Dual antithrombotic therapy with dabigatran in patients with atrial fibrillation after percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a post hoc analysis of the randomised RE-DUAL PCI trial

Uwe Zeymer^{1*}, MD; Orly Leiva², MD; Stefan H. Hohnloser³, MD; Phillippe Gabriel Steg^{4,5,6}, MD; Jonas Oldgren⁷, MD, PhD; Georg Nickenig⁸, MD, PhD; Robert Gabor Kiss⁹, MD, PhD; Zeki Ongen¹⁰, MD; Jose Navarro Estrada¹¹, MD; Ton Oude Ophuis¹², MD; Gregory Y.H. Lip^{13,14}, MD; Matias Nordaby¹⁵, MD; Corinna Miede¹⁶, MSc; Jurriën M. ten Berg¹⁷, MD; Deepak L. Bhatt², MD, MPH; Christopher P. Cannon², MD; on behalf of the RE-DUAL PCI Steering Committee and Investigators

 Klinikum Ludwigshafen and Institut für Herzinfarktforschung, Ludwigshafen, Germany; 2. Brigham and Women's Hospital and Heart and Vascular Center, and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; 3. Johann Wolfgang Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; 4. Université de Paris, Paris, France; 5. INSERM U_1148, Paris, France; 6. Hôpital Bichat Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France; 7. Uppsala Clinical Research Center and Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; 8. University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany; 9. Medical Centre, Hungarian Defence Forces, Budapest, Hungary; 10. Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Istanbul, Turkey; 11. Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina; 12. Canisius-Wilhelmina Hospital, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; 13. Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Liverpool and Liverpool Heart & Chest Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom; 14. Aalborg Thrombosis Research Unit, Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark; 15. Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH, Ingelheim, Germany; 16. Mainanalytics ma GmbH, Sulzbach (Taunus), Germany; 17. St Antonius Ziekenhuis, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands

This paper also includes supplementary data published online at: https://eurointervention.pcronline.com/doi/10.4244/EIJ-D-20-00799

KEYWORDS

- antithrombotic treatment
- atrial fibrillation
- bleeding
- clinical trials
- STEMI
- stroke

Abstract

Background: Little is known about the optimal antithrombotic therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing PCI for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).

Aims: The aim of this study was to investigate the safety and efficacy of dabigatran dual therapy (110 or 150 mg twice daily, plus clopidogrel or ticagrelor) versus warfarin triple therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation and STEMI.

Methods: In the RE-DUAL PCI trial, 305 patients with STEMI were randomised to dabigatran 110 mg (n=113 versus 106 warfarin) or 150 mg (n=86 versus 84 warfarin). The primary endpoint was the time to first major/clinically relevant non-major bleeding event (MBE/CRNMBE). The thrombotic endpoint was a composite of death, thromboembolic events, or unplanned revascularisation.

Results: In STEMI patients, dabigatran 110 mg (HR 0.39, 95% CI: 0.20-0.74) and 150 mg (0.43, 0.21-0.89) dual therapy reduced the risk of MBE/CRNMBE versus warfarin triple therapy (p for interaction vs all other patients=0.31 and 0.16). The risk of thrombotic events for dabigatran 110 mg (HR 1.61, 95% CI: 0.85-3.08) and 150 mg (0.56, 0.20-1.51) had p interactions of 0.20 and 0.33, respectively. For net clinical benefit, the HRs were 0.74 (95% CI: 0.46-1.17) and 0.49 (0.27-0.91) for dabigatran 110 and 150 mg (p for interaction=0.80 and 0.12), respectively.

Conclusions: After PCI for STEMI, patients on dabigatran dual therapy had lower risks of bleeding events versus warfarin triple therapy with similar risks of thromboembolic events, supporting dabigatran dual therapy even in patients with high thrombotic risk.

*Corresponding author: Klinikum Ludwigshafen and Institut für Herzinfarktforschung, Bremserstrasse 79, 67063 Ludwigshafen, Germany. E-mail: Uwe.Zeymer@t-online.de

Abbreviations

ACS	acute coronary syndrome
AF	atrial fibrillation
ASA	aspirin
BMS	bare metal stent
CI	confidence interval
CrCl	creatinine clearance
CRNMBE	clinically relevant non-major bleeding event
DE	dabigatran etexilate
DES	drug-eluting stent
DT	dual therapy
DTE	death, thromboembolic events
HR	hazard ratio
ISTH	International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis
MBE	major bleeding event
NCB	net clinical benefit
NOAC	non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant
PCI	percutaneous coronary intervention
SD	standard deviation
STEMI	ST-elevation myocardial infarction
TT	triple therapy
UA	unstable angina
VKA	vitamin K antagonist

Introduction

Approximately 6-10% of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) have atrial fibrillation (AF)¹⁻⁴. Current guidelines recommend early reperfusion therapy with primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in patients with STEMI⁵. Notably, these patients have an increased risk of thromboembolic and ischaemic events compared with those undergoing elective PCI. Additionally, patients with STEMI and AF have higher rates of complications including bleeding^{3,4,6,7}.

