Aortic stenosis and mitral regurgitation: implications for transcatheter valve treatment

Marco Barbanti, MD; Danny Dvir, MD; John Tan, MD; John G. Webb*, MD

St. Paul's Hospital, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

KEYWORDS

- aortic stenosis
- mitral regurgitationtranscatheter aortic
- valve implantation

Abstract

Moderate or severe mitral regurgitation (MR) is a common finding in patients with severe aortic stenosis (AS). The combination may be a relative indication for double valve surgery, particularly when MR is severe, degenerative, associated with left atrial dilation, chronic atrial fibrillation, or mitral annular calcium. However, in patients for whom open surgery is not desirable, TAVI may provide a reasonable therapeutic strategy with an expectation in selected patients that MR may improve, be better tolerated, or be amenable to staged transcatheter mitral interventions. In this paper, we briefly review the surgical experience with concomitant AS and MR and discuss the potential implications of transcatheter-based heart valve techniques in this patient group.

*Corresponding author: St. Paul's Hospital, 1081 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6Z 1Y6, Canada. E-mail: webb@providencehealth.bc.ca

Introduction

Mitral regurgitation (MR) is a common finding in patients with aortic stenosis (AS)¹. At the time of aortic valve replacement (AVR), up to two thirds of patients with AS have varying degrees of MR¹. The aetiology of concomitant MR can be considered as degenerative or functional. Degenerative MR can result from rheumatic or myxomatous processes or, particularly in the elderly, calcification of the mitral apparatus. Functional MR, on the other hand, is usually caused by the increased left ventricular (LV) afterload and/or LV remodelling. There is a general consensus that severe MR associated with AS should be corrected at the time of AVR, particularly if the aetiology is degenerative^{2,3}. However, the surgical management of mild to moderate MR in the setting of severe AS remains controversial.

Most published studies on valvular heart disease have focused on either regurgitant or stenotic single valve disease. Data on multivalve disease are scarce. As a result, North American and European guidelines offer limited insight with respect to the management of multivalve disease^{2,3}. Those recommendations that are made are largely based on small studies or on expert consensus opinion (Grade C). Here we review briefly the surgical experience with concomitant AS and MR and discuss the potential implications of transcatheter-based heart valve techniques in this patient group.

Outcomes of combined surgical aortic and mitral valve intervention

Best management of moderate to severe MR in the setting of severe AS is often debated because of the increased risks associated with combined aortic and mitral valve replacement and because improvement of functional MR often occurs after isolated AVR. Operative mortality for double valve surgery may be twice that of isolated AVR^{1,4-10}. In the Euro Heart Survey operative risk ranged from 0.9% to 3.9% for single valve interventions, but rose to 6.5% with multiple valves1. In the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) National Database mortality was 4.3% and 6.4% for isolated aortic and mitral valve replacement, respectively, increasing to 9.6% for double valve replacement¹⁰. Multiple factors contribute to this increased procedural mortality8. In addition, late valve-related mortality and morbidity following combined aortic and mitral valve surgery remain considerable^{4,5,7-9}. On the other hand, the risk of combined aortic and mitral valve surgery has to be balanced with the odds of reintervention on the mitral valve in a patient who has a surgically implanted aortic prosthesis.

Impact of preoperative MR on outcomes after isolated AVR

An important issue is the prognostic significance of moderate to severe MR in patients undergoing isolated AVR. The majority¹¹⁻¹⁵ of the evidence tends to support the concept that moderate or severe MR is associated with higher mortality, congestive heart failure and subsequent mitral valve surgery, particularly in patients with a high preoperative transaortic gradient, dilated left atrium, or atrial fibrillation¹². In contrast, Coutinho et al¹⁶ found that late (10-year)

survival after isolated AVR was similar in patients with or without concomitant mitral valve surgery. However, patients undergoing concomitant mitral valve surgery experienced less heart failure at follow-up and more pronounced reverse LV remodelling. However, it has to be pointed out that, in this latter study, all patients had baseline moderate secondary MR. The heterogeneity of mechanism and severity of MR across all these studies are the explanation of these contrasting results.

