Consensus from the 7th European Bifurcation Club meeting

Goran Stankovic^{1*}, MD, PhD; Thierry Lefèvre², MD; Alaide Chieffo³, MD; David Hildick-Smith⁴, MD; Jens Flensted Lassen⁵, MD; Manuel Pan⁶, MD; Olivier Darremont⁷, MD; Remo Albiero⁸, MD; Miroslaw Ferenc⁹, MD; Gérard Finet¹⁰, MD, PhD; Tom Adriaenssens¹¹, MD; Bon-Kwon Koo¹², MD, PhD; Francesco Burzotta¹³, MD; Yves Louvard², MD

 Department of Cardiology, Clinical Center of Serbia, and Medical Faculty, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia; 2. Institut Cardiovasculaire Paris Sud, Massy, France; 3. Interventional Cardiology Unit, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy;
Sussex Cardiac Centre, Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals, United Kingdom; 5. Department of Cardiology B, Skejby Hospital, University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark; 6. Hospital Reina Sofia, Cordoba, Spain; 7. Clinique Saint Augustin, Bordeaux, France; 8. Clinica San Rocco, Brescia, Italy; 9. Herz-Zentrum Bad Krozingen, Bad Krozingen, Germany;
Department of Cardiology and Interventional Cardiology, Cardiovascular Hospital, Hospices Civils de Lyon, and INSERM Unit 1060 "CARMEN", Lyon, France; 11. University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; 12. Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea; 13. Institute of Cardiology, Catholic University of Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy

Introduction

The seventh meeting of the European Bifurcation Club (EBC) was convened in Lisbon on October 14-15, 2011, with the agenda of reaching a consensus on the current state of the art of percutaneous bifurcation treatment. The present report represents a synthesis of the findings from this meeting and also incorporates a literature review from the field of bifurcation interventions. Topics covered in this Consensus document include the state-of-the-art in percutaneous treatment of unprotected left main coronary artery, discussion of anatomical and functional assessment of bifurcation lesions and solutions for difficult side branch access.

Unprotected left main coronary artery (LMCA)

Two important meta-analyses were published in 2011 with the purpose of determining the safety and efficacy of percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) compared with coronary artery bypass grafts (CABG) in patients with LMCA disease. These two meta-analyses^{1,2} of 1,611 patients randomised in the LEMANS³, SYNTAX left main cohort⁴, PRECOMBAT⁵ and by Boudriot et al⁶ reached similar conclusions, that the primary endpoint of one-year MACCE was non-significantly different in the PCI group compared with CABG (PCI 14.5% vs. CABG 11.8%; Odds Ratio [OR] 1.28; 95% CI: 0.95-1.72; p=0.11). As in each of the individual studies, the rate of stroke was higher amongst those treated with CABG (PCI 0.1% vs. CABG 1.74%; OR 0.15; 95% CI: 0.03-0.67; p=0.013). Conversely, higher rates of TVR were observed in the PCI cohort (PCI 11.4% vs. CABG 5.4%; OR 2.25; 95% CI: 1.54-3.29; p<0.001). The authors concluded that PCI is comparable to CABG for the treatment of unprotected LMCA (ULMCA) with respect to the composite of major adverse cardiovascular or cerebrovascular events at 12-month follow-up, as well as having a lower risk of stroke and a higher risk of target vessel revascularisation (TVR) compared with CABG^{1.2}.

In most of the present studies, provisional stenting of the main branch has been the preferred approach, with additional side branch (SB) intervention if angiographic results are suboptimal. This strategy has largely been driven by lower TVR, procedure time and contrast exposure, not by hard clinical endpoints. However, certain bifurcations with severe disease that extends several millimetres

*Corresponding author: Department of Cardiology, Clinical Center of Serbia, and Medical Faculty, University of Belgrade, Visegradska 26, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia. E-mail: gorastan@sbb.rs

36

beyond the ostium of a large SB may still require stenting of both vessels, and a recent randomised DKCRUSH-II trial⁷ in true bifurcation lesions (Medina classification 1,1,1 and 0,1,1) demonstrated that a two-stent approach, when optimally performed with final kissing balloon inflation, may reduce the rate of TLR and TVR as compared with the provisional approach and is associated with more favourable long-term clinical outcomes⁸.

Importantly, several studies (SYNTAX left main cohort⁴, LEMAX⁹, FRENCH¹⁰, the Italian Society of Invasive Cardiology ULMCA registry¹¹, DISTAL study¹²) demonstrated that PCI with DES has a favourable outcome, comparable to CABG, when the lesion is ostial or mid-shaft of the left main, while distal left main predicts worse outcomes, mainly driven by increased TLR after DES. However, outcomes in the left main are dependent on the complexity of downstream anatomical disease, which is a major confounding factor. The European Society of Cardiology and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery Guidelines on myocardial revascularisation provide a Class IIa (Level of Evidence: B) recommendation for PCI of left main ostial or shaft disease when it exists in isolation or in combination with one-vessel disease; a Class IIb (Level of Evidence: B) recommendation for left main distal bifurcation disease when it exists in isolation or in combination with one-vessel disease; a class IIb recommendation for any left main disease with concomitant two- or three-vessel disease and a SYNTAX score ≤32; and a Class III recommendation for left main disease with concomitant two- or three-vessel disease and a SYNTAX score \geq 33. CABG is the favoured approach for all of these scenarios (Class I, Level of Evidence: A)¹³.

The ongoing international multicentre EXCEL (Evaluation of XIENCE Prime versus Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery for Effectiveness of Left Main Revascularisation) trial, aiming to recruit 2,600 patients with ULMCA disease and a SYNTAX score <33 – randomised to surgical (n=1,300) or percutaneous (with the XIENCE PRIME or XIENCE V EECSS PRIME DES [n=1,300]) revascularisation - will ultimately answer the question of the most appropriate revascularisation modality for patients with ULMCA disease¹⁴. The primary endpoint is the composite incidence of death, MI or stroke at a median follow-up duration of three years, powered for sequential non-inferiority and superiority testing. Questions remain unanswered regarding the need to include revascularisation in the primary endpoint as well as the exclusion of patients with extensive triple vessel disease, in addition to left main stenosis. Based on meta-analyses, and awaiting the results of the EXCEL trial, the following consensus for LMCA treatment was reached:

For LMCA treatment, PCI and CABG have similar safety outcomes at 12-month follow-up. PCI has a lower risk of stroke but a higher risk of repeat intervention.

PCI with DES has excellent outcomes for ostial or mid-shaft left main lesions, but has a higher incidence of TLR for distal left main stem bifurcation lesions.

Specific areas of interest regarding the treatment of the unprotected LMCA bifurcation were also discussed in detail during the EBC meeting.

