Cardiocerebral continuum in cognitive function after transcatheter aortic valve implantation



Rodrigo Bagur^{1*}, MD, PhD; Luciano A. Sposato², MD, MBA

1. Interventional Cardiology, Division of Cardiology, London Health Sciences Centre, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada; 2. Department of Clinical Neurological Sciences; Stroke, Dementia & Heart Disease Laboratory; London Health Sciences Centre; Kathleen and Dr. Henry Barnett Chair in Stroke Research; Western University, London, Ontario, Canada

The prevalence of aortic stenosis (AS) and cognitive impairment increases with age^{1,2}. In the context of a rapidly ageing population³, the number of individuals living with severe AS and dementia is expected to increase worldwide. Cognitive decline is indeed common among individuals with AS^{4,5}. Whether this is due to decreased cardiac output (CO)⁶, shared risk factors for atherosclerosis⁷, or a more complex "cardiocerebral continuum", deserves further discussion.

The uptake of transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is rapidly and continuously increasing⁸. Despite the known efficacy of TAVI on hard outcomes such as death, and stroke⁹, the association with other patient-important outcomes such as cognitive impairment remains unknown. Understanding the association between TAVI and longitudinal changes in cognition is important, and therefore also the inherent age-associated risk of incident cognitive decline and dementia².

In this issue of EuroIntervention, Tsuchiya et al¹⁰ report the results of a small observational study evaluating changes in cognitive function, CO, and cerebral blood flow (CBF) before and after TAVI.

Article, see page 1580

The study included 15 elderly patients (mean age 83.2±4.5 years) with severe AS. They used the Logical Memory II (LM-II) test and the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) in order to ascertain cognitive changes at three months after TAVI relative to baseline

testing performed before the procedure. The Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) was used to assess depression. They quantified CO by echocardiography and CBF by means of single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) at the same time points as cognitive assessment. This study has three main findings. First, while mean LM-II was higher at three months after TAVI relative to its baseline assessment (8.7 ± 6.0 vs 13.8 ± 8.1 , p<0.01), there were no differences in mean MMSE or GDS scores. Second, despite no differences in overall CBF before and after TAVI, there was an increase in right hippocampal CBF from 51.2 ± 1.0 to 53.3 ± 1.0 ml/100 g/min (p<0.001) at three months after TAVI. All patients with MMSE <24 showed increases in CBF. Third, although there were no differences in CO before and after TAVI in the whole cohort, the group of patients with increased CO after TAVI showed increased CBF within the right hypothalamus.

The study of Tsuchiya et al¹⁰ is innovative from the perspective of concurrently evaluating changes in cognitive performance, CO, and CBF after TAVI. However, given the limitations of this study, its results should be interpreted with caution and considered as hypothesis-generating; thus, future studies for further validation are required. Of note, the design and combination of statistical tests are underpowered for detecting hypothetical effect sizes in cognition, CO, and CBF after TAVI. Second, none of the

*Corresponding author: Division of Cardiology, University Hospital, London Health Sciences Centre, 339 Windermere Road, London, ON N6A 5A5, Canada. E-mail: rodrigobagur@yahoo.com

analyses was adjusted for confounders with the potential to alter outcomes such as educational level and depression for cognition, blood pressure for CBF, and history of coronary artery disease and left ventricular ejection fraction for CO. Third, this is not a randomised controlled trial; hence, actual or potential causal associations between improvements in cognitive functioning and increases in CO and CBF after TAVI remain unknown. Fourth, assessing cognition is challenging, since results may differ across cognitive batteries¹¹. More specifically, the LM-II is a measure of delayed recall and is therefore more sensitive for detecting cognitive impairment in patients with degenerative dementias (e.g., Alzheimer's disease), rather than for vascular types, in which executive functions are more frequently impaired. Similarly, the MMSE has poor sensitivity for detecting executive and attentional deficits typical of dementias with a vascular component^{12,13}. Another specific and important problem of the LM-II is that it is very susceptible to learning effect¹⁴. Finally, depression is a treatable cause of poor cognitive performance and a usual confounder when testing cognitive functioning¹⁵. While the authors used the GDS for assessing depression, it is not clear how many patients with MMSE <24 had low scores because of being depressed.

THE CARDIOCEREBRAL CONTINUUM

Severe AS is associated with increased arterial stiffness^{4,16}. This plays a major role on the cerebral vascular system, and it prompts microvascular ischaemia, structural changes and remodelling, leading to vascular ageing and subsequent cognitive impairment^{12,17-19}. Neurocognitive decline in individuals with AS^{4,5} further highlights

the cardiocerebral linkage. It is important to point out that cognitive tests differ substantially across TAVI studies²⁰. Moreover, Lazar and colleagues⁵ showed that a significant proportion of older patients undergoing TAVI had impaired cognitive function before the procedure. A recent meta-analysis²¹ showed no differences in cognitive outcomes before and after TAVI. Interestingly, there was only an initial improvement at 30 days; however, there were no differences at 3, 6 and 12 to 34 months after TAVI²¹. Notably, the lack of individual patient data in the meta-analysis precludes the assessment of pre-existing cognition and functional status; hence, the unchanged cognitive status after TAVI may not necessarily imply stability at an individual patient level.

