blood tests for the assessment of renal function (creatinine, estimated renal glomerular filtration, estimated creatinine clearance, urea, sodium, potassium), urine test, microalbuminuria, creatinuria of the morning urine and calculation of the albuminuria/creatinuria ratio.
- 12-lead electrocardiogram.
- HbA1C and oral glucose tolerance test (when required).

being mentioned in the literature^{4,17,35}, the three- or six-month deadline has generally been used to assess the need for adjustment of antihypertensive therapy. Patients enrolled in clinical trials usually take approximately five antihypertensive drugs; at follow-up it has been observed that only in a small percentage of patients has a decrease in drug therapy been adopted, whereas a non-irrelevant percentage has run into an increased burden of drugs. Specifically, in Symplicity HTN-2 at six and twelve months after renal denervation 21% and 28% patients required a reduction in antihypertensive therapy, respectively, while 11.6% and 18.6% required an increase²¹. In three studies with a total of 236 patients, about 10% to 20% required a reduction in the number of medicines, while 10% to 25% required an increase^{4,5,12}. Three studies with 129 patients reported a decrease in medications in 15% to 25% of the intervention group^{2,5,9,10}. Ukena and colleagues reported a low rate of drug therapy reduction (5% in the intervention group)²². Other studies with a total of 60 patients reported no change in the number of medications^{1,14,16}.

MONITORING ADVERSE EFFECTS AND SAFETY OF THE PROCEDURE

In the periprocedural period and immediately after, it is also important to detect possible adverse effects. In the literature, no long-term side effects have been published up until now among all patients treated worldwide. There have been two renal artery dissections during engagement with the guiding catheter, requiring stenting (without further complications)^{3,12}. During the ablation, bradycardia has been observed in rare cases³³. Minor complications included the formation of haematomas and pseudoaneurysms at the access site, urinary tract infection and loin pain2. Otherwise, it is necessary to monitor renal function with blood and urine tests (plasma creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate, cystatin C, microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria). Although pathological consequences affecting renal function seemed to be generally excluded^{3,5,13-16,21}, even in patients with renal insufficiency^{18,25}, there is evidence that a percentage of subjects has developed a decrease in estimated glomerular filtration rate after the procedure¹².

One month after the procedure it is also of interest to perform an electrocardiogram and an echocardiogram, since an improvement in indices of cardiac remodelling and ventricular diastolic function may already be detectable⁵. In addition, at three-month follow-up diabetic patients should be recommended to take a blood test for glycated haemoglobin and an oral glucose tolerance test^{4,15}.

At the following control after six months, in addition to the above tests, it becomes necessary to perform a diagnostic imaging (Doppler ultrasound, angio-CT or angio-MR) of the renal arteries. In some cases, this investigation could be postponed or repeated a year after the procedure, while some protocols may also require an earlier one^{3,4}. In most studies conducted so far, there were no vascular-renal complications attributable to the procedure itself. Two cases of progression of renal artery stenosis present at the time of the procedure are reported, in sites not subject to radiation, which required percutaneous stenting^{2,12}. Recently, two cases of recurrent arterial hypertension have been reported five months after renal denervation due to the development of a renal artery stenosis, probably related to the procedure itself^{36,37}. Indeed, the basis of this technology is an intended tissue injury caused by heat generated by radiofrequency energy that is limited to the renal sympathetic nerve

fibres that are more sensitive to heat than the remainder of the surrounding tissue. Nevertheless, unintended tissue injury to surrounding vascular structures causing oedema and, perhaps, inflammation and fibrosis is conceivable. This might result in vessel stenosis. Despite this, a definitive statement cannot be made. However, the most likely aetiology of the renal artery stenosis in these cases was injury related to radiofrequency energy. Other possibilities include ablation catheter-induced mechanical injury and a *de novo* atherosclerotic lesion. However, both of these possibilities are less likely, given the absence of dissection by angiography immediately after ablation and mid location of one of the stenoses – a rather uncommon finding with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis, because they are located predominantly at the ostium.