PREDICTING OUTCOME BY PLAQUE DISTRIBUTION

It was reported that plaque distribution could be a contributing factor for outcomes following LMCA intervention. By identifying three regions of the LMCA bifurcation (through LMCA-LAD; through LMCA-LCX and at the point of bifurcation), lesions could be classified as "whole bifurcation" (1,1,1 or 0,1,1) and "partial bifurcation" (1,1,0 or 1,0,1 or 0,1,0 or 0,0,1) involvement. In a total of 329 patients who underwent PCI with DES, there was a higher cumulative target lesion revascularisation (TLR) in those with "whole bifurcation" (HR 3.12; 95% CI: 1.59-6.11; p=0.001), independent of the stenting technique or degree of stenosis¹⁵.

It has been previously reported that there is a relationship between lesion location and plaque distribution: ostial LMCA stenosis has less plaque burden and larger lumen area and more negative remodelling than distal-bifurcation LMCA stenosis¹⁶. In addition, Oviedo et al showed the limitations of an angiographic rather than IVUS classification of LMCA bifurcation lesions¹⁷. IVUS evaluation verified that bifurcation disease is rarely focal, mostly involving both LAD and CX ostia, and that both sides of the flow divider are disease-free. Plaque distribution was not influenced by the LAD/LCX angiographic angle, lesion severity, LMCA length or remodelling. Importantly, Kang et al showed that lumen loss at the LCX ostium frequently occurred after crossover stenting from the distal LM to the LAD¹⁸. The main mechanism was carina shift that was associated with a narrow angle between the LAD and LCX. Therefore, the assessment of the LCX ostium by direct LCX pullback is necessary to evaluate accurately the mechanisms of lumen loss during stent implantation.

Left main stem plaque distribution may help determine optimal revascularisation strategy.

THE LMCA ANGULATION

The 3-D measurement of coronary bifurcation angles prior to stenting can predict changes in bifurcation geometry after stenting and the decrease in the bifurcation angle after stenting is a predictor of less favourable outcomes¹⁹. Godino et al reported that in both LMCA and non-LMCA bifurcations there are significant differences in the angle between the proximal main vessel and the side branch (proximal bifurcation angle - A) and the angle between the two distal branches (distal bifurcation angle - B) pre- and post-PCI²⁰. That difference was driven by the two-stent technique and was most evident with a baseline bifurcation angle \geq 70 degrees (the crush technique caused the largest angle variation post-procedure, particularly in non-true LMCA bifurcations)²⁰. A study from the Asian Multicentre DES-LMCA registry demonstrated that DES implantation in low angled LMCA bifurcation lesions showed a lower incidence of cardiac events compared with high angled bifurcation lesions at five-year clinical follow-up²¹. Although the bifurcation angle affects the selection of stenting strategies there are still controversies in terms of the effect of these angles on outcomes, primarily because all studies were underpowered for this clinical endpoint. In a substudy from the SYNTAX trial, Girasis and colleagues evaluated using three-dimensional quantitative coronary angiography (3-D QCA) the bifurcation angle parameters of the LMCA, the effect of PCI on this angulation and the

impact of bifurcation angles on clinical outcome²². This study showed that both proximal and distal bifurcation angles were affected by cardiac motion and that PCI modifies the distal angle. Importantly, there was no clear difference in MACCE rates across tertiles of pre-PCI distal bifurcation angle values. Chen et al in their study also demonstrated that, when utilising crush stenting techniques for coronary bifurcation lesions, the bifurcation angle does not influence clinical outcomes²³. The result of this study is in disagreement with the report of Dzavik et al that a bifurcation angle >50° is an independent predictor of MACE after crush stenting²⁴. Interestingly, Chen et al found significant correlations among high bifurcation angles, final kissing balloon inflation (FKBI) and MACE²³. The MACE-free survival rate was lower in high bifurcation angle patients without FKBI than in high bifurcation angle patients with FKBI.

The distal bifurcation angle affects the selection of left main stenting strategies. However, there is still controversy as to whether or not bifurcation angles might affect clinical outcomes.

ADJUNCTIVE TECHNOLOGY

Other technical issues were discussed at the EBC meeting, including an emphasis on the use of fractional flow reserve (FFR), intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) and optical coherence tomography (OCT) to provide information to reduce restenosis. In the case of intermediate LMCA stenosis, pre-intervention IVUS may accurately assess plaque distribution and severity as well as the adequate sizing of stents²⁵. Kang et al showed that pre-procedural angiographic percent diameter stenosis did not correlate with the IVUS MLA at the LCX ostium¹⁸. Therefore, direct imaging of both the LAD and LCX is suggested to identify the minimal lumen area (MLA) in the LMCA and the presence of disease in the LAD and/or LCX.

Although the optimal cut-off of the MLA for identifying significant LMCA disease and its accuracy remain debatable, it has been demonstrated that in isolated LMCA disease an IVUS-derived MLA < 4.8 mm² is a useful criterion for predicting FFR <0.8025. The advantages of absolute lumen cross-sectional area (CSA) are that it does not depend on finding a disease-free reference segment, and works even in the setting of significant LAD or LCX stenoses. Kang et al have evaluated the optimal IVUS stent area to predict angiographic ISR after sirolimuseluting stent implantation for LMCA disease²⁶. The minimal stent area (MSA) cut-offs that best predicted ISR on a segmental basis were 5.0 mm² (ostial LCX ISR), 6.3 mm² (ostial LAD ISR), 7.2 mm² (ISR within the polygon of confluence - POC) and 8.2 mm² (ISR within the LMCA above the POC). Post-stenting underexpansion was an independent predictor for two-year MACE, especially repeat revascularisation, while stent malapposition did not predict ISR or MACE. Park et al have reported that long-term mortality among patients undergoing IVUS-guided left main stem stenting may be better than for those undergoing the procedure with angiographic guidance alone²⁷.

However, it is not clear whether the same IVUS criteria for a "significant" LMCA stenosis should be used for ostial LMCA lesions as they are for mid-shaft/distal bifurcation lesions, for positively vs. negatively remodelled lesions or for unstable vs. stable morphology (plaque ruptures vs. no plaque ruptures). IVUS is also helpful in assessing possible stent underexpansion and malapposition. This is extremely important in the setting of LMCA intervention, considering that stent underexpansion has been correlated to stent thrombosis²⁸⁻³⁰.

IVUS guidance for LMCA bifurcation intervention is recommended.

DEDICATED STENTS FOR LMCA

A final discussion on LMCA stenting with dedicated stents was opened also to Industry, and a "show of hands" demonstrated that more than 60% of the attendees believed that within five years we will have dedicated bifurcation stent systems in routine clinical use. This may be particularly true for the left main stem, where bulkier devices may be delivered with greater ease³¹. Numerous novel dedicated systems are in development, and we can expect these to play an important role in the coming years³².