MR change after isolated AVR

A decrease in MR severity is common following isolated AVR^{12,13,17-23}. Early improvement might result from acute reverse LV remodelling, including a reduction in LV end-diastolic volume and a decrease in mitral tethering forces^{19,20}. Additional benefit may be achieved over time with a further regression of LV hypertrophy and resolution of volume overload.

Not surprisingly, some recommend a conservative approach to concomitant MR, particularly functional MR. Others advocate a more aggressive approach to operating on the mitral valve, citing evidence that concomitant moderate to severe MR may not improve in up to one half of patients and may increase in a subgroup of patients²⁴⁻²⁷. These discrepancies across studies may be related to the different inclusion criteria in terms of MR aetiology (functional or degenerative) and severity, and to the timing of the postoperative echocardiographic examination. It appears that appropriate patient selection is crucial. Ideally, we would be able to identify those patients in whom MR will not improve or will progress following isolated AVR. In such patients the potentially increased surgical risk of a double valve procedure would be justified. Some predictors of MR progression following isolated AVR have been identified: increased left atrial size, poor LV ejection fraction, atrial fibrillation and, perhaps most importantly, degenerative as opposed to functional MR^{12,13,17,18}.

Transcatheter heart valve therapy for combined AS and MR

Transcatheter valve therapies have emerged as feasible alternatives to conventional open-heart surgery in many patients with valvular disease. For AS, the PARTNER (Placement of AoRTic TraNscathetER Valves) trials have demonstrated that transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) can offer a mortality benefit over medical management and is non-inferior to high-risk surgical aortic valve replacement^{28,29}. For MR, edge-to-edge mitral valve repair with the MitraClip device (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA) is a valid alternative for selected high-risk patients³⁰.

The reported prevalence of at least moderate MR in patients with severe AS undergoing TAVI ranges between 2% and 40%^{28,31-36}. In this setting MR is usually left untreated. Early TAVI studies actually excluded patients with more than moderate MR, leading some to conclude that severe MR was actually a contraindication to TAVI. Nevertheless, "off-label" use in such patients demonstrates that, as with surgical AVR, MR severity may decrease, remain unchanged, or even increase following TAVI.

Few and contrasting results have been reported in the literature in terms of the prognostic significance and magnitude of MR changes following TAVI. A sub-analysis of the PARTNER trial³⁷ reported that preoperative moderate or severe MR (mostly moderate) was associated with increased two-year mortality after surgical AVR, but not after TAVI, suggesting that TAVI may be a reasonable option in selected high-risk patients with combined aortic and mitral valve disease. As with the PARTNER sub-analysis, D'Onofrio et al³⁸ found that moderate or severe MR did not appear to be a significant risk factor for in-hospital mortality after TAVI. In contrast, Toggweiler et al³⁹ found that moderate or severe MR in patients undergoing TAVI was associated with a higher early, but not late, mortality rate. The difference in terms of prognostic impact of moderate to severe MR after TAVI might be explained by the higher proportion of patients with preoperative severe MR in Toggweiler's analysis compared with the PARTNER sub-analysis and D'Onofrio's study.

Little information is available with regard to changes in MR after TAVR. Durst et al⁴⁰ reported improvement in mild to moderate MR after TAVR with the SAPIEN valve (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) in 12 of 35 patients (34%). The absence of mitral annular calcification was associated with improved MR. Tzikas et al⁴¹ reported a reduction in moderate to severe MR after TAVR with the CoreValve prosthesis (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA), improving in six of ten patients (60%), remaining unchanged in three patients (30%), and worsening in one patient (10%). Toggweiler et al³⁹ reported that MR diminished post-procedurally in 61% of patients with moderate or severe preoperative MR. At one-year follow-up MR had improved in 55% of patients, remained unchanged in 16%, and worsened in 1%. Patients with high transaortic gradients, with functional (as opposed to degenerative) MR, without pulmonary hypertension, and without atrial fibrillation were more likely to have reductions in MR at one-year follow-up. Recently, Hekimian et al⁴² showed that MR significantly decreased at seven days after TAVI and remained unchanged afterwards. MR decreases were more marked in patients with baseline LV dilatation and dysfunction, while they did not differ according to aortic mean gradient, MR aetiology, or anterior mitral leaflet-device overlap. Similar to the surgical series, the heterogeneity of preoperative MR mechanism and degree, as well as the absence of homogenous quantification, is one of the explanations for these varying results.