While TAVI may be associated with improved CBF, it has also been associated with silent ischaemic lesions in two thirds of the cases²⁰. Importantly, studies before the TAVI era have shown that silent brain infarcts are strongly associated with cognitive impairment and dementia^{22,23}; thus, TAVI could be regarded as a potential cause for worsening pre-existing conditions, although this is still under debate²⁴. In this regard, cerebral embolic protection devices may have an important role^{25,26}. Nonetheless, the Neurologic Academic Research Consortium (NeuroARC) agrees with the lack of a conclusive association between acute procedure-related subclinical brain lesions and long-term neurological or cognitive outcomes²⁷. In addition, the detection of neurological or cognitive sequelae depends on the nature, sensitivity, and timing of assessments²⁷. Proposed underlying mechanisms of the "cardiocerebral continuum" potentially affecting cognitive outcomes in patients undergoing TAVI are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Cardiocerebral continuum in cognitive function after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI).

In summary, whether cognitive function is affected by TAVI remains unknown; certainly, a systematic neurological assessment may help to elucidate this question. Randomised clinical trials including the whole range of preprocedural risks and ages would be necessary to address this important knowledge gap.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Eveborn GW, Schirmer H, Heggelund G, Lunde P, Rasmussen K. The evolving epidemiology of valvular aortic stenosis. the Tromsø study. *Heart.* 2013; 99:396-400.

2. Prince M, Bryce R, Albanese E, Wimo A, Ribeiro W, Ferri CP. The global prevalence of dementia: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2013;9:63-75.

3. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2019). World Population Prospects 2019: Highlights. ST/ESA/ SER.A/423. Available at: https://population.un.org/wpp/Publications/Files/ WPP2019_Highlights.pdf. Last accessed on 10 March 2020.

4. Kidher E, Harling L, Sugden C, Ashrafian H, Casula R, Evans P, Nihoyannopoulos P, Athanasiou T. Aortic stiffness is an indicator of cognitive dysfunction before and after aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2014;19:595-604.

5. Lazar RM, Pavol MA, Bormann T, Dwyer MG, Kraemer C, White R, Zivadinov R, Wertheimer JC, Thöne-Otto A, Ravdin LD, Naugle R, Mechanic-Hamilton D, Garmoe WS, Stringer AY, Bender HA, Kapadia SR, Kodali S, Ghanem A, Linke A, Mehran R, Virmani R, Nazif T, Parhizgar A, Leon MB. Neurocognition and Cerebral Lesion Burden in High-Risk Patients Before Undergoing Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement: Insights From the SENTINEL Trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2018;11:384-92.

6. Jefferson AL, Beiser AS, Himali JJ, Seshadri S, O'Donnell CJ, Manning WJ, Wolf PA, Au R, Benjamin EJ. Low cardiac index is associated with incident dementia and Alzheimer disease: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2015;131:1333-9.

7. Otto CM. Calcific aortic stenosis--time to look more closely at the valve. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359:1395-8.

8. Durko AP, Osnabrugge RL, Van Mieghem NM, Milojevic M, Mylotte D, Nkomo VT, Pieter Kappetein A. Annual number of candidates for transcatheter aortic valve implantation per country: current estimates and future projections. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39:2635-42.

9. Siontis GCM, Overtchouk P, Cahill TJ, Modine T, Prendergast B, Praz F, Pilgrim T, Petrinic T, Nikolakopoulou A, Salanti G, Sondergaard L, Verma S, Jüni P, Windecker S. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation vs. surgical aortic valve replacement for treatment of symptomatic severe aortic stenosis: an updated meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40:3143-53.

10. Tsuchiya S, Matsumoto Y, Suzuki H, Takanami K, Kikuchi Y, Takahashi J, Miyata S, Tomita N, Kumagai K, Taki Y, Saiki Y, Arai H, Shimokawa H. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation and cognitive function in elderly patients with severe aortic stenosis. *EuroIntervention*. 2020;15:e1580-7.

11. Erkinjuntti T, Ostbye T, Steenhuis R, Hachinski V. The effect of different diagnostic criteria on the prevalence of dementia. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;337: 1667-74.

12. Gorelick PB, Scuteri A, Black SE, Decarli C, Greenberg SM, Iadecola C, Launer LJ, Laurent S, Lopez OL, Nyenhuis D, Petersen RC, Schneider JA, Tzourio C, Arnett DK, Bennett DA, Chui HC, Higashida RT, Lindquist R, Nilsson PM, Roman GC, Sellke FW, Seshadri S; American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention, and Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia. Vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia: a statement for healthcare professionals from the american heart association/american stroke association. *Stroke*. 2011;42:2672-713.