The use of anticoagulation in patients with AF has been transformed by the availability of non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants (NOACs), which offer superior safety and similar efficacy compared with vitamin K antagonists (VKAs)⁸⁻¹⁰.

Dabigatran is a direct thrombin inhibitor approved worldwide at 110 mg (excluding the USA) or 150 mg twice daily doses for the prevention of stroke in AF11. The Randomized Evaluation of Dual Antithrombotic Therapy with Dabigatran versus Triple Therapy with Warfarin in Patients with Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (RE-DUAL PCI) trial (NCT02164864) assessed the safety and efficacy of dabigatran dual therapy at doses of 110 or 150 mg with a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (either clopidogrel or ticagrelor) versus warfarin plus aspirin (ASA) and a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (either clopidogrel or ticagrelor) in patients with AF undergoing PCI¹². Both dabigatran strategies significantly reduced the primary endpoint of International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) major bleeding events (MBEs) or clinically relevant non-major bleeding events (CRNMBEs) without any increase in the composite endpoint of death, thromboembolic events (DTE: myocardial infarction, stroke or systemic embolism) or unplanned revascularisation¹². However, there is still some uncertainty about the efficacy of dual therapy in the patients with the highest thrombotic risk, including those with STEMI. Therefore, we performed a non-pre-specified subgroup analysis in RE-DUAL PCI by distinguishing between patients with STEMI and the remaining patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), unstable angina (UA) or an elective PCI.

Editorial, see page 443

Methods

TRIAL DESIGN AND TREATMENT

The design and the results of the RE-DUAL PCI trial have been reported previously¹². In brief, RE-DUAL PCI randomly assigned 2,725 patients to receive dual therapy comprising dabigatran 110 or 150 mg twice daily plus either clopidogrel or ticagrelor, or to receive triple therapy with warfarin (adjusted to achieve an international normalised ratio of 2.0-3.0) plus ASA (\leq 100 mg daily) and either clopidogrel or ticagrelor. Outside the USA, patients aged \geq 80 years (\geq 70 years in Japan) were randomised only to the 110 mg dabigatran dose versus warfarin. All patients were to receive clopidogrel (75 mg daily) or ticagrelor (90 mg twice daily) for \geq 12 months after randomisation. In the warfarin triple therapy group, ASA was discontinued after one month in patients implanted with a bare metal stent (BMS) and after three months in patients with a drug-eluting stent (DES).

PATIENTS

Men and women aged \geq 18 years with non-valvular AF who had undergone PCI with a BMS or DES within the previous 120 hours were eligible for study inclusion. The indication for PCI could be either acute coronary syndrome (ACS) or stable coronary artery disease. Patients with bioprosthetic or mechanical heart valves, severe renal insufficiency (creatinine clearance <30 ml/min at screening, calculated by the Cockcroft-Gault equation) or other major coexisting conditions were excluded.

ASSESSMENTS

The primary endpoint was the time to a first ISTH MBE or CRNMBE during follow-up (mean 14 months). The time to the composite endpoint of thromboembolic events (myocardial infarction, stroke or systemic embolism), death or unplanned revascularisation (first event) was also evaluated. In addition, the time to first definite stent thrombosis was evaluated. Furthermore, the net clinical benefit (NCB) endpoint comprising ISTH MBE or CRNMBE or DTE or unplanned revascularisation was investigated¹³.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

For the comparison of dabigatran 110 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy within the two subgroup categories (STEMI vs NSTEMI/UA/elective PCI), stratified Cox proportional hazards regression models were applied, which included age as a stratifying factor (non-elderly vs elderly: <70 versus \geq 70 years in Japan and <80 vs \geq 80 years elsewhere) and treatment arm. For the dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy comparison, corresponding unstratified Cox proportional hazards regression models were applied (excluding patients aged \geq 80 years [\geq 70 years in Japan] outside the USA). Corresponding hazard ratios (HRs) and two-sided 95% Wald confidence intervals (CIs) for HRs were calculated for each subgroup category. Exploratory treatment by subgroup interaction p-values resulting from Cox proportional hazard regression models were provided.