Unger et al⁴³ examined the available evidence in order to investigate the possible influence of the type of transcatheter heart valve (THV) implanted on post-procedural MR changes. Admittedly, this analysis was limited by the heterogeneity of case selection, definitions, and follow-up across the available studies⁴³. However, they did find that the effects of TAVI on MR may differ according to the type of prosthesis. As compared to the CoreValve prosthesis, the Edwards SAPIEN prosthesis was more often associated with improved MR and less frequently with worsened MR44. They speculated that these findings might be a consequence of extension of the CoreValve device deeper into the left ventricular outflow tract, with impingement on the anterior mitral leaflet movement or altered mitral annulus geometry. In line with this hypothesis is the observation that deep positioning of the prosthesis may be associated with MR worsening⁴⁴. A second line of reasoning is based on the fact that the incidence of left bundle branch block (LBBB) and high degree conduction disorders requiring a pacemaker insertion are relatively high with the CoreValve device^{45,46}. It is recognised that atrioventricular conduction disorders, LBBB, and right ventricular pacing are known to increase the prevalence and the severity of MR^{47,48}.

Recently, an appealing approach to the management of AS and MR has been described whereby TAVI is followed by percutaneous mitral valve repair with the MitraClip device (Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA, USA)⁴⁹⁻⁵³. In the great majority of cases these procedures have been staged. Importantly, the presence of an aortic THV seems not to impact upon the future technical feasibility of a MitraClip repair. In contrast to the increased risk associated with mitral surgery performed for MR following prior isolated aortic valve surgery, prior TAVI does not seem to increase the risk of a subsequent MitraClip procedure. A "TAVI first" staging strategy might allow for the possibility of a spontaneous reduction in MR after isolated treatment of AS, and the potential to avoid an unnecessary additional procedure on the mitral valve. It seems likely that future transcatheter mitral interventions might offer additional options.

Summary

Moderate or severe mitral regurgitation is common in patients with severe aortic stenosis. The combination may be a relative indication for double valve surgery, particularly when MR is severe, degenerative, associated with left atrial dilation, chronic atrial fibrillation, or mitral annular calcium. However, in patients for whom open surgery is not desirable, TAVI may provide a reasonable therapeutic strategy with an expectation in selected patients that MR may improve, be better tolerated, or be amenable to staged transcatheter mitral interventions.

Conflict of interest statement

J. G. Webb is consultant for Edwards Lifesciences. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Online data supplement

References

1. Iung B, Baron G, Butchart EG, Delahaye F, Gohlke-Bärwolf C, Levang OW, Tornos P, Vanoverschelde JL, Vermeer F, Boersma E, Ravaud P, Vahanian A. A prospective survey of patients with valvular heart disease in Europe: the Euro Heart Survey on Valvular Heart Disease. *Eur Heart J*. 2003;24:1231-43.

2. Bonow RO, Carabello BA, Chatterjee K, de Leon AC Jr, Faxon DP, Freed MD, Gaasch WH, Lytle BW, Nishimura RA, O'Gara PT, O'Rourke RA, Otto CM, Shah PM, Shanewise JS; American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2008 focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to revise the 1998 guidelines for the management of patients with valvular heart disease). Endorsed by the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008;52:e1-142.

