CAD in TAVI patients: relevance of disease complexity



Giulio G. Stefanini*, MD, PhD; Cristina Panico, MD

Cardiovascular Department, Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano, Milan, Italy

Coronary artery disease (CAD) and aortic stenosis (AS) share a number of risk factors and pathogenetic pathways underlying their frequent coexistence¹. Indeed, CAD is present in a large number of patients with severe AS requiring valve replacement^{2,3}.

The presence of CAD has been consistently associated with impaired clinical outcomes after surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR)⁴. Accordingly, current guidelines recommend coronary revascularisation of all significant stenoses in patients undergoing SAVR⁵. Conversely, the prognostic implications of CAD in patients with severe AS undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) are subject to ongoing debate. TAVI patients are frequently elderly with relevant comorbidities beyond CAD, such as diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, pulmonary hypertension, and heart failure⁶. In view of this high baseline risk profile, it is debated whether the presence of CAD has any impact on clinical outcomes or whether the severe valvular heart disease and the extent of comorbid conditions make any prognostic impact of myocardial ischaemia ignorable in patients undergoing TAVI. Several authors have investigated the effect of CAD on clinical outcomes after TAVI with conflicting results^{7,8}. However, the extent and complexity of CAD in patients undergoing TAVI is very heterogeneous, ranging from simple single-vessel to complex multivessel disease. It is therefore reasonable to hypothesise

that the prognostic impact of CAD after TAVI may vary based on the anatomic extent and complexity of the disease.

In this issue of the journal, Khawaja and colleagues⁹ report the findings of a retrospective, single-centre, observational investigation on the effect of CAD on outcomes in 271 consecutive

Article, see page 450

patients with severe AS undergoing TAVI. Ninety-three patients (34.3%) had significant CAD, defined as \geq 70% stenosis in an epicardial coronary vessel (≥50% for left main or saphenous vein graft lesions) by quantitative coronary angiography on angiograms acquired prior to TAVI. Patients with CAD had a similar risk of allcause mortality as compared to patients without CAD at 30 days and at one year of follow-up (7.5% vs. 6.7% and 23.7% vs. 21.5%, p=0.805). The authors also performed a secondary analysis to evaluate the impact of CAD on outcomes according to disease extent and complexity as assessed with the SYNTAX score. Patients with the highest SYNTAX score (≥32) had a significantly increased risk of all-cause mortality as compared to patients with intermediate (23-32) and low (\leq 22) SYNTAX scores at 30 days (14.3%) vs. 11.1% vs. 5.2%) and one year of follow-up (57.1% vs. 22.1% vs. 23.3%, p=0.007). Of note, the SYNTAX score calculation was based on coronary anatomy at the time of TAVI after any revascularisation procedure - namely the "residual" SYNTAX score.

*Corresponding author: Cardiovascular Department, Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano, Via Manzoni 56, 20089 Milan, Italy. E-mail: giulio.stefanini@humanitas.it

The authors should be praised for such an accurate work. They aimed to address a relevant clinical question by retrospectively investigating a well-conducted institutional registry, applying a rigorous methodological approach for the definition of CAD and the assessment of CAD complexity by the use of the SYNTAX score. The study findings suggest that the presence of any extent of CAD has no apparent impact on mortality after TAVI, whereas complex CAD has a negative prognostic impact in patients with severe AS undergoing TAVI. These results are in line with previous evidence from two observational cohort studies that applied the SYNTAX score to quantify CAD extent and complexity in TAVI patients (Figure 1). In a retrospective analysis of 445 patients from the Bern TAVI registry, higher baseline SYNTAX scores (>22 prior to coronary revascularisation performed in the setting of TAVI) as well as higher residual SYNTAX scores (>14 at the time of TAVI) were associated with significantly increased risks of cardiovascular mortality, stroke and myocardial infarction at one year⁸. In a cohort study of 263 patients undergoing TAVI at the Erasmus Medical Center in Rotterdam, a revascularisation strategy based on Heart Team decisions was associated with favourable clinical outcomes¹⁰. Specifically, patients with incomplete revascularisation with a median residual SYNTAX score of five (interguartile range: 0.13 to 9.88) had survival rates comparable to those with complete revascularisation at one year (77.4% vs. 79.9%, p=0.85), suggesting that a judiciously incomplete revascularisation strategy may suffice in TAVI patients with CAD¹⁰.

Khawaja and colleagues⁹ went one step further, identifying a SYNTAX score of nine as the optimum cut-off point for predicting mortality, by the use of receiver operating characteristic curves.



Figure 1. One-year all-cause mortality after TAVI according to residual SYNTAX score. Residual SYNTAX score cut-off used in each different study is indicated on the relative column. Number of patients included per group is specified at the bottom of each column. All studies applied the Kaplan-Meier method to calculate cumulative event rates. *For the purpose of the SYNTAX score stratification, Khawaja et al included patients with no CAD in the low SYNTAX score group, whereas Van Mieghem et al and Stefanini et al included only patients with CAD in the low SYNTAX score group.

Patients with a SYNTAX score >9 had a higher risk of all-cause mortality as compared to those with a SYNTAX score \leq 9 at 30 days (11.3% vs. 3.7%) and at one year (34.3% vs. 20.7%, p=0.005). This suggests that a SYNTAX score greater than nine might represent a target for revascularisation prior to TAVI in order to reduce the risk of ischaemic events after TAVI.

