# Acute haemodynamic effects of accelerated idioventricular rhythm in primary percutaneous coronary intervention

Ronak Delewi, MD; Maurice Remmelink, MD, PhD; Martijn Meuwissen, MD, PhD; Niels van Royen, MD, PhD; Marije M. Vis, MD; Karel T. Koch, MD, PhD; José P.S. Henriques, MD, PhD; Robbert J. de Winter, MD, PhD; Jan G.P. Tijssen, PhD; Jan Baan Jr., MD, PhD; Jan J. Piek\*, MD, PhD

Department of Cardiology, Academic Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

#### **KEYWORDS**

- accelerated idioventricular rhythm
- reperfusion
- acute myocardial infarction
- haemodynamics

#### Abstract

**Aims:** Accelerated idioventricular rhythm (AIVR) is very frequently observed in primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), however knowledge of the haemodynamic effects is lacking.

**Methods and results:** We studied an ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction cohort of 128 consecutive patients (aged  $62\pm11$  years) in whom AIVR occurred following reperfusion during primary PCI. Mean systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and heart rate were determined during periods of AIVR and sinus rhythm. We grouped patients according to the infarct-related artery and the site of the coronary occlusion. AIVR caused an immediate reduction in SBP ( $130\pm27$  vs.  $98\pm22$  mmHg, p<0.001) and DBP ( $80\pm19$  vs.  $69\pm16$  mmHg, p<0.001) and a small increase in heart rate ( $78\pm12$  vs.  $83\pm11$  bpm, p<0.001) as compared to sinus rhythm, irrespective of infarct-related artery. Both absolute as well as relative reduction in SBP were more pronounced in distal than proximal left coronary artery (LCA) occlusions ( $36\pm16$  vs.  $27\pm12$  mmHg, p<0.01, respectively  $25\pm9$  vs.  $20\pm8\%$ , p<0.05). These haemodynamic differences between proximal and distal occlusion sites were not observed in the right coronary artery.

**Conclusions:** AIVR following reperfusion is associated with marked reduction in both SBP and DBP, irrespective of infarct-related artery. These haemodynamic effects are accompanied by only a very modest increase in heart rate during AIVR. Patients with a culprit lesion in the proximal LCA showed a smaller reduction in systolic blood pressure than distal LCA lesions.

\*Corresponding author: Department of Cardiology, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 9, 1105AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands. E-mail: j.j.piek@amc.uva.nl



#### Introduction

Accelerated idioventricular rhythm (AIVR) is frequently observed during the reperfusion phase in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients<sup>1,2</sup>. It is a transient and intermittent accelerated ventricular rhythm with a rate usually between 60 and 110 bpm<sup>3</sup>.

The reported incidence of AIVR in patients undergoing thrombolytic therapy varies between 42 and 88%<sup>4-6</sup>. Only two studies have reported on the incidence in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for a first STEMI and the data are conflicting<sup>7,8</sup>. The haemodynamic effects of AIVR have not been reported so far, and it is unknown whether the occurrence and effects of AIVR may be related to the location of the culprit lesion. To address these questions we included 128 consecutive patients with AIVR after successful primary PCI and determined invasive haemodynamic parameters during the procedure.

#### **Methods**

#### SOURCE POPULATION

The data analysed in our observational study were obtained from 128 STEMI patients who underwent primary PCI at the Academic Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam. Primary PCI was performed using standard techniques and in accordance with current guidelines. All studied patients were treated with aspirin, clopidogrel and heparin before primary PCI. The use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors during the procedure was left to the discretion of the operator.

#### DATA SOURCE

Baseline demographic variables, procedural and angiographic data were prospectively collected in a stand-alone dedicated electronic database at the catheterisation laboratory.

## HAEMODYNAMIC AND ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC DATA COLLECTION

Throughout the procedure, aortic blood pressure as measured via the guiding catheter, heart rate, and surface 12-lead electrocardiograms were continuously monitored. Surface electrocardiograms were categorised by AIVR morphology to define site of origin using a standard algorithm<sup>9</sup>.