Results

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

A total of 2,725 patients were randomised in the RE-DUAL trial; 305 of these patients (11.2%) underwent PCI for STEMI (dabigatran 110 mg, n=113 versus n=106 warfarin) or dabigatran 150 mg (n=86 versus n=84 warfarin), whereas 2,393 (87.8%) patients had a PCI for NSTEMI, UA or underwent an elective PCI. For another 27 (1.0%) patients, the ACS type or the reason for PCI was missing. Patient characteristics for the 2,698 patients included in this subgroup analysis (STEMI vs rest) are shown in **Supplementary Table 1**. Overall rates of male sex, diabetes, median time between PCI and randomisation and mean HAS-BLED scores were similar between the two groups.

BLEEDING EVENTS

The risk of MBE and CRNMBE was reduced with both dabigatran 110 mg and 150 mg dual therapy compared with warfarin triple therapy (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure 1B), regardless of whether the patient had STEMI or underwent the PCI for a different reason (interaction p-value: 0.31). In STEMI patients, dabigatran 110 mg dual therapy had an 11.5% rate of MBE/CRNMBE compared with 29.2% in the warfarin triple therapy group (HR 0.39, 95% CI: 0.20-0.74). Similarly, the dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy group had lower risks of MBE/CRNMBE compared with the respective warfarin triple therapy group, regardless of whether the patient had STEMI or not, with an interaction p-value of 0.1560 (12.8% vs 28.6%, respectively; HR 0.43, 95% CI: 0.21-0.89 for STEMI).

When ISTH MBEs only were investigated, patients in the dabigatran 110 mg dual therapy group had lower risks compared with warfarin triple therapy, regardless of whether the patient had STEMI or underwent the PCI for a different reason (interaction p-value: 0.12; 1.8% vs 10.4%, respectively; HR 0.16, 95% CI: 0.04-0.74 for STEMI). Additionally, for the comparison of dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy, the interaction p-value was statistically not significant (p=0.43), which suggests a consistent bleeding risk reduction regardless of whether the patient had STEMI or not (3.5% vs 9.5%, respectively; HR 0.36, 95% CI: 0.09-1.35 for STEMI; 5.8% vs 8.3%, respectively; HR 0.66, 95% CI: 0.44-0.99 for remaining patients) (**Figure 1**).

THROMBOEMBOLIC EVENTS

The overall risk of the composite ischaemic endpoint was 14.8% in patients with STEMI, 17.9% in patients with NSTEMI, 10.8% in patients with UA and 12.4% in patients with elective PCI. The

risk of the composite endpoint of DTE or unplanned revascularisation was in general similar between the dabigatran dual therapy and warfarin triple therapy groups regardless of whether the patient had STEMI or underwent the PCI for a different reason (Figure 2). When looking at the dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy comparison, an HR of 0.56 (95% CI: 0.20-1.51) for STEMI and 0.92 (95% CI: 0.68-1.24) for the remaining patients together with an interaction p-value of 0.3292 suggest consistent results as in the overall population. Numerically, however, the HRs slightly tended towards a value of >1.0 for the dabigatran 110 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy comparison (STEMI group HR 1.61, 95% CI: 0.85-3.08, remaining patients HR 1.06, 95% CI: 0.82-1.36, p-value for interaction 0.1990), whereas the HRs for dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy versus corresponding warfarin triple therapy did not. The Kaplan-Meier curves for the combined ischaemic endpoint are shown in Supplementary Figure 1C and Supplementary Figure 1D.

There were very few stent thromboses, limiting our ability to assess this endpoint. **Figure 2** provides the results, where, in both STEMI and all other patients, there were numerically higher rates in the 110 mg dabigatran group compared with warfarin; in the 150 mg dabigatran dual therapy group, none of the STEMI patients had stent thrombosis and, in the other patients, the rates of stent thrombosis were similar (p interactions of 0.99 for both doses) (Figure 2).

NET CLINICAL BENEFIT

The risk of an NCB event in the STEMI patients was reduced with both dabigatran 110 mg (28.3% vs 38.7%, respectively; HR 0.74, 95% CI: 0.46-1.17) and 150 mg (18.6% vs 35.7%, respectively; HR 0.49, 95% CI: 0.27-0.91) dual therapy compared with warfarin triple therapy (Figure 3) with no statistically significant interactions between the treatment and the subgroup (STEMI vs NSTEMI/UA/elective PCI); interaction p-values were 0.80 for dabigatran 110 mg versus warfarin, and 0.12 for dabigatran 150 mg versus warfarin (Figure 3).