13. Mai LM, Sposato LA, Rothwell PM, Hachinski V, Pendlebury ST. A comparison between the MoCA and the MMSE visuoexecutive sub-tests in detecting abnormalities in TIA/stroke patients. *Int J Stroke*. 2016;11:420-4.

14. Schnabel R. Overcoming the challenge of re-assessing logical memory. *Clin Neuropsychol.* 2012;26:102-15.

15. Atique-Ur-Rehman H, Neill JC. Cognitive dysfunction in major depression: From assessment to novel therapies. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2019;202:53-71.

16. Chirinos JA, Akers SR, Schelbert E, Snyder BS, Witschey WR, Jacob RM, Jamis-Dow C, Ansari B, Lee J, Segers P, Schnall M, Cavalcante JL. Arterial Properties as Determinants of Left Ventricular Mass and Fibrosis in Severe Aortic Stenosis: Findings From ACRIN PA 4008. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2019;8: e03742.

17. Mitchell GF, van Buchem MA, Sigurdsson S, Gotal JD, Jonsdottir MK, Kjartansson O, Garcia M, Aspelund T, Harris TB, Gudnason V, Launer LJ. Arterial stiffness, pressure and flow pulsatility and brain structure and function: the Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility--Reykjavik study. *Brain.* 2011;134:3398-407.

18. Lin CH, Cheng HM, Chuang SY, Chen CH. Vascular Aging and Cognitive Dysfunction: Silent Midlife Crisis in the Brain. *Pulse (Basel)*. 2018;5:127-32.

19. Alvarez-Bueno C, Cunha PG, Martinez-Vizcaino V, Pozuelo-Carrascosa DP, Visier-Alfonso ME, Jimenez-Lopez E, Cavero-Redondo I. Arterial Stiffness and Cognition Among Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational and Longitudinal Studies. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2020;9:e014621.

20. Bagur R, Solo K, Alghofaili S, Nombela-Franco L, Kwok CS, Hayman S, Siemieniuk RA, Foroutan F, Spencer FA, Vandvik PO, Schäufele TG, Mamas MA. Cerebral Embolic Protection Devices During Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Stroke*. 2017;48: 1306-15.

21. Khan MM, Herrmann N, Gallagher D, Gandell D, Fremes SE, Wijeysundera HC, Radhakrishnan S, Sun YR, Lanctot KL. Cognitive Outcomes After Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: A Metaanalysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2018;66:254-62.

22. Vermeer SE, Prins ND, den Heijer T, Hofman A, Koudstaal PJ, Breteler MM. Silent brain infarcts and the risk of dementia and cognitive decline. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348:1215-22.

23. Smith EE, Schneider JA, Wardlaw JM, Greenberg SM. Cerebral microinfarcts: the invisible lesions. *Lancet Neurol.* 2012;11:272-82.

24. Teitelbaum M, Kotronias RA, Sposato LA, Bagur R. Cerebral Embolic Protection in TAVI: Friend or Foe. *Interv Cardiol.* 2019;14:22-5.

25. Baumbach A, Mullen M, Brickman AM, Aggarwal SK, Pietras CG, Forrest JK, Hildick-Smith D, Meller SM, Gambone L, den Heijer P, Margolis P, Voros S, Lansky AJ. Safety and performance of a novel embolic deflection device in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement: results from the DEFLECT I study. *EuroIntervention*. 2015;11:75-84.

26. Van Mieghem NM, van Gils L, Ahmad H, van Kesteren F, van der Werf HW, Brueren G, Storm M, Lenzen M, Daemen J, van den Heuvel AF, Tonino P, Baan J, Koudstaal PJ, Schipper ME, van der Lugt A, de Jaegere PP. Filter-based cerebral embolic protection with transcatheter aortic valve implantation: the randomised MISTRAL-C trial. *EuroIntervention*. 2016;12:499-507.

27. Lansky AJ, Messé SR, Brickman AM, Dwyer M, van der Worp HB, Lazar RM, Pietras CG, Abrams KJ, McFadden E, Petersen NH, Browndyke J, Prendergast B, Ng VG, Cutlip DE, Kapadia S, Krucoff MW, Linke A, Moy CS, Schofer J, van Es GA, Virmani R, Popma J, Parides MK, Kodali S, Bilello M, Zivadinov R, Akar J, Furie KL, Gress D, Voros S, Moses J, Greer D, Forrest JK, Holmes D, Kappetein AP, Mack M, Baumbach A. Proposed Standardized Neurological Endpoints for Cardiovascular Clinical Trials: An Academic Research Consortium Initiative. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69:679-91.