Dedicated stents may play an important role for LMCA stenting in the future.

VIRTUAL BENCH FOR LMCA STENTING ("JOHN DOE" SESSION)

Digital simulation has developed into an increasingly reliable alternative to bench testing³³. Genuine normal or diseased coronary bifurcations can be captured by 3-D angiography or MSCT. They are subsequently transformed into "finite elements" each being attributed the mechanical properties obtained from autopsy studies. Similarly, the structure and mechanical features of stents can be simulated as well as their deployment against potential vessel wall resistance. However, proper experimental validation is needed to determine whether all model assumptions are justified. If the assumptions cannot be justified, the validity of the model can be challenged³⁴. An example of comparison between the real case and the virtual simulation is shown in **Figure 1** and **Figure 2**.

A new way of thinking is now open to us with the possibility of simulating PCI from patient data.



Figure 1. *Provisional side branch stenting procedure using finite element simulations. Courtesy of Peter Mortier, EBC 2011.*



Figure 2. Flow dynamics comparison during provisional side branch stenting. Courtesy of Gabriele Subini, EBC 2011.

Anatomical and functional assessment of bifurcation lesions CONTRIBUTION OF IVUS TO THE MANAGEMENT OF

CORONARY BIFURCATION LESIONS Invasive imaging of coronary arteries is presently based on the triad of digital flat-panel detector X-ray angiography, intravascular ultra-

sound (IVUS) and optical coherence tomography (OCT). Coronary bifurcation lesion imaging aims to enable successful angioplasty of coronary bifurcations, assessing lesion severity, atherosclerosis distribution, reference lumen diameters, stent length, post-stenting geometry, any strut malapposition, distortion of any part of the stent(s) as well as associated arterial complications.

The stent-boost technique improves X-ray imaging quality by increasing local spatial contrast resolution. Contrast enhancement of the implanted stent allows rapid and precise detection of preprocedural presence and distribution of calcium, change in stent geometry and, in two-stent (Y or T) procedures, local destructuring or strut protrusion, especially in the carina, and gaps. Stent-boosted images seem to correlate well with IVUS for evaluation of adequate stent deployment.

The two cross-sectional imaging systems – IVUS and OCT – differ in spatial resolution, which is very satisfactory with 40 MHz IVUS (90 μ m radial resolution) and excellent with OCT (around 13 μ m radial resolution). The main limitation of OCT, intrinsic to the physics involved, is its weak signal penetration beyond 0.5-1 mm into the arterial wall. Another difference is in pullback speed: 1 mm/sec for IVUS (30 images/sec) and 10-20 mm/sec for OCT. On the other hand, OCT and IVUS present the same strengths and weaknesses with regard to plaque composition analysis³⁵.

Whether or not to use IVUS or OCT guidance in treating coronary bifurcation lesions remains a subject of great controversy. The criteria sought are now well-defined:

– Before percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) 1) longitudinal plaque distribution, 2) plaque composition, 3) mother and daughter vessel reference diameters³⁶, 4) precise stent landing zone analysis, and 5) side-branch ostium analysis (diseased or not). After PCI 6) stent expansion and apposition, 7) side-branch ostium assessment, 8) final vessel sizes (stent over- or under-expansion), 9) proximal or distal dissection, and 10) peri-adventitial haematoma.

Several of these criteria (criteria 1, 4, 9 and 10) cannot be objectively assessed on OCT, which is therefore unsuited to interventional guidance. IVUS seems preferable, improving the safety of coronary bifurcation stenting using drug-eluting stents (DES) and in bifurcations in general as well as in left coronary artery bifurcations in particular^{27,37}. It is still controversial whether routine use of IVUS in conventional lesions leads to improvement in clinical outcomes after PCI. Park SJ et al reported in the propensity matched study that the three-year incidence of mortality was lower in patients with LMCA lesions treated with DES when IVUS guidance was used as compared with angiography guidance²⁷, while Zhang et al published a metaanalysis which demonstrated that IVUS-guided coronary DES implantation is associated with a significant reduction in death, MACE and stent thrombosis compared to angiography guidance³⁸. However, appropriately powered randomised trials are necessary to confirm the findings from this meta-analysis. In addition, the recent propensity matched study of Park KW et al showed that there were no significant advantages of IVUS guidance for non-left main lesions, but rather a significant increase in periprocedural enzyme elevation, reflecting more aggressive procedures performed with IVUS guidance³⁹. Binary or purely quantitative assessment criteria pose no problem (e.g., randomised studies of the use of fractional flow reserve); the situation in IVUS and/or OCT imaging, however, is much more complex, as final interpretation involves a wide range of distinct data that are difficult to reduce to a single quantitative variable.

A major issue highlighted in recent years concerns in-stent neoatherosclerosis after bare metal (BMS) and DES implantation, the main anatomopathologic imaging signs of which have now been described⁴⁰. Neoatherosclerosis is a heterogeneous recomposition of the in-stent neointimal process with a necrotic lipid core, rich in microvessels, frequently associated with disrupted neointima and thrombus, giving rise to acute atherothrombotic processes, the incidence of which is greater in DES (36%) than BMS (16%) and with earlier onset in DES (>2 years)⁴¹⁻⁴³.

IVUS illuminates all aspects of bifurcation stenting. However, there is no strong evidence that use of IVUS improves outcomes.

CONTRIBUTION OF OCT TO THE MANAGEMENT OF CORONARY BIFURCATION LESIONS

Frequency domain imaging has greatly increased the speed of acquisition of OCT images and has attenuated the negative impact of the need to examine the vessel clear from blood by replacing it with a crystalloid solution⁴⁴. High-speed optical frequency domain imaging (OFDI) can be used to create 3-D reconstructions of implanted stent structures with excellent quality and at resolutions much higher than what is currently possible using IVUS⁴⁵. OCT may be used to guide the procedure preserving SB patency without compromising the MV, obtaining the optimal vessel dimensions and reducing malapposition of stent struts and the amount of unplanned floating struts.

SPATIAL PERCEPTION OF OCT OF CORONARY BIFURCATIONS While the analysis of OCT in straight coronary segments is relatively straightforward, the interpretation and reporting of OCT in bifurcation lesions is a far bigger challenge. The investigator must try to rebuild the wealth of 2-dimensional cross-sections into a 3-dimensional structure. While the sequential 2-dimensional cross-sections are the base for detailed assessment and quantification of expansion, apposition and long-term coverage of stent struts, it is felt that dedicated software to develop 3-D reconstructions of the bifurcation anatomy before and after intervention (**Figure 3**) would be of great help for the interventionalist providing insight into the take-off of side branches as well as aid in the understanding and planning of optimal treatment strategy^{46,47}.



Figure 3. Guidance of cell recrossing locations using 3-D OCT. Courtesy of N. Foin, EBC 2011.