Discussion

In patients with AF and STEMI the risk of complications such as stroke, mortality and severe bleeding events is increased^{3,4}. Whilst anticoagulation is indicated in AF to prevent stroke and other thromboembolic events, warfarin use in the STEMI population is associated with worse outcomes, especially more bleeding in patients with STEMI^{6,7}. The advent of the introduction of NOACs for antithrombotic management of AF brought on alternatives to warfarin that carry lower bleeding risks. Rivaroxaban and apixaban, direct factor Xa inhibitors, were shown to decrease bleeding risk compared with warfarin in patients undergoing PCI, whilst having no difference in thrombotic complications in the PIONEER AF and AUGUSTUS trials, respectively^{14,15}. Additionally, dual therapy (anticoagulation in addition to $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor) has been shown to decrease bleeding risk compared with triple therapy (anticoagulation in addition to $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor)



Figure 1. Bleeding endpoints in patients with STEMI versus others treated with dabigatran dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy. HRs and Wald CIs from Cox proportional hazards model. For the comparison dabigatran 110 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy, the model is stratified by age, non-elderly versus elderly (<70 or \geq 70 years in Japan and <80 or \geq 80 years elsewhere). For the comparison dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy, an unstratified model is applied and elderly patients outside the USA were excluded. Exploratory interaction p-values for the interaction between treatment and subgroup. ACS: acute coronary syndrome; CI: confidence interval; CRNM: clinically relevant non-major; HR: hazard ratio; ISTH: International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis; NSTEMI: non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; UA: unstable angina

plus ASA)¹⁴⁻¹⁸. RE-DUAL PCI showed a decrease in bleeding with no effect on thrombotic complications with dabigatran dual therapy compared with warfarin triple therapy.

This subgroup analysis examined a high thrombotic risk group of patients, those with STEMI versus all other patients with ACS or elective PCI. A consistent reduction in bleeding events with no difference in thrombotic events for patients treated with dabigatran dual therapy was observed for both subgroup categories. When looking at the two doses of dabigatran used in the dual therapy strategies, the 150 mg dose looks very appealing in this high thrombotic risk group of STEMI patients. It has considerably lower bleeding risks, but also no increased (and actually a numerically lower) risk of thrombotic events. This parallels the main trial results in the overall population. There the risk of bleeding was significantly lower (HR 0.72, 95% CI: 0.58-0.88), and the risk of thrombotic events was not inferior (HR 0.89, 95% CI: 0.67-1.19). In addition, the risk of stent thrombosis seemed to be similar in the dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy group compared with the warfarin triple therapy group (HR 0.99, 95% CI: 0.35-2.81)¹². Finally, the risk of an NCB event was also reduced with dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy compared with warfarin triple therapy (HR 0.79, 95% CI: 0.66-0.94)¹³.

There have been concerns about a higher risk of stent thrombosis from prior studies. In an analysis of the AUGUSTUS trial, the rate of patients with definite or probable stent thrombosis at six months was 13 (0.74%) for apixaban and 17 (0.97%) for VKA (HR 0.76, 95% CI: 0.37-1.56), and 11 (0.63%) for aspirin and 19 (1.08%) for placebo (HR 0.58, 95% CI: 0.28-1.22)¹⁹. Kaplan-Meier curves show that many of these early events occur in the first 30 days, the highest risk period. Similarly, in a meta-analysis that pooled four large trials (AUGUSTUS, RE-DUAL PCI, PIONEER-AF and WOEST), there was a trend towards more stent thrombosis in the NOAC plus P2Y₁₂ inhibitor group compared