#### PATIENT SELECTION

Patients were selected who had undergone a first primary PCI and showed AIVR following reperfusion. AIVR was defined as a run of >3 consecutive ventricular beats with a rate between 60 and 110 bpm. Exclusion criteria were cardiogenic shock at the time of presentation, a previous myocardial infarction or coronary artery bypass surgery, left bundle branch block during sinus rhythm, pacemaker rhythm, supraventricular arrhythmias and ventricular arrhythmias other than AIVR. The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### DATA ANALYSIS

Aortic pressure and heart rate were analysed using haemodynamic data-acquisition software (MacLab 7000, version 5.2; General Electric Co., Milwaukee, WI, USA). Mean SBP, DBP and heart rate

were calculated as the mean of 10 beats during sinus rhythm, and the mean of at least three beats during AIVR. It was accounted for that selected registrations were obtained during stable haemodynamic conditions, without interference of pharmaceuticals (e.g., nitroglycerine).

SBP, DBP and heart rate during AIVR were compared to the values during sinus rhythm. We divided patients according to infarctrelated artery and the site of the culprit lesion. The site of the culprit lesion was classified as proximal (right coronary artery, segment 1; left anterior descending coronary artery, segment 6; or left circumflex coronary artery, segment 11) or distal (all other locations).

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The 2-tailed, paired *t* test was used for paired data to evaluate differences in blood pressure and heart rate between sinus rhythm and AIVR. The 2-tailed *t* test was used to compare haemodynamic characteristics between different infarct-related arteries and site of the lesions. Statistical analysis was performed using statistical software package for windows (SPSS, version 16.0.1, 2008; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). We consider p<0.05 to be statistically significant.

#### Results

#### PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

The patient characteristics of our 128 studied patients (aged  $62\pm11$  years, 28% women) are shown in **Table 1**. In the AIVR group, two of 129 patients died within 30 days and two additional patients within the first year. The infarct-related artery was the left anterior descending coronary artery in 46%, left circumflex coronary artery in 11% and right coronary artery in 43%.

#### Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

	AIVR (n=128)
Demographics	
Age in years (mean±SD)	62 (±11)
Male	72%
BMI in kg/m <sup>2</sup> (mean±SD)	26 (±4)
Medical history	
Hypertension	24%
Diabetes	8%
Hypercholesterolaemia	27%
Current smoking	44%
Procedural features and outcomes	
Ischaemic time in minutes (mean±SD)	202 (±137)
Location culprit lesion LCA	57%
Single vessel disease	65%
TIMI 0-1 flow, pre-procedure	86%
TIMI 2-3 flow, post-procedure	99%
Values are percentages upless otherwise indicated, PML body mass index	

Values are percentages unless otherwise indicated; BMI: body mass index; LCA: left coronary artery; TIMI: Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction



#### HAEMODYNAMIC PARAMETERS

AIVR caused a marked reduction in SBP ( $130\pm27 \text{ vs. }98\pm22 \text{ mmHg}$ , p<0.001) and DBP ( $80\pm19 \text{ vs.}69\pm16 \text{ mmHg}$ , p<0.001), as compared to sinus rhythm. Heart rate increased only modestly during AIVR ( $78\pm12 \text{ vs. }83\pm11 \text{ bpm}$ , p<0.001). **Figure 1** illustrates a typical registration of the decrease in blood pressure during AIVR.



**Figure 1.** A representative blood pressure registration of accelerated idioventricular rhythm (AIVR) in a ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patient during primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Note the immediate decrease in blood pressure during an episode of AIVR, as compared to sinus rhythm.

In none of the 128 patients was there a need for the administration of vasopressin or inotropics due to short AIVR duration (mean AIVR duration was  $35\pm25$  seconds). We divided the patients into two groups according to the median AIVR duration of 29 seconds. The group with an AIVR duration  $\leq$ 29 seconds had a mean blood pressure drop of  $31\pm5$  mmHg compared to  $34\pm6$  mmHg in the group with a median AIVR duration of  $\geq$ 29 seconds (p=NS).

Table 2. Haemodynamic parameters during sinus rhythm and AIVR.