OFF-LINE ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Off-line analysis of bifurcation OCT should be preceded by a detailed evaluation of coronary angiography images of bifurcation lesion and quality assessment of OCT pullbacks in the main vessel and the side branch, both before and after intervention. The region of interest is defined as a stented segment plus 5 mm at both edges, and analysis is performed in the proximal MV, distal MV and SB at 0.6 mm intervals and inside the bifurcation segment at 0.2 mm intervals⁴⁸. **CLINICAL STUDIES**

An OCT study of 38 sirolimus-eluting stents, 50 zotarolimus-eluting stents, 33 biolimus-eluting stents and 57 everolimus-eluting stents confirmed that coverage of the incomplete stent apposition and non-apposed side branch struts is delayed with respect to well-apposed struts at 9-13 months of follow-up⁴⁹.

POSSIBLE CLINICAL APPLICATIONS

The detailed assessment of the bifurcation by OCT pre-intervention may aid tailoring the treatment strategy. The expected ability to assess the risk of carina shift or side branch closure may influence the decision to protect a side branch with a wire and whether or not to predilate it. The exact determination of vessel dimension and distribution of disease in the bifurcation segment could influence the decision whether to plan a simple or a complex approach up front, ensuring adequate coverage of all diseased areas where needed. A final OCT pullback after a complex bifurcation procedure often points to areas of underexpansion, malapposition or an excessive amount of free floating struts, which are not visible on angiography and can be corrected with additional high-pressure post-dilation or kissing balloon inflation. Several groups are focusing on employing 3-dimensional optical coherence tomography (3D-OCT) image reconstruction to ensure recrossing in the side branch after MV stenting in the most distal cell of the bifurcation, providing better coverage at the ostium of the side branch and avoiding accumulation of metal at the region of the flow divider^{47,50,51}. In order to visualise the stent clearly in 3D-OCT, an enhancement of the stent strut is necessary and a novel automatic strut detecting programme allows rapid 3-D stent image reconstructions (about 10 minutes) during procedure⁵¹.

The EBC found that there was a need to develop an initiative to create a consensus document on how to analyse and report OCT examinations in bifurcation lesions. The aim was to ensure standards aiding comparisons between studies and to facilitate standardised scientific and clinical use of OCT in bifurcation assessment and treatment. The International Working Group for Standardisation and Validation of Intravascular Optical Coherence Tomography (IWG-IVOCT) recently published an extensive consensus document on acquisition, interpretation and analysis of OCT in general⁵².

OCT is likely to become increasingly important in the procedural management of patients with coronary bifurcation lesions.

2-D and 3-D OCT are complementary imaging tools and reassessment of the understanding of 2-D OCT imaging may be warranted in light of the 3-D findings.

CONTRIBUTION OF FFR TO THE MANAGEMENT OF CORONARY BIFURCATION LESIONS

Several studies revealed the angiographic inaccuracy in the assessment of bifurcation lesions. However, a recent study showed that a dedicated quantitative coronary angiography (QCA) system had less inter- and intra-observer variability and better correlation with FFR compared to conventional 2-dimensional QCA^{53,54}. However, the limitations of a pure anatomical evaluation to determine the appropriateness of revascularisation in bifurcation lesions still remain. Currently under development, 3-dimensional QCA or QCA with finite element analysis may improve the accuracy of angiographic assessment of bifurcation lesions.

In two recent studies, the clinical outcomes of intravascular ultrasound (IVUS)-guided intervention for bifurcation lesions were better than angiography-guided intervention^{37,55}. Although it has a limitation to assess the functional significance of a stenosis⁵⁶, IVUS can provide detailed anatomical information which is very helpful in planning the treatment strategy and assessing the procedural success. In addition to lumen, plaque and vessel areas, IVUS can provide the distribution of plaque and the location of carina, which are useful to predict the severity of side branch jailing after main branch stent implantation^{57,58}.

Fractional flow reserve (FFR) is a pressure-derived flow index, which represents the amount of a flow reduced by a specific stenosis. FFR-guided revascularisation strategy is known to be better than angiography-guided revascularisation in various lesion subsets. FFR can also be used in bifurcation lesions but the rate of possible complications during FFR evaluation in the side branch is not negligible^{59,60}. Further study is required to examine the feasibility, practical clinical application and safety of this technique.

However, there are some tips we need to know before we apply this parameter to complex bifurcations lesions:

First, when the side branch FFR is measured, it should be remembered that measured FFR reflects the plaque burden of both the proximal main branch and side branch. Second, the pressure wire should not be jailed by a stent during percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Third, pre-intervention of the side branch FFR is not that helpful in predicting jailed side branch FFR due to the dynamic geometric changes at the ostium of the side branch during main branch intervention. Fourth, as the amount of ischaemic burden is clinically more important than the presence of ischaemia, FFR should be measured in large side branches.

The combination of computational fluid dynamics and coronary CT angiography has allowed for the non-invasive anatomical and physiologic assessment of coronary artery disease. As this novel technology starts with a 3-dimensional anatomic model from conventional CT images, no additional imaging processes or medications are needed. A first-in-human clinical trial (the DISCOVER-FLOW study) showed that CT-derived computed FFR (FFRCT) had good correlation with invasively measured FFR (Spearman's rank correlation=0.717, p<0.0001; Pearson's correlation coefficient=0.678, p<0.0001), and FFRCT was superior to CT for the diagnosis of lesion-specific ischaemia (per-patient discrimination AUC 0.92 vs. 0.70, p=0.0001)⁶¹. However, this novel technology has yet to be tested in complex bifurcation lesions.

Both anatomical and physiological information are important in the assessment and treatment of complex bifurcation lesions.

Adequate knowledge of the strengths and weaknesses of IVUS and FFR are mandatory for proper interpretation of data per procedure.

Solutions for difficult side branch access

The SB access session was based on the concept that, in spite of the various advancements achieved in bifurcation PCI, effective guidewire placement in the side branch (SB) at the beginning of the intervention ("primary wiring") and eventually after stent implantation ("rewiring") are critical technical steps for successful procedures.

Regarding the management of primary wiring in "very complex" SB access, the possible need for "advanced" wiring techniques, additional tools to facilitate wire manipulations and "last resort" strategies have been discussed.

When the SB take-off angle is >90% and its stenosis is sub-occlusive, the task of primary wiring may be difficult and the conventional technique of antegrade wiring (by pushing the wire directly into the SB from proximal MV) may be unsuccessful⁶². A possible alternative is to use the "reverse wire technique". This technique was described by Kawasaki et al⁶³ several years ago, and is based on the preparation of the guidewire tip by creating two sharp curves: a longer proximal one which should create a loop in the distal MV and an opposite short distal one which should engage the SB ostium during the wire pullback **(Figure 4)**. To use this technique successfully, the operator should not only know how to shape and manipulate the wire, but also how to insert it properly into the guiding catheter.