One year after renal sympathectomy, controls will become annual. Each time, the patient should undergo a complete clinical examination, data collection of home BP, side effects of the procedure and drug consumption, office blood pressure measurement, 24-hr ABPM, blood tests for kidney function, whole blood count and glucose metabolism (when necessary), electrocardiogram and cardiac ultrasound^{32,33}.

Conclusion

Even if renal denervation has consistently shown significant postprocedure office BP reductions, sustained up to 24-36 months, in resistant hypertensive patients the experience with this procedure is still limited. The numbers of patients included in the studies are low and the duration of follow-up is short, which does not make it possible to evaluate the risk of rare and/or long-term adverse effects.

As future perspectives, new studies should be conducted in order to answer the questions that have yet to be resolved concerning renal denervation for the treatment of resistant hypertension. These studies should focus on such areas as the quantification of the decrease in BP using ABPM, criteria predictive of the efficacy of renal denervation via the endovascular route on BP, criteria of the efficacy of renal denervation during the procedure, BP efficacy and anatomical change in renal arteries after long-term follow-up and medico-economic evaluation of renal denervation via the endovascular route as a method of treatment for resistant hypertension. Obviously, future emerging indications for renal denervation would require different specific follow-up procedures.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Ukena C, Mahfoud F, Kindermann I, Barth C, Lenski M, Kindermann M, Brandt MC, Hoppe UC, Krum H, Esler M, Sobotka PA, Böhm M. Cardiorespiratory response to exercise after renal sympathetic denervation in patients with resistant hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;58:1176-82.

2. Symplicity HTN-2 Investigators. Renal sympathetic denervation in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension (The Symplicity HTN-2 Trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376:1903-9.

3. Krum H, Schlaich M, Whitbourn R, Sobotka PA, Sadowski J, Bartus K, Kapelak B, Walton A, Sievert H, Thambar S, Abraham WT, Esler M. Catheter-based renal sympathetic denervation for resistant hypertension: a multicentre safety and proof-of-principle cohort study. *Lancet.* 2009;373:1275-81.

4. Mahfoud F, Schlaich M, Kindermann I, Ukena C, Cremers B, Brandt MC, Hoppe UC, Vonend O, Rump LC, Sobotka PA, Krum H, Esler M, Böhm M. Effect of renal sympathetic denervation on glucose metabolism in patients with resistant hypertension. *Circulation*. 2011;123:1940-6.

5. Mahfoud F, Schlaich M, Kindermann I, Ukena C, Cremers B, Brandt MC, Hoppe UC, Vonend O, Rump LC, Sobotka PA, Krum H, Esler M, Böhm M. Renal sympathetic denervation reduces left ventricular hypertrophy and improves cardiac function in patients with resistant hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;59:901-9.

6. Brandt MC, Reda S, Mahfoud F, Lenski M, Böhm M, Hoppe UC. Effects of renal sympathetic denervation on arterial stiffness and central hemodynamics in patients with resistant hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;60:1956-65.

7. Mahfoud F, Cremers B, Janker J, Link B, Vonend O, Ukena C, Linz D, Schmieder R, Rump LC, Kindermann I, Sobotka PA, Krum H, Scheller B, Schlaich M, Laufs U, Böhm M. Renal hemodynamics and renal function after catheter-based renal sympathetic denervation in patients with resistant hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2012;60:419-24.

8. Zuern CS, Rizas KD, Eick C, Stoleriu C, Bunk L, Barthel P, Balletshofer B, Gawaz M, Bauer A. Effects of renal sympathetic denervation on 24-hour blood pressure variability. *Front Physiol.* 2012;3:1-8.

9. Mabin T, Sapoval M, Cabane V, Stemmett J, Iyer M. First experience with endovascular ultrasound renal denervation for the treatment of resistant hypertension. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;8:57-61.

10. Prochnau D, Figulla HR, Surber R. Efficacy of renal denervation with a standard EP catheter in the 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring- long-term follow-up. *Int J Cardiol.* 2012;157:447-8.

11. Himmel F, Weil J, Reppel M, Mortensen K, Franzen K, Ansgar L, Schunkert H, Bode F. Improved heart rate dynamics in patients undergoing percutaneous renal denervation. *J Clin Hypertens*. 2012;14:654-5.