	Patients w n/N (ith event (%)		HR (95% CI)	
	Dabigatran 110 mg Warfarin HR dual therapy triple therapy (95% C		HR (95% CI)	dabigatran dual therapy vs warfarin triple therapy	Interaction <i>p</i> -value
DTE or unplanned revascularisat	ion				
STEMI	24/113 (21.2)	15/106 (14.2)	1.61 (0.85-3.08)	⊢ ♦1	
Rest (NSTEMI, UA, non-ACS)	123/856 (14.4)	116/868 (13.4)	1.06 (0.82-1.36)	H ¢ I	0.1990
Stent thrombosis					
STEMI	2/113 (1.8)	1/106 (0.9)	1.87 (0.17-20.58)		•
Rest (NSTEMI, UA, non-ACS)	13/856 (1.5)	7/868 (0.8)	1.88 (0.75-4.71)		0.9864
				0.1 1.0 1	0.0
			F	avours dabigatran dual therapy	rin
	Patients w n/N (ith event (%)			
	Dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy	Warfarin triple therapy	HR (95% CI)	dabigatran dual therapy vs warfarin triple therapy	Interaction <i>p</i> -value
DTE or unplanned revascularisat	ion				
STEMI	6/86 (7.0)	11/84 (13.1)	0.56 (0.20-1.51)		
Rest (NSTEMI, UA, non-ACS)	82/669 (12.3)	87/676 (12.9)	0.92 (0.68-1.24)	F €	0.3292
Stent thrombosis					
STEMI	0/86 (0)	1/84 (1.2)	nd		
Rest (NSTEMI, UA, non-ACS)	7/669 (1.0)	6/676 (0.9)	1.16 (0.39-3.45)	⊢	0.9908
					0.0
			F	avours dabigatran Favours warfa dual therapy triple therapy	rin

Figure 2. Thrombotic endpoints in patients with STEMI versus others treated with dabigatran dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy. HRs and Wald CIs from Cox proportional hazards model. For the comparison dabigatran 110 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy, the model is stratified by age, non-elderly versus elderly (<70 or \geq 70 years in Japan and <80 or \geq 80 years elsewhere). For the comparison dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy, an unstratified model is applied and elderly patients outside the USA were excluded. Exploratory interaction p-values for the interaction between treatment and subgroup. ACS: acute coronary syndrome; CI: confidence interval; DTE: death, thromboembolic events; HR: hazard ratio; nd: not determined; NSTEMI: non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; UA: unstable angina

with the VKA plus DAPT reference group, but this did not reach statistical significance¹⁷. Unfortunately, the number of stent thromboses was too small to draw any reliable conclusion. However, our results suggest no difference in thromboembolic events or stent thrombosis between dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy compared with warfarin triple therapy, in the overall trial or in the high thrombotic risk group of STEMI patients. As such, the results of this subgroup analysis and those of the trial overall suggest that dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy may be an ideal strategy for this high thrombotic risk population.

Limitations

As in any exploratory subgroup analysis, this subgroup analysis is not powered, so no formal statistical conclusion can be drawn. Also, the sample sizes of the two subgroup categories are quite unbalanced, so that the group of STEMI patients is quite small, which results in wide confidence intervals. Therefore, all results should be regarded as exploratory and interpreted with caution. However, this is a subgroup of interest to practising cardiologists. Thus, it is worth looking at the specific data. The findings are consistent with the overall trial, which support the results.

Conclusions

This *post hoc* analysis from the RE-DUAL PCI trial suggests that dabigatran dual therapy reduces bleeding events compared with warfarin triple therapy in patients with AF regardless of whether the patient had STEMI or underwent PCI for a different reason. Thromboembolic events occurred at similar risks, consistent with the main study outcomes. These results support the use of a dabigatran dual therapy even in the high-risk subgroup of patients with STEMI. The 150 mg dose especially seems to provide a favourable risk-benefit profile in the non-elderly STEMI population.



Figure 3. Net clinical benefit in patients with STEMI versus others treated with dabigatran dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy. * ISTH major bleeding/clinically relevant non-major bleeding/DTE/unplanned revascularisation. HRs and Wald CIs from Cox proportional hazards model. For the comparison dabigatran 110 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy, the model is stratified by age, non-elderly versus elderly (<70 or \geq 70 years in Japan and <80 or \geq 80 years elsewhere). For the comparison dabigatran 150 mg dual therapy versus warfarin triple therapy, an unstratified model is applied and elderly patients outside the USA were excluded. Exploratory interaction p-values for the interaction between treatment and subgroup. ACS: acute coronary syndrome; CI: confidence interval; DTE: death, thromboembolic events; HR: hazard ratio; ISTH: International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis; NSTEMI: non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; UA: unstable angina

Impact on daily practice

Dual therapy with dabigatran plus a $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor can be recommended as standard of care after PCI for STEMI in patients with atrial fibrillation.

Acknowledgements

Editorial assistance limited to formatting and figure preparation was provided by Lisa Jolly, PhD, at Parexel, with funding from Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH.

Funding

Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH.