Figure 4. Complex side branch wiring, the "reverse wire" technique. A) A Medina 0,0,1 bifurcation with an extreme angulation (150°) of the side branch. B) A guidewire with a hairpin bend at about 5 cm from its distal tip is advanced in the main vessel. C) When in the distal main vessel, the guidewire is pulled back toward the bifurcation. D) Owing to the straight bend, the distal tip of the guidewire engages the side branch. E) Gentle turning counterclockwise advances the guidewire in the side branch. Adapted from F. Burzotta et al. EuroIntervention. 2010;6:J72-J80.

Of note, since the ability to place the bent wire into the distal main vessel is the key point for successful reverse wiring technique⁶³, use of a dedicated single lumen microcatheter or a dual lumen microcatheter is recommended in order to navigate unfavourable main vessel anatomies.

Finally, when all the attempts to wire the SB successfully have failed due to the inability of placing the wire with an appropriate bend in the correct position due to plaque burden in the MV, the role of "last resort" strategies has been recognised⁶². They consist in the use of plaque modification techniques (ballooning or rotablation) in the MV axis in order to create more suitable anatomic condition for SB wiring. Among these, many of the participants agreed on the possible key role of rotablation in their practice.

Moving forward to the rewiring phase, the possible multiple advantages of the POT technique (post-dilation of the proximal segment of the MV) have been highlighted (**Figure 5**)³³. Indeed, POT may be extremely useful in procedures performed using various



Figure 5. Effect of the provisional approach and kissing inflation on strut distribution. Strut malapposition and stent underexpansion during stenting of the MV (A) and dilatation of the SB (B). Longitudinal and cross-sectional views show the asymmetrical distortion of the stent in the proximal MV after KB inflation (C). Final Proximal Inflation (FPI), e.g., final Proximal Optimisation Technique (POT) with a post-dilatation of the proximal part of the MV corrects for the stent distortion induced after KB while ensuring complete apposition of stent struts (D). Adapted from N. Foin et al. EuroIntervention 2011;7:597-604.

techniques, far more than simply providing better remodelling of the MV to allow the stent to fit in relation to its size in the proximal MV segment. In particular, the POT technique may facilitate SB access by minimising the risk of rewiring "under the stent" (due to better apposition of stent struts to proximal MV segment) and by facilitating SB rewiring and ballooning through the distal MV stent's side cells (due to MV stent strut protrusion and opening at SB ostium level).

Accordingly, the POT technique has been recognised as the first line technique when any trouble in SB rewiring or balloon advancement is faced. Moreover, it can also be considered as a possible essential step of the "simple" strategy.

If the rewiring of a severe SB stenosis is impossible despite all these recommendations, the jailed wire can be used to access the SB⁶⁴. The technique consists of using the jailed wire to dilate the occluded side branch. Initial dilatations are performed with a low profile, 1.25 mm diameter balloon catheter. A regular balloon is then inflated through the same wire to open the side branch, crushing the proximal part of the MV stent. At this point, a second stent is implanted at the side branch, finishing the procedure as an inverted crush stenting⁶⁴.

Conclusion

The EBC annual meeting remains concentrated and dedicated to a single topic. As such, it is able to bring together clinicians, engineers and physicists for detailed discussions. The consensus statements from the 7th EBC meeting reflect this unique opportunity.

Conflict of interest statement

All authors have read and agreed to the manuscript as written and have reported that they have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Capodanno D, Stone GW, Morice MC, Bass TA, Tamburino C. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary artery bypass graft surgery in left main coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical data. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;58: 1426-32.

2. Ferrante G, Presbitero P, Valgimigli M, Morice MC, Pagnotta P, Belli G, Corrada E, Onuma Y, Barlis P, Locca D, Eeckhout E, Di Mario C, Serruys PW. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus bypass surgery for left main coronary artery disease: a metaanalysis of randomised trials. *EuroIntervention*. 2011;7:738-46.

3. Buszman PE, Kiesz SR, Bochenek A, Peszek-Przybyla E, Szkrobka I, Debinski M, Bialkowska B, Dudek D, Gruszka A, Zurakowski A, Milewski K, Wilczynski M, Rzeszutko L, Buszman P, Szymszal J, Martin JL, Tendera M. Acute and late outcomes of unprotected left main stenting in comparison with surgical revascularization. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008;51:538-45.

4. Morice MC, Serruys PW, Kappetein AP, Feldman TE, Stahle E, Colombo A, Mack MJ, Holmes DR, Torracca L, van Es GA, Leadley K, Dawkins KD, Mohr F. Outcomes in patients with de novo left main disease treated with either percutaneous coronary intervention using paclitaxel-eluting stents or coronary artery bypass graft treatment in the Synergy Between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention with TAXUS and Cardiac Surgery (SYNTAX) trial. *Circulation.* 2010;121:2645-53.

5. Park SJ, Kim YH, Park DW, Yun SC, Ahn JM, Song HG, Lee JY, Kim WJ, Kang SJ, Lee SW, Lee CW, Park SW, Chung CH, Lee JW, Lim DS, Rha SW, Lee SG, Gwon HC, Kim HS, Chae IH, Jang Y, Jeong MH, Tahk SJ, Seung KB. Randomized trial of stents versus bypass surgery for left main coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364:1718-27.

6. Boudriot E, Thiele H, Walther T, Liebetrau C, Boeckstegers P, Pohl T, Reichart B, Mudra H, Beier F, Gansera B, Neumann FJ, Gick M, Zietak T, Desch S, Schuler G, Mohr FW. Randomized comparison of percutaneous coronary intervention with sirolimuseluting stents versus coronary artery bypass grafting in unprotected left main stem stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;57:538-45.

7. Chen SL, Santoso T, Zhang JJ, Ye F, Xu YW, Fu Q, Kan J, Paiboon C, Zhou Y, Ding SQ, Kwan TW. A Randomized Clinical Study Comparing Double Kissing Crush With Provisional Stenting for Treatment of Coronary Bifurcation Lesions Results From the DKCRUSH-II (Double Kissing Crush versus Provisional Stenting Technique for Treatment of Coronary Bifurcation Lesions) Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;57:914-20.

8. Chen SL, Zhang Y, Xu B, Ye F, Zhang J, Tian N, Liu Z, Qian X, Ding S, Li F, Zhang A, Liu Y, Lin S. Five-year clinical follow-up of unprotected left main bifurcation lesion stenting: one-stent versus two-stent techniques versus double-kissing crush technique. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;8:803-14.