12. Investigators Symplicity HTN-1. Krum H, Barman N, Schlaich M, Sobotka P, Esler M, Mahfoud F, Bohm M, Dunlap M, Sadowski J, Bartus K, Kapelak B, Rocha-Singh KJ, Katholi RE, Witkowski A, Kadziela J, Januszewicz A, Prejbisz A, Walton AS, Sievert H, Id D, Wunderlich N, Whitbourn R, Rump LC, Vonend O, Saleh A, Thambar S, Nanra R, Zeller T, Erglis A, Sagic D, Boskovic S, Brachmann J, Schmidt M, Wenzel UO, Bart BA, Schmieder RE, Scheinert D, Börgel J, Straley C. Catheter-based renal sympathetic denervation for resistant hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2011;57:911-7.

13. Simonetti G, Spinelli A, Gandini R, Da Ros V, Gaspari E, Coco I, De Francesco M, Santucci D, Di Daniele N, Lauro R. Endovascular radiofrequency renal denervation in treating refractory arterial hypertension: a preliminary experience. *Radiol Med (Torino)*. 2012;117:426-44.

14. Voskuil M, Verloop WL, Blankestijn PJ, Agostoni P, Stella PR, Doevendans PA. Percutaneous renal denervation for the treatment of resistant essential hypertension: the first Dutch experience. *Neth Heart J.* 2011;19:319-23.

15. Witkowski A, Prejbisz A, Florczak E, Kadziela J, Sliwinski P, Bielen P, Michalowska I, Kabat M, Warchol E, Januszewicz M, Narkiewicz K, Somers VK, Sobotka PA, Januszewicz A. Effects of renal sympathetic denervation on blood pressure, sleep apnea course, and glycemic control in patients with resistant hypertension and sleep apnea. *Hypertension*. 2011;58:559-65.

16. Prochnau D, Lucas N, Kuehnert H, Figulla HR, Surber R. Catheter-based renal denervation for drug-resistant hypertension by using a standard electrophysiology catheter. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;7:1077-80.

17. Vase H, Mathiassen ON, Kaltoft A, Pedersen EB, Christensen KL, Buus NH, Lederballe O, Lassen JF, Bøtker HE, Thuesen L. Catheterbased renal denervation for treatment of resistant hypertension. *Dan Med J.* 2012;59:1-6.

18. Hering D, Mahfoud F, Walton AS, Krum H, Lambert GW, Lambert EA, Sobotka PA, Böhm M, Cremers B, Esler MD, Schlaich MP. Renal denervation in moderate to severe CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2012;23:1250-7.

19. Mylotte D, Benamer H, Unterseeh T, Louvard Y, Morice M-C, Garot P, Lefèvre T. Percutaneous renal denervation for resistant hypertension: real world outcomes. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;59:E1708.

20. Verloop W, Spiering W, Agostoni P, Stella P, Onsea K, Doevendans P, Voskuil P. 6-months follow up in a real life situation after renal denervation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;59:E1706.

21. Esler MD, Krum H, Schlaich M, Schmieder RE, Böhm M, Sobotka PA; Symplicity HTN-2 Investigators. Renal sympathetic denervation for treatment of resistant hypertension: one-year results from the SYMPLICITY HTN-2 randomized, controlled trial. *Circulation*. 2012;126:2976-82.

22. Ukena C, Mahfoud F, Spies A, Kindermann I, Linz D, Cremers B, Laufs U, Neuberger HR, Böhm M. Effects of renal sympathetic denervation on heart rate and atrioventricular conduction in patients with resistant hypertension. *Int J Cardiol.* 2012 Aug 20. [Epub ahead of print]

23. Mortensen K, Franzen K, Himmel F, Bode F, Schunkert H, Weil J, Reppel M. Catheter-based renal sympathetic denervation improves central hemodynamics and arterial stiffness: a pilot study. *J Clin Hypertens*. 2012;14:861-70.

