

Concerns about left atrial appendage occlusion



Claudia Stöllberger^{1*}, MD; Birke Schneider², MD

1. Krankenanstalt Rudolfstiftung, Vienna, Austria; 2. Sana Kliniken Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany

We read with great interest the article by Korsholm et al about transcatheter left atrial appendage occlusion (LAAO) in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) and a high bleeding risk using aspirin (ASA) alone for post-implant antithrombotic therapy¹. The authors conclude from their findings that LAAO with the AMPLATZER™ Cardiac Plug (ACP) or Amulet™ device (both St. Jude Medical, St. Paul, MN, USA) was safely performed with ASA monotherapy after implantation without an increased risk of device-related thrombosis or stroke. We have the following questions and concerns.

How do the authors explain the high mortality of the patients included in their study? Mortality is much higher than in other studies reporting on LAAO (**Table 1**) despite similar CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores²⁻⁴. It would be of great interest to know how long the estimated life expectancy was when the patients underwent LAAO.

Although the authors indicate that “none of the 20 deaths was procedure- or device-related”, it is important to know the exact cause of death. The left atrial appendage (LAA) is a structure for release of atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP). In normal human hearts, ANP concentration is 40-fold higher in the LAA than in the rest of the atrial free wall and in the ventricular endocardium. Since natriuretic peptides play an important role in fluid regulation, volume homeostasis, and thirst perception, LAA elimination may impede physiologic regulation of heart failure and thirst perception. A decrease of ANP- and BNP-serum levels after LAAO has been described in small cohorts^{5,6}. Furthermore, dysregulation of ANP and BNP has been associated with obesity, glucose intolerance, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and essential hypertension. Moreover, natriuretic peptides have been implicated in protection against atherosclerosis,

Table 1. Studies about transcatheter left atrial appendage occlusion.

Author, year	Device	Age, years	Patient-years	CHADS ₂	CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc	Deaths/100 patient-years
Korsholm, 2017	ACP, Amulet	73	266	NI	4.4	7.5
Reddy, 2014	WATCHMAN	72	1,720	2.2	NI	3.2
Reddy, 2013	WATCHMAN	73	177	2.8	4.4	5.0
Tzikas, 2016	ACP	75	1,349	2.8	4.5	4.2

(WATCHMAN™ Device; Boston Scientific Corp., Marlborough, MA, USA)

*Corresponding author: Steingasse 31/18, A-1030 Wien, Austria.

E-mail: claudia.stoellberger@chello.at

thrombosis, and myocardial ischaemia⁷. Thus, some of these secondary effects might possibly explain the high mortality.

Although follow-up investigations by transoesophageal echocardiography and CT had been carried out in the majority of the study patients, astonishingly no information is given about the rate of leaks between the LAA wall and the devices. The LAA myocardium has a higher distensibility than the left atrial myocardium. Progressive dilation of the LAA is observed in AF and thereby leakage of an initially completely closed LAA may occur⁸. After surgical LAAO, leaks are associated with thromboembolic events⁹. Since it is unknown how many “late leaks” develop after interventional LAAO and whether they are clinically relevant, imaging studies later than 12 months post implantation should be implemented.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Korsholm K, Nielsen KM, Jensen JM, Jensen HK, Andersen G, Nielsen-Kudsk JE. Transcatheter left atrial appendage occlusion in patients with atrial fibrillation and a high bleeding risk using aspirin alone for post-implant antithrombotic therapy. *EuroIntervention*. 2017;12:2075-82.
2. Reddy VY, Sievert H, Halperin J, Doshi SK, Buchbinder M, Neuzil P, Huber K, Whisenant B, Kar S, Swarup V, Gordon N, Holmes D; PROTECT AF Steering Committee and Investigators. Percutaneous left atrial appendage closure vs warfarin for atrial fibrillation: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;312:1988-98.
3. Reddy VY, Möbius-Winkler S, Miller MA, Neuzil P, Schuler G, Wiebe J, Sick P, Sievert H. Left atrial appendage closure with the Watchman device in patients with a contraindication for oral anticoagulation: the ASAP study (ASA Plavix Feasibility Study With Watchman Left Atrial Appendage Closure Technology). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:2551-6.
4. Tzikas A, Shakir S, Gafoor S, Omran H, Berti S, Santoro G, Kefer J, Landmesser U, Nielsen-Kudsk JE, Cruz-Gonzalez I, Sievert H, Tichelbäcker T, Kanagaratnam P, Nietlispach F, Aminian A, Kasch F, Freixa X, Danna P, Rezzaghi M, Vermeersch P, Stock F, Stolcova M, Costa M, Ibrahim R, Schillinger W, Meier B, Park JW. Left atrial appendage occlusion for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: multicentre experience with the AMPLATZER Cardiac Plug. *EuroIntervention*. 2016;11:1170-9.
5. Cruz-Gonzalez I, Palazuelos Molinero J, Valenzuela M, Rada I, Perez-Rivera JA, Arribas Jimenez A, Gabella T, Prieto AB, Martín Polo J, Sánchez PL. Brain natriuretic peptide levels variation after left atrial appendage occlusion. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;87:E39-43.
6. Majunke N, Sandri M, Adams V, Daehnert I, Mangner N, Schuler G, Moebius-Winkler S. Atrial and Brain Natriuretic Peptide Secretion After Percutaneous Closure of the Left Atrial Appendage With the Watchman Device. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2015;27:448-52.
7. Zois NE, Bartels ED, Hunter I, Kousholt BS, Olsen LH, Goetze JP. Natriuretic peptides in cardiometabolic regulation and disease. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2014;11:403-12.
8. Stöllberger C, Schneider B, Finsterer J. Elimination of the left atrial appendage to prevent stroke or embolism? Anatomic, physiologic, and pathophysiologic considerations. *Chest*. 2003;124:2356-62.
9. Aryana A, Singh SK, Singh SM, O'Neill PG, Bowers MR, Allen SL, Lewandowski SL, Vierra EC, d'Avila A. Association between incomplete surgical ligation of left atrial appendage and stroke and systemic embolization. *Heart Rhythm*. 2015;12:1431-7.