9. Salvatella N, Morice MC, Darremont O, Tafflet M, Garot P, Leymarie JL, Chevalier B, Lefevre T, Louvard Y, Boudou N, Dumonteil N, Carrie D. Unprotected left main stenting with a second-generation drug-eluting stent: one-year outcomes of the LEMAX Pilot study. *EuroIntervention*. 2011;7:689-96.

10. Vaquerizo B, Lefevre T, Darremont O, Silvestri M, Louvard Y, Leymarie JL, Garot P, Routledge H, de Marco F, Unterseeh T, Zwahlen M, Morice MC. Unprotected left main stenting in the real world: two-year outcomes of the French left main taxus registry. *Circulation*. 2009;119:2349-56.

11. Palmerini T, Sangiorgi D, Marzocchi A, Tamburino C, Sheiban I, Margheri M, Vecchi G, Sangiorgi G, Ruffini M, Bartorelli AL, Briguori C, Vignali L, Di Pede F, Ramondo A, Inglese L, De Carlo M, Bolognese L, Benassi A, Palmieri C, Filippone V, Barlocco F, Lauria G, De Servi S. Ostial and mid-shaft lesions vs. bifurcation lesions in 1111 patients with unprotected left main coronary artery stenosis treated with drug-eluting stents: results of the survey from the Italian Society of Invasive Cardiology. *Eur Heart J.* 2009;30:2087-94.

12. Chen SL, Ye F, Zhang JJ, Liu ZZ, Lin S, Zhu ZS, Sun XW, Li F, Zhang AP, Chen JG, Ji QJ, Qian J, Chen F, Kwan TW. Distal left main coronary bifurcation lesions predict worse outcome in patients undergoing percutaneous implantation of drug-eluting stents: results from the Drug-Eluting Stent for the Treatment of Left Main Disease (DISTAL) Study. *Cardiology*. 2009;113:264-73.

13. Wijns W, Kolh P, Danchin N, Di Mario C, Falk V, Folliguet T, Garg S, Huber K, James S, Knuuti J, Lopez-Sendon J, Marco J, Menicanti L, Ostojic M, Piepoli MF, Pirlet C, Pomar JL, Reifart N, Ribichini FL, Schalij MJ, Sergeant P, Serruys PW, Silber S, Sousa Uva M, Taggart D. Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J.* 2010;31:2501-55.

14. Farooq V, Serruys PW, Stone GW, Virmani R, Chieffo A, Fajadet J. Left main coronary artery disease. In: Eeckhout E, Serruys PW, Wijns W, Vahanian A, Van Sambeek M, De Palma R, eds. Percutaneous Interventional Cardiovascular Medicine: The PCR-EAPCI Textbook: PCR Publishing, 2012:Ch. 3.12.

15. Tamburino C, Capranzano P, Capodanno D, Tagliareni F, Biondi-Zoccai G, Sanfilippo A, Caggegi A, Barrano G, Monaco S, Tomasello SD, La Manna A, Di Salvo M, Sheiban I. Plaque distribution patterns in distal left main coronary artery to predict outcomes after stent implantation. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;3:624-31.

16. Maehara A, Mintz GS, Castagna MT, Pichard AD, Satler LF, Waksman R, Laird JR, Jr., Suddath WO, Kent KM, Weissman NJ. Intravascular ultrasound assessment of the stenoses location and morphology in the left main coronary artery in relation to anatomic left main length. *Am J Cardiol.* 2001;88:1-4.

17. Oviedo C, Maehara A, Mintz GS, Araki H, Choi SY, Tsujita K, Kubo T, Doi H, Templin B, Lansky AJ, Dangas G, Leon MB, Mehran R, Tahk SJ, Stone GW, Ochiai M, Moses JW. Intravascular ultrasound classification of plaque distribution in left main coronary artery bifurcations: where is the plaque really located? *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;3:105-12.

18. Kang SJ, Mintz GS, Kim WJ, Lee JY, Oh JH, Park DW, Lee SW, Kim YH, Lee CW, Park SW, Park SJ. Changes in left main bifurcation geometry after a single-stent crossover technique: an intravascular ultrasound study using direct imaging of both the left anterior descending and the left circumflex coronary arteries before and after intervention. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;4:355-61.

19. Dvir D, Marom H, Assali A, Kornowski R. Bifurcation lesions in the coronary arteries: early experience with a novel 3-dimensional imaging and quantitative analysis before and after stenting. *EuroIntervention*. 2007;3:95-9.

20. Godino C, Al-Lamee R, La Rosa C, Morici N, Latib A, Ielasi A, Di Mario C, Sangiorgi GM, Colombo A. Coronary left main and non-left main bifurcation angles: how are the angles modified by different bifurcation stenting techniques? *J Interv Cardiol.* 2010;23:382-93.

21. Nakamura S, Nakamura S, Ogawa H, Bae J, Cahyadi Y, Udayachalerm W, Tresukosol D, Tansuphaswadikul S. Comparison of 5 Years Clinical Outcome of Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation in High-Angled (\geq 70°) Bifurcation and Lower-Angled (\leq 70°) Bifurcation Lesion of Unprotected Left Main Coronary Arteries *Circulation*. 2012;126:A14367.

22. Girasis C, Serruys PW, Onuma Y, Colombo A, Holmes DR Jr, Feldman TE, Bass EJ, Leadley K, Dawkins KD, Morice MC. 3-Dimensional bifurcation angle analysis in patients with left main disease: a substudy of the SYNTAX trial (SYNergy Between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention with TAXus and Cardiac Surgery). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;3:41-8.

23. Chen SL, Zhang JJ, Ye F, Chen YD, Fang WY, Wei M, He B, Sun XW, Yang S, Chen JG, Shan SJ, Tian NL, Li XB, Liu ZZ, Kan J, Michael L, W KT. Effect of coronary bifurcation angle on clinical outcomes in Chinese patients treated with crush stenting: a subgroup analysis from DKCRUSH-1 bifurcation study. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2009;122:396-402.

24. Dzavik V, Kharbanda R, Ivanov J, Ing DJ, Bui S, Mackie K, Ramsamujh R, Barolet A, Schwartz L, Seidelin PH. Predictors of long-term outcome after crush stenting of coronary bifurcation lesions: importance of the bifurcation angle. *Am Heart J*. 2006;152:762-9.

25. Kang SJ, Lee JY, Ahn JM, Song HG, Kim WJ, Park DW, Yun SC, Lee SW, Kim YH, Mintz GS, Lee CW, Park SW, Park SJ. Intravascular ultrasound-derived predictors for fractional flow reserve in intermediate left main disease. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;4:1168-74.

26. Kang SJ, Ahn JM, Song H, Kim WJ, Lee JY, Park DW, Yun SC, Lee SW, Kim YH, Lee CW, Mintz GS, Park SW, Park SJ. Comprehensive intravascular ultrasound assessment of stent area and its impact on restenosis and adverse cardiac events in 403 patients with unprotected left main disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;4:562-9.