Taken together, the available observational evidence consistently indicates that complex CAD is associated with impaired clinical outcomes in TAVI patients, who might benefit from a selective revascularisation strategy based on Heart Team decisions with the aim of reducing the burden of disease. It remains to be determined, however, whether there is a causal relationship between residual CAD and mortality after TAVI. Future trials, such as the ongoing ACTIVATION¹¹, will certainly shed more light on the optimal management of CAD in TAVI patients. For the time being, the study by Khawaja and colleagues further supports the current guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology¹² which recommend percutaneous coronary revascularisation of proximal stenosis in major epicardial vessels in patients with significant CAD undergoing TAVI.

Conflict of interest statement

G. Stefanini has received speaker/consultant fees from Abbott Vascular, AstraZeneca, Biotronik, and The Medicines Company.C. Panico has no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Carabello BA, Paulus WJ. Aortic stenosis. *Lancet*. 2009;373: 956-66.

2. Goel SS, Ige M, Tuzcu EM, Ellis SG, Stewart WJ, Svensson LG, Lytle BW, Kapadia SR. Severe aortic stenosis and coronary artery disease--implications for management in the transcatheter aortic valve replacement era: a comprehensive review. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;62:1-10.

3. Stefanini GG, Stortecky S, Meier B, Windecker S, Wenaweser P. Severe aortic stenosis and coronary artery disease. *EuroIntervention*. 2013;9 Suppl:S63-8.

4. Beach JM, Mihaljevic T, Svensson LG, Rajeswaran J, Marwick T, Griffin B, Johnston DR, Sabik JF 3rd, Blackstone EH. Coronary artery disease and outcomes of aortic valve replacement for severe aortic stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:837-48.

5. Joint Task Force on the Management of Valvular Heart Disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC); European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), Vahanian A, Alfieri O, Andreotti F, Antunes MJ, Baron-Esquivias G, Baumgartner H, Borger MA, Carrel TP, De Bonis M, Evangelista A, Falk V, Iung B, Lancellotti P, Pierard L, Price S, Schafers HJ, Schuler G, Stepinska J, Swedberg K, Takkenberg J, Von Oppell UO, Windecker S, Zamorano JL, Zembala M. Guidelines on the management of valvular heart disease (version 2012). *Eur Heart J.* 2012;33:2451-96.

6. Holmes DR Jr, Brennan JM, Rumsfeld JS, Dai D, O'Brien SM, Vemulapalli S, Edwards FH, Carroll J, Shahian D, Grover F,

EuroIntervention 2015;11:373-375

Tuzcu EM, Peterson ED, Brindis RG, Mack MJ; STS/ACC TVT Registry. Clinical outcomes at 1 year following transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *JAMA*. 2015;313:1019-28.

7. D'Ascenzo F, Conrotto F, Giordana F, Moretti C, D'Amico M, Salizzoni S, Omede P, La Torre M, Thomas M, Khawaja Z, Hildick-Smith D, Ussia G, Barbanti M, Tamburino C, Webb J, Schnabel RB, Seiffert M, Wilde S, Treede H, Gasparetto V, Napodano M, Tarantini G, Presbitero P, Mennuni M, Rossi ML, Gasparini M, Biondi Zoccai G, Lupo M, Rinaldi M, Gaita F, Marra S. Mid-term prognostic value of coronary artery disease in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation: a meta-analysis of adjusted observational results. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;168:2528-32.

8. Stefanini GG, Stortecky S, Cao D, Rat-Wirtzler J, O'Sullivan CJ, Gloekler S, Buellesfeld L, Khattab AA, Nietlispach F, Pilgrim T, HuberC, Carrel T, Meier B, Juni P, Wenaweser P, Windecker S. Coronary artery disease severity and aortic stenosis: clinical outcomes according to SYNTAX score in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:2530-40.

9. Khawaja MZ, Asrress KN, Haran H, Arri S, Nadra I, Bolter K, Wilson K, Clack L, Hancock J, Young CP, Bapat V, Thomas M,

Redwood S. The effect of coronary artery disease defined by quantitative coronary angiography and SYNTAX score upon outcome after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) using the Edwards bioprosthesis. *EuroIntervention*. 2015;11:450-5.

10. Van Mieghem NM, van der Boon RM, Faqiri E, Diletti R, Schultz C, van Geuns RJ, Serruys PW, Kappetein AP, van Domburg RT, de Jaegere PP. Complete revascularization is not a prerequisite for success in current transcatheter aortic valve implantation practice. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013;6:867-75.

11. Khawaja MZ, Wang D, Pocock S, Redwood SR, Thomas MR. The percutaneous coronary intervention prior to transcatheter aortic valve implantation (ACTIVATION) trial: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2014;15:300.

12. Windecker S, Kolh P, Alfonso F, Collet JP, Cremer J, Falk V, Filippatos G, Hamm C, Head SJ, Jüni P, Kappetein AP, Kastrati A, Knuuti J, Landmesser U, Laufer G, Neumann FJ, Richter DJ, Schauerte P, Sousa Uva M, Stefanini GG, Taggart DP, Torracca L, Valgimigli M, Wijns W, Witkowski A. 2014 ESC/EACTS guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *EuroIntervention*. 2015;10:1024-94.