3. Vahanian A, Alfieri O, Andreotti F, Antunes MJ, Barón-Esquivias G, Baumgartner H, Borger MA, Carrel TP, De Bonis M, Evangelista A, Falk V, Lung B, Lancellotti P, Pierard L, Price S, Schäfers HJ, Schuler G, Stepinska J, Swedberg K, Takkenberg J, Von Oppell UO, Windecker S, Zamorano JL, Zembala M; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG); Joint Task Force on the Management of Valvular Heart Disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC); European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). Guidelines on the management of valvular heart disease (version 2012). *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2012;42:S1-44.

4. Arom KV, Nicoloff DM, Kersten TE, Northrup WF 3rd, Lindsay WG, Emery RW. Ten-year follow-up study of patients who had double valve replacement with the St. Jude Medical prosthesis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1989;98:1008-15.

5. Galloway AC, Grossi EA, Baumann FG, LaMendola CL, Crooke GA, Harris LJ, Colvin SB, Spencer FC. Multiple valve operation for advanced valvular heart disease: results and risk factors in 513 patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1992;19:725-32.

6. Edmunds LH, Clark RE, Cohn LH, Grunkemeier GL, Miller C, Weisel RD. Guidelines for reporting morbidity and mortality after cardiac valvular operations. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1996;62:932-5.

7. Debétaz LF, Ruchat P, Hurni M, Fischer A, Stumpe F, Sadeghi H, van Melle G, Goy JJ. St. Jude Medical valve prosthesis: an analysis of long-term outcome and prognostic factors. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1997;113:134-48.

8. Mueller XM, Tevaearai HT, Stumpe F, Fischer AP, Hurni M, Ruchat P, von Segesser LK. Long-term results of mitral-aortic valve operations. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1998;115:1298-309.

9. Turina J, Stark T, Seifert B, Turina M. Predictors of the longterm outcome after combined aortic and mitral valve surgery. *Circulation*. 1999;100:48-53. 10. http://spo.escardio.org/eslides/view.aspx?eevtid=42&fp=637 11. Barreiro CJ, Patel ND, Fitton TP, Williams JA, Bonde PN, Chan V, Alejo DE, Gott VL, Baumgartner WA. Aortic valve replacement and concomitant mitral valve regurgitation in the elderly. Impact on survival and functional outcome. *Circulation*. 2005;112:I443-7.

12. Ruel M, Kapila V, Price J, Kulik A, Burwash IG, Mesana TG. Natural history and predictors of outcome in patients with concomitant functional mitral regurgitation at the time of aortic valve replacement. *Circulation*. 2006;114:I541-6.

13. Caballero-Borrego J, Gómez-Doblas JJ, Cabrera-Bueno F, García-Pinilla JM, Melero JM, Porras C, Olalla E, De Teresa Galván E. Incidence, associated factors and evolution of non-severe functional mitral regurgitation in patients with severe aortic stenosis undergoing aortic valve replacement. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2008;34:62-6.

14. Harling L, Saso S, Jarral OA, Kourliouros A, Kidher E, Athanasiou T. Aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis in patients with concomitant mitral regurgitation: should the mitral valve be dealt with? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2011;40:1087-96.

15. Absil B, Dagenais F, Mathieu P, Métras J, Perron J, Baillot R, Bauset R, Doyle D. Does moderate mitral regurgitation impact early mid-term clinical outcome in patients undergoing isolated aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2003;24:217-22.

16. Coutinho GF, Correia PM, Pancas R, Antunes M. Management of moderate secondary mitral regurgitation at the time of aortic valve surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2013;44:32-40.

17. Vanden Eynden F, Bouchard D, El-Hamamsy I, Butnaru A, Demers P, Carrier M Perrault LP, Tardif JC, Pellerin M. Effect of aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis on severity of mitral regurgitation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2007;83:1279-84.

18. Wan CK, Suri RM, Li Z, Orszulak TA, Daly RC, Schaff HV, Sundt TM 3rd. Management of moderate functional mitral regurgitation at the time of aortic valve replacement: is concomitant mitral valve repair necessary? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2009;137:635-40.