27. Park SJ, Kim YH, Park DW, Lee SW, Kim WJ, Suh J, Yun SC, Lee CW, Hong MK, Lee JH, Park SW. Impact of intravascular ultrasound guidance on long-term mortality in stenting for unprotected left main coronary artery stenosis. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2009;2:167-77.

28. Fujii K, Carlier SG, Mintz GS, Yang YM, Moussa I, Weisz G, Dangas G, Mehran R, Lansky AJ, Kreps EM, Collins M, Stone GW, Moses JW, Leon MB. Stent underexpansion and residual reference segment stenosis are related to stent thrombosis after sirolimuseluting stent implantation: an intravascular ultrasound study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2005;45:995-8.

29. Liu X, Doi H, Maehara A, Mintz GS, Costa Jde R, Jr., Sano K, Weisz G, Dangas GD, Lansky AJ, Kreps EM, Collins M, Fahy M, Stone GW, Moses JW, Leon MB, Mehran R. A volumetric intravascular ultrasound comparison of early drug-eluting stent thrombosis versus restenosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2009;2:428-34.

30. Okabe T, Mintz GS, Buch AN, Roy P, Hong YJ, Smith KA, Torguson R, Gevorkian N, Xue Z, Satler LF, Kent KM, Pichard AD, Weissman NJ, Waksman R. Intravascular ultrasound parameters associated with stent thrombosis after drug-eluting stent deployment. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;100:615-20.

31. Park SJ, Park DW. Left main stenting: is it a different animal? *EuroIntervention*. 2010;6 Suppl J:J112-7.

32. Grundeken MJ, Stella PR, Wykrzykowska JJ. Why the provisional single-stent approach is not always the right strategy; arguments for the development of dedicated bifurcation devices. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;7:1249-53.

33. Louvard Y, Lefevre T, Morice MC. Bifurcation lesions. In: Eeckhout E, Serruys PW, Wijns W, Vahanian A, Van Sambeek M, De Palma R, eds. Percutaneous Interventional Cardiovascular Medicine: The PCR-EAPCI Textbook. PCR Publishing, 2012:Ch. 3.10.

34. Mortier P, De Beule M, Dubini G, Hikichi Y, Murasato Y, Ormiston JA. Coronary bifurcation stenting: insights from in vitro and virtual bench testing. *EuroIntervention*. 2010;6 Suppl J:J53-60.

35. Kubo T, Imanishi T, Takarada S, Kuroi A, Ueno S, Yamano T, Tanimoto T, Matsuo Y, Masho T, Kitabata H, Tsuda K, Tomobuchi Y, Akasaka T. Assessment of culprit lesion morphology in acute myocardial infarction: ability of optical coherence tomography compared with intravascular ultrasound and coronary angioscopy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007;50:933-9.

36. Huo Y, Finet G, Lefevre T, Louvard Y, Moussa I, Kassab GS. Optimal diameter of diseased bifurcation segment: a practical rule for percutaneous coronary intervention. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;7:1310-6.

37. Kim JS, Hong MK, Ko YG, Choi D, Yoon JH, Choi SH, Hahn JY, Gwon HC, Jeong MH, Kim HS, Seong IW, Yang JY, Rha SW, Tahk SJ, Seung KB, Park SJ, Jang Y. Impact of intravascular ultrasound guidance on long-term clinical outcomes in patients treated with drug-eluting stent for bifurcation lesions: data from a Korean multicenter bifurcation registry. *Am Heart J.* 2011;161:180-7.

38. Zhang Y, Farooq V, Garcia-Garcia HM, Bourantas CV, Tian N, Dong S, Li M, Yang S, Serruys PW, Chen SL. Comparison of intravascular ultrasound versus angiography-guided drug-eluting stent implantation: a meta-analysis of one randomised trial and ten observational studies involving 19,619 patients. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;8:855-65.

39. Park KW, Kang SH, Yang HM, Lee HY, Kang HJ, Cho YS, Youn TJ, Koo BK, Chae IH, Kim HS. Impact of intravascular ultrasound guidance in routine percutaneous coronary intervention for conventional lesions: data from the EXCELLENT trial. *Int J Cardiol.* 2012 Apr 3. [Epub ahead of print].

40. Hou J, Qi H, Zhang M, Ma L, Liu H, Han Z, Meng L, Yang S, Zhang S, Yu B, Jang IK. Development of lipid-rich plaque inside bare metal stent: possible mechanism of late stent thrombosis? An optical coherence tomography study. *Heart.* 2010;96:1187-90.

41. Takano M, Yamamoto M, Inami S, Murakami D, Ohba T, Seino Y, Mizuno K. Appearance of lipid-laden intima and neovascularization after implantation of bare-metal stents extended latephase observation by intracoronary optical coherence tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2009;55:26-32.

42. Lee CW, Kang SJ, Park DW, Lee SH, Kim YH, Kim JJ, Park SW, Mintz GS, Park SJ. Intravascular ultrasound findings in patients with very late stent thrombosis after either drug-eluting or bare-metal stent implantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;55:1936-42.

43. Nakazawa G, Otsuka F, Nakano M, Vorpahl M, Yazdani SK, Ladich E, Kolodgie FD, Finn AV, Virmani R. The pathology of neoatherosclerosis in human coronary implants bare-metal and drug-eluting stents. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;57:1314-22.

44. Di Mario C, Iakovou I, van der Giessen WJ, Foin N, Adrianssens T, Tyczynski P, Ghilencea L, Viceconte N, Lindsay AC. Optical coherence tomography for guidance in bifurcation lesion treatment. *EuroIntervention*. 2010;6 Suppl J:J99-J106.

45. Okamura T, Onuma Y, Garcia-Garcia HM, Bruining N, Serruys PW. High-speed intracoronary optical frequency domain imaging: implications for three-dimensional reconstruction and quantitative analysis. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;7:1216-26.

46. Farooq V, Gogas BD, Okamura T, Heo JH, Magro M, Gomez-Lara J, Onuma Y, Radu MD, Brugaletta S, van Bochove G, van Geuns RJ, Garcia-Garcia HM, Serruys PW. Three-dimensional optical frequency domain imaging in conventional percutaneous coronary intervention: the potential for clinical application. *Eur Heart J.* 2013;34:875-85.

47. Farooq V, Serruys PW, Heo JH, Gogas BD, Okamura T, Gomez-Lara J, Brugaletta S, Garcia-Garcia HM, van Geuns RJ. New insights into the coronary artery bifurcation hypothesis-generating concepts utilizing 3-dimensional optical frequency domain imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;4:921-31.