#### Sinus rhythm AIVR p-value 127±27 SBP (mmHg) 100±21 < 0.001 Proximal occlusions DBP (mmHg) 85±22 74±15 < 0.001 (n=37) Heart rate (bpm) 80±13 84±11 < 0.005 Left coronary artery (n=73) SBP (mmHg) 143±27 107±22 < 0.001 Distal occlusions DBP (mmHg) 83±17 74±16 < 0.005 (n=36) 79±11 < 0.001 Heart rate (bpm) 84±9 89±23 SBP (mmHg) 121±27 < 0.001 Proximal occlusions DBP (mmHg) 72±14 61±14 < 0.001 (n=15)76±9 83±18 NS Heart rate (bpm) Right coronary artery (n=55) SBP (mmHg) 124±26 92±21 < 0.001 **Distal occlusions** DBP (mmHg) 74±16 64±16 < 0.001 (n=40) 79±14 83±10 NS Heart rate (bpm) Values are mean±SD; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure

#### INFLUENCE OF ANATOMICAL SITE OF THE LESION

The haemodynamic effects did not depend on the infarct-related artery **(Table 2)**. However, reduction in both absolute as well as relative SBP was more pronounced in distal than proximal left coronary artery (LCA) occlusions ( $36\pm16$  vs.  $27\pm12$  mmHg, p<0.01, respectively  $25\pm9$  vs.  $20\pm8\%$ , p<0.05) **(Figure 2)**. This difference in proximal and distal occlusions was not observed in the right coronary artery ( $121\pm27$  vs.  $124\pm26$  mmHg, p=NS) and ( $89\pm23$  vs.  $92\pm21$  mmHg, p=NS). AIVR had its origin in 92% of the cases in the infarct-related artery zones. The site of AIVR origin did not influence the absolute systolic blood pressure drop (anterior  $30\pm16$  mmHg, inferior  $33\pm15$  mmHg, lateral  $32\pm5$  mmHg and septal  $34\pm15$  mmHg, p=NS).



**Figure 2.** Illustration of the decrease in blood pressure during AIVR, as compared to sinus rhythm, in relation to the site of the coronary lesion. Note that reduction in systolic blood pressure was more pronounced in distal than proximal left coronary artery (LCA) occlusions ( $36\pm16$  vs.  $27\pm12$  mmHg, p<0.01). This difference in blood pressure drop was not observed in right coronary artery (RCA) occlusions.



#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we are the first to systematically investigate acute haemodynamic effects in STEMI patients with reperfusion-induced AIVR following primary PCI. We found that AIVR following reperfusion is associated with marked reduction in both SBP and DBP, irrespective of infarct-related artery. This reduction in blood pressure is associated with only a very modest increase in heart rate during AIVR. Moreover, patients with a culprit lesion in the proximal LCA show less reduction in blood pressure.

#### INCIDENCE AND MECHANISMS OF AIVR

The reported incidence of AIVR in patients undergoing thrombolytic therapy varies between 42 and 88%<sup>4-6</sup>. In patients undergoing PCI for STEMI one study reported an incidence of 15% as compared to 42% in a second study<sup>7,8</sup>. A recent report by Majidi et al showed that reperfusion ventricular arrhythmia (VA) "bursts", including AIVR, occur more frequently, i.e., in approximately 60 % of the patients. These VA "bursts" occur predominantly within the first 20 minutes following successful reperfusion, illustrating the temporary phenomenon of reperfusion ventricular arrhythmias that are characterised by a rapid onset and disappearance<sup>10</sup>.

The underlying electrophysiological mechanism of AIVR has been suggested to result from abnormal ventricular automaticity of the subendocardial Purkinje fibres<sup>11,12</sup>. Kaplinski et al described a transient increase of the idioventricular rate after coronary reperfusion and suggested that this increase in ventricular automaticity may be the basis for the occurrence of AIVR<sup>12</sup>.

Bonnemeier et al demonstrated that parasympathetic predominance at the sinus node, which was characterised by lower serum norepinephrine levels leading to lower sinus rates, may favour the occurrence of AIVR after reperfusion in acute myocardial infarction patients<sup>7</sup>.

Reperfusion injury following thrombolysis or primary PCI secondary to calcium overload (and other contributing factors such as formation of free oxygen radicals or changes in intracellular pH) may lead to triggered activity. Some investigators have suggested that triggered activity, based on delayed after depolarisations, may be another explanation of the occurrence of AIVR<sup>13,14</sup>. An alternative explanation is suggested by previous observations from our institution showing that patients with a pre-existing diastolic dysfunction are more prone to develop non-sustained AIVR<sup>15</sup> (Remmelink, MD; unpublished data, 2010).