24. Fontenla A, García-Donaire JA, Hernández F, Segura J, Salgado R, Cerezo C, Ruilope LM, Arribas F. Management of Resistant Hypertension in a Multidisciplinary Unit of Renal Denervation: Protocol and Results. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2012 Dec 11. [Epub ahead of print]

25. Schlaich MP, Bart B, Hering D, Walton A, Marusic P, Mahfoud F, Böhm M, Lambert EA, Krum H, Sobotka PA, Schmieder RE, Ika-Sari C, Eikelis N, Straznicky N, Lambert GW, Esler MD. Feasibility of catheter-based renal nerve ablation and effects on sympathetic nerve activity and blood pressure in patients with end-stage renal disease. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013 Feb 28. [Epub ahead of print]

26. Krum H, Barman N, Schlaich M, Sobotka P, Esler M, Mahfoud F. Long-term follow-up of catheter-based renal sympathetic

denervation for resistant hypertension confirms durable blood pressure reduction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;59:E1705.

27. O'Brien E, Sheridan J, O'Malley K. Dippers and non-dippers. *Lancet.* 1988;2:397.

28. Hansen JM, Abildgaard U, Fogh-Andersen N, Kanstrup IL, Bratholm P, Plum I, Strandgaard S. The transplanted human kidney does not achieve functional reinnervation. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 1994;87:13-20.

29. Kaye DM, Esler M, Kingwell B, McPherson G, Esmore D, Jennings G. Functional and neurochemical evidence for partial cardiac sympathetic reinnervation after cardiac transplantation in humans. *Circulation.* 1993; 88:1110-8.

30. Schmieder RE, Redon J, Grassi G, Kjeldsen SE, Mancia G, Narkiewicz K, Parati G, Ruilope L, van de Borne P, Tsioufis C. ESH position paper: renal denervation - an interventional therapy of resistant hypertension. *J Hypertens.* 2012; 30:837-41.

31. Caulfield M, de Belder M, Cleveland T, Collier D, Deanfield J, Gray H, Knight C, Lobo M, Matson M, Moss J, Poulter N, Simpson I, Tomson C, Williams B. The Joint UK Societies' consensus statement on renal denervation for resistant hypertension. http://www.bcs.com/pages/news_full.asp?NewsID=19792021

32. Volpe M, Agabiti-Rosei E, Ambrosioni E, Cottone S, Cuspidi C, Borghi C, De Luca N, Fallo F, Ferri C, Mancia G, Morganti A, Muiesan ML, Sarzani R, Sechi L, Tocci G, Virdis A; Società Italiana dell'Ipertensione Arteriosa. Renal denervation for the treatment of resistant hypertension: definition, patient selection and description of the procedure. 2012 Position paper of the Italian Society of Hypertension. *G Ital Cardiol.* 2012;13:846-52.

33. Pathak A, Girerd X, Azizi M, Benamer H, Halimi JM, Lantelme P, Lefevre T, Sapoval M. Expert consensus: renal denervation for the treatment of hypertension. *Diagn Interv Imaging*. 2012;93:386-94.

34. Weber T, Zweiker R, Watschinger B, Grüner P, Koppelstätter C, Brandt M.C, Horina J, Brussee H, Hohenstein K, Lambert T, Auer J, Hoppe U.C. Clinical application of interventional renal sympathetic denervation: recommendations of the Austrian Society of Hypertension. *Wien Klin Wochenschr.* 2012;124:789-98.

35. Mahfoud F, Vonend O, Bruck H, Clasen W, Eckert S, Frye B, Haller H, Hausberg M, Hoppe UC, Hoyer J, Hahn K, Keller T, Kramer BK, Kreutz R, Potthoff SA, Reinecke H, Schmieder R, Schwenger V, Kintscher U, Böhm M, Rump LC. Interventionelle renale Sympathikusdenervation zur Behandlung der therapieresistenten Hypertonie [Expert consensus statement on interventional renal sympathetic denervation for hypertension treatment]. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr*: 2011;136:2418-24.

36. Vonend O, Antoch G, Rump LC, Blondin D. Secondary rise in blood pressure after renal denervation. *Lancet.* 2012;380:778.

37. Kaltenbach B, Id D, Franke JC, Sievert H, Hennersdorf M, Maier J, Bertog SC. Renal artery stenosis after renal sympathetic denervation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;60:2694-5.