Conflict of interest statement

U. Zeymer, personal fees: Amgen, Abiomed, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, Pfizer, Novartis, Sanofi, Idorsia, Lilly, The Medicines Company. S.H. Hohnloser, personal fees: Bayer HealthCare, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Medtronic, Pfizer, SJM, ZOLL. P.G. Steg, research grants: Amarin, Bayer, Sanofi, Servier; speaking/consulting fees: Amarin, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer/Janssen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Idorsia, Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Regeneron, Sanofi, Servier. J. Oldgren, fees to his institution: AstraZeneca, Bayer HealthCare, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Pfizer, Portola, Roche Diagnostics, Sanofi. R.G. Kiss, honoraria as a speaker: Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, MSD, Pfizer. Z. Ongen, advisory/speaker fees: Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo. J. Navarro Estrada, advisory/speaker fees: AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Sanofi. T. Oude Ophuis, advisory/consulting/speaker fees: Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Medtronic. G.Y.H. Lip served as a consultant to: Bayer/Janssen, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer, Medtronic, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Verseon, Daiichi Sankyo; speaker: Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, Medtronic. No fees are personally received. M. Nordaby is an employee of Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH. C. Miede is an employee of Mainanalytics GmbH, contracted by Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH. J.M. ten Berg, advisory/consulting/speaker fees: Accumetrics, AstraZeneca, Bayer HealthCare, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Ferrer, The Medicines Company, Pfizer; research grants: AstraZeneca, ZonMw. D.L. Bhatt discloses the following relationships - advisory board: Cardax, Cereno Scientific, Elsevier Practice Update Cardiology, Medscape Cardiology, PhaseBio and Regado

Biosciences; honoraria: RE-DUAL PCI clinical trial steering committee funded by Boehringer Ingelheim; research funding: Abbott, Afimmune, Amarin, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chiesi, CSL Behring, Eisai, Ethicon, Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Forest Laboratories, Fractyl, Idorsia, Ironwood, Ischemix, Lilly, Medtronic, PhaseBio, Pfizer, Regeneron, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, Synaptic and The Medicines Company. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Rubboli A, Colletta M, Herzfeld J, Sangiorgio P, Di Pasquale G. Periprocedural and medium-term antithrombotic strategies in patients with an indication for long-term anticoagulation undergoing coronary angiography and intervention. *Coron Artery Dis.* 2007;18:193-9.

2. Wang TY, Robinson LA, Ou FS, Roe MT, Ohman EM, Gibler WB, Smith SC Jr, Peterson ED, Becker RC. Discharge antithrombotic strategies among patients with acute coronary syndrome previously on warfarin anticoagulation: physician practice in the CRUSADE registry. *Am Heart J.* 2008;155:361-8.

3. Zeymer U, Annemans L, Danchin N, Pocock S, Newsome S, Van de Werf F, Medina J, Bueno H. Impact of known or new-onset atrial fibrillation on 2-year cardio-vascular event rate in patients with acute coronary syndromes: results from the prospective EPICOR registry. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care.* 2019;8:121-9.

4. Garg L, Agrawal S, Agarwal M, Shah M, Garg A, Patel B, Agarwal N, Nanda S, Sharma A, Cox D. Influence of Atrial Fibrillation on Outcomes in Patients Who Underwent Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for ST-segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 2018;121:684-9.

5. Patel MR, Dehmer GJ, Hirshfeld JW, Smith PK, Spertus JA. ACCF/SCAI/STS/ AATS/AHA/ASNC 2009 Appropriateness Criteria for Coronary Revascularization: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriateness Criteria Task Force, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Thoracic Surgeons, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American Heart Association, and the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology: Endorsed by the American Society of Echocardiography, the Heart Failure Society of America, and the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. *Circulation*. 2009;119:1330-52.

6. Marbach JA, Almufleh A, Bernick J, Blondeau M, Osborne C, Russo J, Hibbert B, Froeschl M, Labinaz M, Glover C, Dick A, So D, Chong AY, Le May M. In-hospital outcomes of STEMI patients on warfarin undergoing primary PCI. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2019;93:41-7.

7. Moulson N, LaHaye SA, Bertrand OF, MacHaalany J. Prophylactic warfarin post anterior ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* 2017;18:559-64.

8. Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Braunwald E, Hoffman EB, Deenadayalu N, Ezekowitz MD, Camm AJ, Weitz JI, Lewis BS, Parkhomenko A, Yamashita T, Antman EM. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2014;383:955-62.

9. Angiolillo DJ, Goodman SG, Bhatt DL, Eikelboom JW, Price MJ, Moliterno DJ, Cannon CP, Tanguay JF, Granger CB, Mauri L, Holmes DR, Gibson CM, Faxon DP. Antithrombotic Therapy in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation Treated With Oral Anticoagulation Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: A North American Perspective-2018 Update. *Circulation.* 2018;138:527-36.