19. Yiu SF, Enriquez-Sarano M, Tribouilloy C, Seward JB, Tajik AJ. Determinants of the degree of functional mitral regurgitation in patients with systolic left ventricular dysfunction: a quantitative clinical study. *Circulation*. 2000;102:1400-6.

20. Kono T, Sabbah HN, Rosman H, Alam M, Jafri S, Goldstein S. Left ventricular shape is the primary determinant of functional mitral regurgitation in heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1992;20:1594-8.

21. Tunick PA, Gindea A, Kronzon I. Effect of aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis on severity of mitral regurgitation. *Am J Cardiol.* 1990;65:1219-21.

22. Tassan-Mangina S, Metz D, Nazeyllas P, Torossian F, Pop C, Bertrand J, Baehrel B, Elaerts J. Factors determining early improvement in mitral regurgitation after aortic valve replacement for aortic valve stenosis: a transthoracic and transesophageal prospective study. *Clin Cardiol.* 2003;26:127-31.

23. Unger P, Dedobbeleer C, Van Camp G, Plein D, Cosyns B, Lancellotti P. Mitral regurgitation in patients with aortic stenosis undergoing valve replacement. *Heart.* 2010;96:9-14.

24. Unger P, Magne J, Vanden Eynden F, Plein D, Van Camp G, Pasquet A, Cosyns B, Dedobbeleer C, Lancellotti P. Impact of prosthesis-patient mismatch on mitral regurgitation after aortic valve replacement. *Heart*. 2010;96:1627-32.

25. Adams PB, Otto CM. Lack of improvement in coexisting mitral regurgitation after relief of valvular aortic stenosis. *Am J Cardiol.* 1990;66:105-7.

26. Brasch AV, Khan SS, DeRobertis MA, Kong JH, Chiu J, Siegel RJ. Change in mitral regurgitation severity after aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis. *Am J Cardiol.* 2000;85:1271-4.

27. Moazami N, Diodato MD, Moon MR, Lawton JS, Pasque MK, Herren RL, Guthrie TJ, Damiano RJ. Does functional mitral regurgitation improve with isolated aortic valve replacement? *J Cardiac Surg.* 2004;19:444-8.

28. Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack M, Miller DC, Moses JW, Svensson LG, Tuzcu EM, Webb JG, Fontana GP, Makkar RR, Brown DL, Block PC, Guyton RA, Pichard AD, Bavaria JE, Herrmann HC, Douglas PS, Petersen JL, Akin JJ, Anderson WN, Wang D, Pocock S; PARTNER Trial Investigators. Transcatheter aortic-valve implantation for aortic stenosis in patients who cannot undergo surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;363:1597-607.

29. Smith CR, Leon MB, Mack MJ, Miller DC, Moses JW, Svensson LG, Tuzcu EM, Webb JG, Fontana GP, Makkar RR, Williams M, Dewey T, Kapadia S, Babaliaros V, Thourani VH, Corso P, Pichard AD, Bavaria JE, Herrmann HC, Akin JJ, Anderson WN, Wang D, Pocock SJ; PARTNER Trial Investigators. Transcatheter versus surgical aortic-valve replacement in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364:2187-98.

30. Feldman T, Foster E, Glower DD, Kar S, Rinaldi MJ, Fail PS, Smalling RW, Siegel R, Rose GA, Engeron E, Loghin C, Trento A, Skipper ER, Fudge T, Letsou GV, Massaro JM, Mauri L; EVEREST II Investigators. Percutaneous repair or surgery for mitral regurgitation. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364:1395-406.

31. Webb JG, Pasupati S, Humphries K, Thompson C, Altwegg L, Moss R, Sinhal A, Carere RG, Munt B, Ricci D, Ye J, Cheung A, Lichtenstein SV. Percutaneous transarterial aortic valve replacement in selected high-risk patients with aortic stenosis. *Circulation*. 2007;116:755-63.