48. Dubois C, Adriaenssens T, Ughi G, Wiyono S, Bennett J, Coosemans M, Ferdinande B, Sinnaeve P, D'Hooge J, Desmet W. Healing responses after bifurcation stenting with the dedicated TRYTON Side-Branch Stent[™] in combination with XIENCE-V[™] stents: a clinical, angiography, fractional flow reserve, and optical coherence tomography study: the PYTON (Prospective evaluation of the TRYTON Side-Branch Stent[™] with an additional XIENCE-v[™] everolimus-eluting stent in coronary bifurcation lesions) study. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013;81:E155-64.

49. Gutierrez-Chico JL, Regar E, Nuesch E, Okamura T, Wykrzykowska J, di Mario C, Windecker S, van Es GA, Gobbens P, Juni P, Serruys PW. Delayed coverage in malapposed and sidebranch struts with respect to well-apposed struts in drug-eluting stents: in vivo assessment with optical coherence tomography. *Circulation*. 2011;124:612-23.

50. Alegria-Barrero E, Foin N, Chan PH, Syrseloudis D, Lindsay AC, Dimopolous K, Alonso-Gonzalez R, Viceconte N, De Silva R, Di Mario C. Optical coherence tomography for guidance of distal cell recrossing in bifurcation stenting: choosing the right cell matters. *EuroIntervention.* 2012;8:205-13.

51. Okamura T, Yamada J, Nao T, Suetomi T, Maeda T, Shiraishi K, Miura T, Matsuzaki M. Three-dimensional optical coherence tomography assessment of coronary wire re-crossing position during bifurcation stenting. *EuroIntervention*. 2011;7:886-7.

52. Tearney GJ, Regar E, Akasaka T, Adriaenssens T, Barlis P, Bezerra HG, Bouma B, Bruining N, Cho JM, Chowdhary S, Costa MA, de Silva R, Dijkstra J, Di Mario C, Dudeck D, Falk E, Feldman MD, Fitzgerald P, Garcia H, Gonzalo N, Granada JF, Guagliumi G, Holm NR, Honda Y, Ikeno F, Kawasaki M, Kochman J, Koltowski L, Kubo T, Kume T, Kyono H, Lam CC, Lamouche G, Lee DP, Leon MB, Maehara A, Manfrini O, Mintz GS, Mizuno K, Morel MA, Nadkarni S, Okura H, Otake H, Pietrasik A, Prati F, Raber L, Radu MD, Rieber J, Riga M, Rollins A, Rosenberg M, Sirbu V, Serruys PW, Shimada K, Shinke T, Shite J, Siegel E, Sonada S, Suter M, Takarada S, Tanaka A, Terashima M, Troels T, Uemura S, Ughi GJ, van Beusekom HM, van der Steen AF, van Es GA, van Soest G, Virmani R, Waxman S, Weissman NJ, Weisz G. Consensus standards for acquisition, measurement, and reporting of intravascular optical coherence tomography studies: a report from the International Working Group for Intravascular Optical Coherence Tomography Standardization and Validation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;59:1058-72.

53. Girasis C, Onuma Y, Schuurbiers JC, Morel MA, van Es GA, van Geuns RJ, Wentzel JJ, Serruys PW. Validity and variability in visual assessment of stenosis severity in phantom bifurcation lesions: a survey in experts during the fifth meeting of the European Bifurcation Club. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012;79:361-8.

54. Girasis C, Schuurbiers JC, Onuma Y, Aben JP, Weijers B, Morel MA, Wentzel JJ, Serruys PW. Advances in two-dimensional quantitative coronary angiographic assessment of bifurcation lesions: improved small lumen diameter detection and automatic reference vessel diameter derivation. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;7:1326-35.

55. Kim SH, Kim YH, Kang SJ, Park DW, Lee SW, Lee CW, Hong MK, Cheong SS, Kim JJ, Park SW, Park SJ. Long-term out-

comes of intravascular ultrasound-guided stenting in coronary bifurcation lesions. *Am J Cardiol.* 2010;106:612-8.

56. Koh JS, Koo BK, Kim JH, Yang HM, Park KW, Kang HJ, Kim HS, Oh BH, Park YB. Relationship between fractional flow reserve and angiographic and intravascular ultrasound parameters in ostial lesions: major epicardial vessel versus side branch ostial lesions. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012;5:409-15.

57. Suarez de Lezo J, Medina A, Martin P, Novoa J, Pan M, Caballero E, Melian F, Mazuelos F, Quevedo V. Predictors of ostial side branch damage during provisional stenting of coronary bifurcation lesions not involving the side branch origin: an ultrasonographic study. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;7:1147-54.

58. Koo BK, Waseda K, Kang HJ, Kim HS, Nam CW, Hur SH, Kim JS, Choi D, Jang Y, Hahn JY, Gwon HC, Yoon MH, Tahk SJ, Chung WY, Cho YS, Choi DJ, Hasegawa T, Kataoka T, Oh SJ, Honda Y, Fitzgerald PJ, Fearon WF. Anatomic and functional evaluation of bifurcation lesions undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;3:113-9.

59. Ahn JM, Lee JY, Kang SJ, Kim YH, Song HG, Oh JH, Park JS, Kim WJ, Lee SW, Lee CW, Kim JJ, Park SW, Park SJ. Functional assessment of jailed side branches in coronary bifurcation lesions using fractional flow reserve. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012;5:155-61.

60. Kumsars I, Narbute I, Thuesen L, Niemela M, Steigen TK, Kervinen K, Sondore D, Holm NR, Lassen JF, Christiansen EH, Maeng M, Jegere S, Juhnevica D, Erglis A. Side branch fractional flow reserve measurements after main vessel stenting: a Nordic-Baltic Bifurcation Study III substudy. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;7:1155-61.

61. Koo BK, Erglis A, Doh JH, Daniels DV, Jegere S, Kim HS, Dunning A, DeFrance T, Lansky A, Leipsic J, Min JK. Diagnosis of ischemia-causing coronary stenoses by noninvasive fractional flow reserve computed from coronary computed tomographic angiograms. Results from the prospective multicenter DISCOVER-FLOW (Diagnosis of Ischemia-Causing Stenoses Obtained Via Noninvasive Fractional Flow Reserve) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;58:1989-97.

62. Burzotta F, De Vita M, Sgueglia G, Todaro D, Trani C. How to solve difficult side branch access? *EuroIntervention*. 2010;6 Suppl J:J72-80.

63. Kawasaki T, Koga H, Serikawa T. New bifurcation guidewire technique: a reversed guidewire technique for extremely angulated bifurcation--a case report. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2008;71:73-6.

64. Pan M, Romero M, Ojeda S, Segura J, Mazuelos F, Suarez de Lezo J, Medina A. Inverted crush technique for uncrossable side branch occlusion during provisional side branch stenting: a new role for the jailed wire. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2011;64:718-22.