10. Valgimigli M, Bueno H, Byrne RA, Collet JP, Costa F, Jeppsson A, Jüni P, Kastrati A, Kolh P, Mauri L, Montalescot G, Neumann FJ, Petricevic M, Roffi M, Steg PG, Windecker S, Zamorano JL, Levine GN; ESC Scientific Document Group; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG); ESC National Cardiac Societies. 2017 ESC focused update on dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease developed in collaboration with EACTS: The Task Force for dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary

artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). Eur Heart J. 2018;39:213-60.

11. Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, Eikelboom J, Oldgren J, Parekh A, Pogue J, Reilly PA, Themeles E, Varrone J, Wang S, Alings M, Xavier D, Zhu J, Diaz R, Lewis BS, Darius H, Diener HC, Joyner CD, Wallentin L; RE-LY Steering Committee and Investigators. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361:1139-51.

12. Cannon CP, Bhatt DL, Oldgren J, Lip GYH, Ellis SG, Kimura T, Maeng M, Merkely B, Zeymer U, Gropper S, Nordaby M, Kleine E, Harper R, Manassie J, Januzzi JL, Ten Berg JM, Steg PG, Hohnloser SH; RE-DUAL PCI Steering Committee and Investigators. Dual antithrombotic therapy with dabigatran after PCI in atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377:1513-24.

13. Steg PG, Ducrocq G, Bhatt DL, Hohnloser SH, Nordaby M, Miede C, Lip GYH, Oldgren J, ten Berg JM, Cannon CP. Dabigatran Dual Therapy Versus Warfarin Triple Therapy Post PCI in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2020;75: 238-40.

14. Gibson CM, Mehran R, Bode C, Halperin J, Verheugt FW, Wildgoose P, Birmingham M, Ianus J, Burton P, van Eickels M, Korjian S, Daaboul Y, Lip GY, Cohen M, Husted S, Peterson ED, Fox KA. Prevention of Bleeding in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation Undergoing PCI. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:2423-34.

15. Lopes RD, Heizer G, Aronson R, Vora AN, Massaro T, Mehran R, Goodman SG, Windecker S, Darius H, Li J, Averkov O, Bahit MC, Berwanger O, Budaj A, Hijazi Z, Parkhomenko A, Sinnaeve P, Storey RF, Thiele H, Vinereanu D, Granger CB, Alexander JH; AUGUSTUS Investigators. Antithrombotic Therapy after Acute Coronary Syndrome or PCI in Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380:1509-24.

16. Dewilde WJ, Oirbans T, Verheugt FW, Kelder JC, De Smet BJGL, Herrman JP, Adriaenssens T, Vrolix M, Heestermans ACMA, Vis MM, Tijsen JG, van 't Hof AW, ten Berg JM; WOEST study investigators. Use of clopidogrel with or without aspirin in patients taking oral anticoagulant therapy and undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: an open-label, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2013;381:1107-15.

17. Lopes RD, Hong H, Harskamp RE, Bhatt DL, Mehran R, Cannon CP, Granger CB, Verheugt FWA, Li J, Ten Berg JM, Sarafoff N, Gibson CM, Alexander JH. Safety and Efficacy of Antithrombotic Strategies in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: A Network Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2019;4:747-55.

18. Galli M, Andreotti F, D'Amario D, Vergallo R, Vescovo GM, Giraldi L, Migliaro S, Ameri P, Porto I, Crea F. Antithrombotic therapy in the early phase of non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother*. 2020;6:43-56.

19. Lopes RD, Leonardi S, Wojdyla DM, Vora AN, Thomas L, Storey RF, Vinereanu D, Granger CB, Goodman SG, Aronson R, Windecker S, Thiele H, Valgimigli M, Mehran R, Alexander JH. Stent Thrombosis in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation Undergoing Coronary Stenting in the AUGUSTUS Trial. *Circulation*. 2020;141:781-3.

Supplementary data

Supplementary Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curves for the primary bleeding endpoint.

Supplementary Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with STEMI versus all other patients (elective PCI, unstable angina, NSTEMI).

The supplementary data are published online at: https://eurointervention.pcronline.com/ doi/10.4244/EIJ-D-20-00799



Supplementary data



Supplementary Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curves for the primary bleeding endpoint.

Kaplan-Meier curves for the primary bleeding endpoint (time to first adjudicated ISTH MBE or CRNMBE) (A & B) and ischaemic endpoint (time to first adjudicated DTE/unplanned revascularisation) (C & D).