32. Rodés-Cabau J, Webb JG, Cheung A, Ye J, Dumont E, Feindel CM, Osten M, Natarajan MK, Velianou JL, Martucci G, DeVarennes B, Chisholm R, Peterson MD, Lichtenstein SV, Nietlispach F, Doyle D, DeLarochellière R, Teoh K, Chu V, Dancea A, Lachapelle K, Cheema A, Latter D, Horlick E. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation for the treatment of severe symptomatic aortic stenosis in patients at very high or prohibitive surgical risk: acute and late outcomes of the multicenter Canadian experience. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;55:1080-90.

33. Tamburino C, Capodanno D, Ramondo A, Petronio AS, Ettori F, Santoro G, Klugmann S, Bedogni F, Maisano F, Marzocchi A, Poli A, Antoniucci D, Napodano M, De Carlo M, Fiorina C, Ussia GP. Incidence and predictors of early and late mortality after transcatheter aortic valve implantation in 663 patients with severe aortic stenosis. *Circulation*. 2011;123:299-308.

34. Zahn R, Gerckens U, Grube E, Linke A, Sievert H, Eggebrecht H, Hambrecht R, Sack S, Hauptmann KE, Richardt G, Figulla HR, Senges J; German Transcatheter Aortic Valve Interventions-Registry Investigators. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation: first results from a multi-centre real-world registry. *Eur Heart J.* 2011;32:198-204.

35. Gilard M, Eltchaninoff H, Iung B, Donzeau-Gouge P, Chevreul K, Fajadet J, Leprince P, Leguerrier A, Lievre M, Prat A, Teiger E, Lefevre T, Himbert D, Tchetche D, Carrié D, Albat B, Cribier A, Rioufol G, Sudre A, Blanchard D, Collet F, Dos Santos P, Meneveau N, Tirouvanziam A, Caussin C, Guyon P, Boschat J, Le Breton H, Collart F, Houel R, Delpine S, Souteyrand G, Favereau X, Ohlmann P, Doisy V, Grollier G, Gommeaux A, Claudel JP, Bourlon F, Bertrand B, Van Belle E, Laskar M; FRANCE 2 Investigators. Registry of transcatheter aortic-valve implantation in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366:1705-15.

36. D'Errigo P, Barbanti M, Ranucci M, Onorati F, Covello RD, Rosato S, Tamburino C, Santini F, Santoro G, Seccareccia F; on behalf of the OBSERVANT Research Group. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation versus surgical aortic valve replacement for severe aortic stenosis: results from an intermediate risk propensitymatched population of the Italian OBSERVANT study. *Int J Cardiol.* 2012 May 25. [Epub ahead of print].

37. Barbanti M, Webb JG, Hahn R, Thompson C, Feldman T, Kodali S, Green P, Hueter I, Smith CS, Zajarias A, Babaliaros V, Makkar R, Szeto W, Alu M, Miller DC, Leon MB. Impact of preoperative moderate/severe mitral regurgitation on patients undergoing percutaneous and surgical aortic valve replacement: insights from the PARTNER trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:10S.

38. D'Onofrio A, Gasparetto V, Napodano M, Bianco R, Tarantini G, Renier V, Isabella G, Gerosa G. Impact of preoperative mitral valve regurgitation on outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2012;41:1271-6.

39. Toggweiler S, Boone RH, Rodés-Cabau J, Humphries KH, Lee M, Nombela-Franco L, Bagur R, Willson AB, Binder RK, Gurvitch R, Grewal J, Moss R, Munt B, Thompson CR, Freeman M, Ye J, Cheung A, Dumont E, Wood DA, Webb JG. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement: outcomes of patients with moderate or severe mitral regurgitation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;59:2068-74.

40. Durst R, Avelar E, McCarty D, Poh KK, Friera LF, Llano MF, Chu J, Anumandla AK, Rodriguez LL, Mack MJ, Hanzel G, Kodali SK, Hung J, Picard MH. Outcome and improvement predictors of mitral regurgitation after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *J Heart Valve Dis.* 2011;20:272-81.