#### PROGNOSTIC VALUE OF AIVR

AIVR has been proposed as a specific non-invasive marker for successful coronary artery reperfusion in the pre-thrombolytic and thrombolytic era<sup>2,11</sup>. However, in the era of direct mechanical reperfusion strategies, the prognostic relevance of AIVR remains controversial<sup>7,8,16</sup>. The occurrence of AIVR is generally considered as benign and without prognostic implications<sup>7,8</sup>, although this topic of interest has not been studied in large-scale clinical studies. One study reports that reperfusion VA bursts, including AIVR, may be used as a marker of reperfusion injury due to the positive associa-

tion of VA bursts and myocardial infarct size<sup>17</sup>. In our observational study, both 30-day and one-year mortality rates were low and comparable to mortality rates of patients without cardiogenic shock in the entire PCI population in our institution as well as data from the literature. However due to the small sample size, we cannot exclude different mortality rates in AIVR patients.

#### AIVR AND SYSTEMIC BLOOD PRESSURE

In our study, we observed a marked decrease in systolic and diastolic blood pressure during an episode of AIVR. An explanation for the immediate decrease in blood pressure may be the absence of atrioventricular sequential pacing. Atrioventricular sequential pacing may lead to optimisation of the timing of the mechanical atrial and ventricular synchrony. Moreover, optimal diastolic filling and reduction of diastolic mitral regurgitation may contribute to the haemodynamic improvement<sup>18</sup>.

Another explanation may be an absence of atrial contraction (i.e., atrial kick) to left ventricular filling in AIVR<sup>19</sup>. The significance of atrial contraction to maintain cardiac output has been documented in numerous studies<sup>19,20</sup> and is supported by clinical observations showing a marked haemodynamic deterioration in patients developing atrial fibrillation in the setting of ischaemic heart disease<sup>21</sup>. The occurrence of AIVR was not accompanied by a large increase in heart rate in our study, excluding this as a mechanism of the compromised haemodynamic status during AIVR.

#### AIVR AND PROXIMAL VERSUS DISTAL LOCATION OF CULPRIT LESION

Interestingly, we found that the location of the culprit lesion, i.e., the distal versus the proximal segment of the left coronary artery, was associated with the degree of systolic blood pressure reduction. Patients with a culprit lesion in the distal LCA showed more pronounced reduction in blood pressure than patients with a proximal lesion. Patients with a proximal LCA culprit lesion, and therefore a larger area at risk, had lower blood pressures and concomitant higher heart rates during sinus rhythm. This suggests that patients with a proximal lesion exhibit a more compromised haemodynamic status at baseline and, therefore, probably a less pronounced effect of AIVR on blood pressure than in patients with a smaller area at risk in case of a distal location.

In contrast, patients with a proximal or distal culprit lesion in the RCA show no significant differences of AIVR on blood pressure. The RCA, compared to the LCA, supplies a relatively small area at risk of the left ventricle, independent of the site of the coronary occlusion, which might explain these results.

#### Conclusion

This is the first study describing that, in STEMI patients treated with PCI, reperfusion-induced AIVR is associated with marked reduction in both SBP and DBP. The occurrence of AIVR is associated with only a very modest increase in heart rate. Moreover, patients with a culprit lesion in the proximal LCA showed less reduction in blood pressure.



### Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the nursing staff of the cardiac catheterisation laboratory for their skilled assistance.

#### **Conflict of interest statement**

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

#### References

1. Goldberg S, Greenspon AJ, Urban PL, Muza B, Berger B, Walinsky P, Maroko PR. Reperfusion arrhythmia: a marker of restoration of antegrade flow during intracoronary thrombolysis for acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J* 1983;105:26-32.

2. Gorgels APM, Vos MA, Letsch IS, Verschuuren EA, Bär FW, Janssen JH, Wellens HJ. Usefulness of the accelerated idioventricular rhythm as a marker for myocardial necrosis and reperfusion during thrombolytic therapy in acute mycordial infarction. *AM J Cardiol* 1988;61:231-235.

3. Olgin JE, Zipes D. Specific Arrhythmias: Diagnosis and treatment. In: Braunwald E, Zipes D, Lippy P, editors. Heart Disease 6th Edition. Philadelphia: PE, W. B. Saunders.

4. Wehrens XH, Doevendans PA, Ophuis TJ, Wellens HJ. A comparison of electrocardiographic changes during reperfusion of acute myocardial infarction by thrombolysis or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. *Am Heart J* 2000;139:430-6.