Supplementary Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with STEMI versus all other patients (elective PCI, unstable angina, NSTEMI).

	STEMI				Rest (NSTEMI, UA, non-ACS)			
	DE 110 mg DT n=113	Warfarin TT n=106	DE 150 mg DT n=86	Warfarin TT* n=84	DE 110 mg DT n=856	Warfarin TT n=868	DE 150 mg DT n=669	Warfarin TT* n=676
Age, years, mean (SD)	70.0 (9.8)	71.8 (10.1)	66.1 (10.3)	68.9 (9.2)	71.8 (8.6)	71.7 (8.8)	68.9 (7.2)	68.8 (7.5)
Elderly (≥70 years in Japan, ≥80 years elsewhere), n (%)	23 (20.4)	23 (21.7)	1 (1.2)	1 (1.2)	198 (23.1)	199 (22.9)	7 (1.0)	7 (1.0)
Non-elderly (<70 years in Japan, <80 years elsewhere), n (%)	90 (79.6)	83 (78.3)	85 (98.8)	83 (98.8)	658 (76.9)	669 (77.1)	662 (99.0)	669 (99.0)
Male, n (%)	86 (76.1)	78 (73.6)	69 (80.2)	63 (75.0)	633 (73.9)	668 (77.0)	517 (77.3)	528 (78.1)
Never smoked, n (%)**	40 (35.4)	46 (43.4)	34 (39.5)	30 (35.7)	412 (48.1)	385 (44.4)	279 (41.7)	284 (42.0)
Baseline CrCl, ml/min, mean (SD)***	78.7 (30.3)	74.1 (23.9)	90.3 (37.6)	79.1 (23.8)	75.9 (28.7)	75.6 (29.7)	82.8 (30.1)	81.7 (30.2)
CrCl <50 ml/min	17 (15.0)	11 (10.4)	6 (7.0)	6 (7.1)	132 (15.4)	132 (15.2)	67 (10.0)	66 (9.8)
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	38 (33.6)	36 (34.0)	26 (30.2)	30 (35.7)	321 (37.5)	333 (38.4)	230 (34.4)	271 (40.1)
Prior MI, n (%)	23 (20.4)	27 (25.5)	20 (23.3)	25 (29.8)	208 (24.3)	239 (27.5)	173 (25.9)	186 (27.5)
Prior PCI, n (%)	18 (15.9)	32 (30.2)	13 (15.1)	28 (33.3)	305 (35.6)	312 (35.9)	223 (33.3)	243 (35.9)
Prior CABG, n (%)	8 (7.1)	10 (9.4)	1 (1.2)	8 (9.5)	88 (10.3)	101 (11.6)	77 (11.5)	79 (11.7)
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score, mean (SD)	3.4 (1.6)	3.8 (1.5)	2.9 (1.6)	3.6 (1.5)	3.7 (1.6)	3.8 (1.5)	3.3 (1.5)	3.6 (1.5)
Modified HAS-BLED score at baseline, mean (SD)	2.6 (0.8)	2.8 (0.7)	2.4 (0.8)	2.8 (0.7)	2.7 (0.7)	2.8 (0.7)	2.6 (0.7)	2.7 (0.8)
DES only, n (%)****	78 (69.0)	78 (73.6)	60 (69.8)	61 (72.6)	717 (83.8)	742 (85.5)	554 (82.8)	574 (84.9)
Time between PCI and randomisation, days, median (range)	2.0 (0-9)	2.0 (0-5)	2.0 (0-9)	2.0 (0-5)	1.0 (0-5)	1.0 (0-7)	1.0 (0-8)	1.0 (0-7)
Treatment with clopidogrel	91 (80.5)	80 (75.5)	65 (75.6)	60 (71.4)	746 (87.1)	780 (89.9)	577 (86.2)	610 (90.2)
Treatment with ticagrelor	29 (25.7)	27 (25.5)	23 (26.7)	23 (27.4)	102 (11.9)	64 (7.4)	80 (12.0)	50 (7.4)

* For the comparison with dabigatran 150 mg, elderly patients outside the USA are excluded. ** 1 patient with missing smoking data. *** 227 patients with missing CrCl data. **** 7 patients with missing information on stent type.

CrCl: creatinine clearance; DE: dabigatran etexilate; DES: drug-eluting stent; DT: dual therapy; MI: myocardial infarction; PCI: percutaneous

coronary intervention; SD: standard deviation; TT: triple therapy