41. Tzikas A, Piazza N, van Dalen BM, Schultz C, Geleijnse ML, van Geuns RJ, Galema TW, Nuis RJ, Otten A, Gutierrez-Chico JL, Serruys PW, de Jaegere PP. Changes in mitral regurgitation after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;75:43-9.

42. Hekimian G, Detaint D, Messika-Zeitoun D, Attias D, Iung B, Himbert D, Brochet E, Vahanian A. Mitral regurgitation in patients referred for transcatheter aortic valve implantation using the Edwards Sapien prosthesis: mechanisms and early postprocedural changes. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*: 2012;25:160-5.

43. Unger P, Dedobbeleer C, Vanden Eynden F, Lancellotti P. Mitral regurgitation after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: does the prosthesis matter? *Int J Cardiol.* 2013 Apr 11. [Epub ahead of print].

44. De Chiara B, Moreo A, De Marco F, Musca F, Oreglia J, Lobiati E, Bruschi G, Belli O, Mauri F, Klugmann S. Influence of CoreValve ReValving System implantation on mitral valve function: an echocardiographic study in selected patients. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;78:638-44.

45. Chieffo A, Buchanan GL, Van Mieghem NM, Tchetche D, Dumonteil N, Latib A, van der Boon RM, Vahdat O, Marcheix B, Farah B, Serruys PW, Fajadet J, Carrié D, de Jaegere PP, Colombo A. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation with the Edwards SAPIEN versus the Medtronic CoreValve Revalving system devices: a multicenter collaborative study: the PRAGMATIC Plus Initiative (Pooled-RotterdAm-Milano-Toulouse In Collaboration). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:830-6.

46. Houthuizen P, Van Garsse LA, Poels TT, de Jaegere P, van der Boon RM, Swinkels BM, Ten Berg JM, van der Kley F, Schalij MJ, Baan J Jr, Cocchieri R, Brueren GR, van Straten AH, den Heijer P, Bentala M, van Ommen V, Kluin J, Stella PR, Prins MH, Maessen JG, Prinzen FW. Left bundle-branch block induced by transcatheter aortic valve implantation increases risk of death. *Circulation*. 2012;126:720-8. 47. Alizadeh A, Sanati HR, Haji-Karimi M, Yazdi AH, Rad MA, Haghjoo M, Emkanjoo Z. Induction and aggravation of atrioventricular valve regurgitation in the course of chronic right ventricular apical pacing. *Europace*. 2011;13:1587-90.

48. Ypenburg C, Lancellotti P, Tops LF, Bleeker GB, Holman ER, Piérard LA, Schalij MJ, Bax JJ. Acute effects of initiation and withdrawal of cardiac resynchronization therapy on papillary muscle dyssynchrony and mitral regurgitation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007;50:2071-7.

49. Barbanti M, Ussia GP, Tamburino C. Percutaneous treatment of aortic stenosis and mitral regurgitation in the same patient: first human cases description. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;78:650-5.

50. Madder RD, Safian RD, Gallagher M, Senter SR, Hanzel GS. The first report of transcatheter aortic valve implantation and percutaneous mitral valve repair in the same patient. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;4:824.

51. Ong SH, Beucher H, Mueller R, Gerckens U, Boekstegers P. Percutaneous double-valve interventions for aortic stenosis and pure mitral regurgitation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2011;108:893-5.

52. Rudolph V, Schirmer J, Franzen O, Schlüter M, Seiffert M, Treede H, Reichenspurner H, Blankenberg S, Baldus S. Bivalvular transcatheter treatment of high-surgical-risk patients with coexisting severe aortic stenosis and significant mitral regurgitation. *Int J Cardiol.* 2012 Mar 27. [Epub ahead of print].

53. Kische S, D'Ancona G, Paranskaya L, Schubert J, Arsoy N, Hauenstein KH, Alozie A, Jovanovich B, Nienaber C, Ince H. Staged total percutaneous treatment of aortic valve pathology and mitral regurgitation: institutional experience. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013 Jan 29. [Epub ahead of print].