5. Gressin V, Louvard Y, Pezzano M, Lardoux H. Holter recording of ventricular arrhythmias during intravenous thrombolysis for acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 1992;69:152-9.

6. Zehender M, Utzolino S, Furtwängler A, Kasper W, Meinertzv T, Just H. Time course and interrelation of reperfusion-induced ST changes and ventricular arrhythmias in acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 1991;68:1138-429.

7. Bonnemeier H, Ortak J, Wiegand UK, Eberhardt F, Bode F, Schunkert H, Katus HA, Richardt G. Accelerated idioventricular rhythm in the post-thrombolytic era: incidence, prognostic implications, and modulating mechanisms after direct percutaneous coronary intervention. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2005;10:179-87.

8. Terkelsen CJ, Sorensen JT, Kaltoft AK, Nielsen SS, Thuesen L, Botker H, Lassen JF. Prevalence and significance of accelerated idioventricular rhythm in patients with ST-Elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Cardiol* 2009;104:1641-1646.

9. Camm AJ, Luscher TF, Serruys PW. The ESC textbook of cardiovascular medicine. Blackwell, Oxford, 2006, pp949-955.

10. Majidi M, Kosinski AS, Al-Khatib SM, Lemmert ME, Smolders L, van Weert, A, Reiber JH, Tzivoni D, Bär FW, Wellens HJ, Gorgels AP,

Krucoff MW. Reperfusion ventricular arrhythmia 'bursts' in TIMI 3 flow restoration with primary angioplasty for anterior ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a more precise definition of reperfusion arrhythmias. *Europace*. 2008;10:988-97.

11. Wit AL, Janse MJ. Reperfusion arrhythmias. In Wit AL, Janse MJ (eds.): The Ventricular Arrhythmias of Ischemia and Infarction: Electrophysiological Mechanisms. Mount Kisco, New York, 1993, pp 260-66.

12. Kaplinsky E, Ogawa S, Michelson EL, Dreifus LS. Instantaneous and delayed ventricular arrhythmias after reperfusion of acutely ischemic myocardium: evidence for multiple mechanisms. *Circulation* 1981;63:333-40.

13. Ferrier GR, Moffat MP, Lukas A. Possible mechanisms of ventricular arrhythmias elicited by ischemia followed by reperfusion. *Circulation Res* 1985;56:184-194.

14. Rosen MR, Fisch C, Hoffmann BF. Can accelerated atrioventricular junctional escape rhythms be explained by delayed afterdepolarizations? *Am J Cardiol* 1980;45:1272-1284.

15. Remmelink M, Sjauw KD, Piek JJ, de Winter RJ, Baan J Jr. Effects of left ventricular unloading on reperfusion-related AIVR in acute myocardial infarction. *Neth Heart J.* 2009; 17:73-4.

16. Ilia R, Amit G, Cafri C, Gilutz H, bu-Ful A, Weinstein JM, Yaroslavtsev S, Gueron M, Zahger D. Reperfusion arrhythmias during coronary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction predict ST-segment resolution. *Coron Artery Dis* 2003;14:439-441.

17. Majidi M, Kosinski AS, Al-Khatib SM, Lemmert ME, Smolders L, van Weert A, Reiber JH, Tzivoni D, Bär FW, Wellens HJ, Gorgels AP, Krucoff MW. Reperfusion ventricular arrhythmia 'bursts' predict larger infarctsize despite TIMI 3 flow restoration with primary angioplasty for anterior ST-elevation myocardial infarction.*Eur Heart J.* 2009;30:757-64.

18. Nishimura RA, Hayes DL, Holmes DR Jr, Tajik AJ. Mechanism of hemodynamic improvement by dual-chamber pacing for severe left ventricular dysfunction: an acute Doppler and catheterization hemodynamic study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1995;25:281-8.

19. Naito M, Dreifus LS, David D, Michelson EL, Mardelli TJ, Kmetzo JJ. Reevaluation of the role of atrial systole to cardiac hemodynamics: evidence for pulmonary venous regurgitation during abnormal atrioventricular sequencing. *Am Heart J.* 1983;105: 295-302.

20. Hamosh P, Cohn JN. Left ventricular function in acute myocardial infarction. *J Clin Invest*. 1971;50:523-33.

21. Alpert JS, Petersen P, Godtfredsen J. Atrial fibrillation: natural history, complications, and management. *Annu Rev Med.* 1988;39:41-52.

