A randomised study of dabigatran in elective percutaneous coronary intervention in stable coronary artery disease patients

Pascal Vranckx^{1,2}, MD; Freek W.A. Verheugt³, MD, PhD; Moniek P. de Maat⁴, PhD; Victor A.W.M. Ulmans⁵, MD; Evelyn Regar⁶, MD, PhD; Peter Smits⁷, MD, PhD; Jurrien M. ten Berg⁸, MD, PhD; Wietze Lindeboom², BSc; Russel L. Jones⁹, MSc; Jeffrey Friedman¹⁰, MD; Paul Reilly¹⁰, PhD; Frank W.G. Leebeek^{4*}, MD, PhD

1. Department of Cardiac Intensive Care & Interventional Cardiology, Hartcentrum, Hasselt, Belgium; 2. Cardialysis, Clinical Research Management & Core Laboratories, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; 3. Department of Cardiology, Onze Lieve Vrouw Gasthuis, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 4. Department of Haematology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; 5. Department of Cardiology, Medical Center Alkmaar, Alkmaar, The Netherlands; 6. Department of Interventional Cardiology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; 7. Department of Interventional Cardiology, Maasstadziekenhuis, location Zuider, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; 8. Department of Cardiology. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands; 9. TSTAT Boehringer Ingelheim Limited, Bracknell, United Kingdom; 10. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc., Ridgefield, CT, USA

This paper also includes accompanying supplementary data published at the following website: www.eurointervention.org

KEYWORDS

- angioplasty
- anticoagulation
- coronary artery
- disease
- thrombosis

Abstract

Aims: Patients receiving long-term anticoagulant treatment with dabigatran may need to undergo a percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). We studied markers of coagulation activation during elective PCI in patients using dabigatran in order to investigate whether coagulation activation upon balloon inflation and stenting is suppressed by dabigatran without additional heparin treatment.

Methods and results: This phase IIa, exploratory, multicentre, randomised, open-label study included 50 stable patients having an elective PCI. Patients on standard dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) were randomised (2:2:1) to either pre-procedural dabigatran 110 mg BID (n=19) or 150 mg BID (n=21), as compared to standard intraprocedural unfractionated heparin (UFH) (n=10). Following PCI, a significant increase in the levels of prothrombin fragment 1+2 (F1+2) in the combined dabigatran group was observed compared to the level just before the start of PCI (159.1 [1.4] pmol/l; geometric mean [gSD]). Levels at 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2 hrs after the start of PCI ranged from 193.5 (1.4) to 270.6 pmol/l (1.7); (p-value for paired analysis=0.015, 0.022, 0.2342, 0.0379, respectively). Also, thrombin-antithrombin (TAT) complexes were increased significantly in the combined dabigatran group compared to pre-PCI levels (4.2 [2.2] ug/l). Levels ranged from 5.2 (2.5) to 8.5 (2.3) (p=0.0497, 0.0343, 0.005 and 0.1628, respectively). In contrast, in the control group of patients treated with UFH, no increase was observed in F1+2 and TAT complexes during PCI. Five out of 40 (12.5%) patients required bail-out anticoagulation in the dabigatran group, of whom four experienced a procedural myocardial infarction (MI), versus one out of 10 in the UFH group, who had a stent thrombosis without MI prior to the study-PCI. One minor access-site bleeding occurred in the dabigatran group.

Conclusions: Dabigatran treatment (110 mg or 150 mg BID) may not provide sufficient anticoagulation during PCI. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00818753 EudraCT. No: 2007-007536-25.

Corresponding author: Department of Haematology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Gravendijkwal 230, 3015 CE Rotterdam, The Netherlands. E-mail: f.leebeek@erasmusmc.nl

© Europa Digital & Publishing 2013. All rights reserved.

DOI: 10.4244/EIJV8I9A162

Introduction

Patient populations at risk of venous or arterial thrombosis, including patients with atrial fibrillation (AF), often receive long-term anticoagulant treatment. Many of these patients suffer co-existing atherosclerotic coronary artery disease (CAD) and may be in need of an urgent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) during the treatment period¹⁻³.

During PCI more intensified anticoagulation may be needed to perform the procedure safely, because balloon inflation and stent placement can potentiate an existing prothrombotic state around lesion areas and lead to ischaemic complications⁴⁻⁷. The choice of the concomitant pharmacological environment is critical, as is the dosage of the drugs. The value of a periprocedural antithrombotic regimen depends on the balance between prevention of ischaemic and bleeding complications⁸.

Dabigatran etexilate, an orally available, potent, direct inhibitor of thrombin, given at a dose of 150 mg twice daily, is more effective than warfarin in the prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with AF³. Dabigatran etexilate has little interaction with food and drugs and can therefore be prescribed in a fixed dose without the requirement of frequent monitoring⁹. It is as yet unknown whether patients who are on stable long-term anticoagulation with dabigatran are adequately anticoagulated to perform a PCI procedure safely or need additional (unfractionated) heparin to suppress coagulation activation during the procedure.

The primary goal of this study was to investigate whether dabigatran treatment, mimicked by giving a short course of 110 mg or 150 mg BID dabigatran before the procedure, prior to standard dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT), adequately suppresses coagulation activation during elective PCI.

Methods

STUDY DESIGN AND POPULATION

The D-fine clinical trial is a phase IIa prospective, randomised, exploratory study conducted in four hospitals in The Netherlands. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00818753 EudraCT. Unique identifier No: 2007-007536-25. Patients were considered for the study if planned for a non-urgent PCI via femoral approach to treat symptomatic, obstructive CAD (demonstrated silent ischaemia, stable angina). The cardiac-specific troponin (cTn) at the time of the index PCI had to be below the 99th percentile of the upper reference limit (URL). Patients were excluded for lesion-specific conditions, if they were haemodynamically unstable or at increased bleeding risk (see Appendix for inclusion and exclusion criteria). Patients were followed for a maximum period of two weeks after the index PCI for this study.

An independent external safety physician (FV) was charged to monitor patient safety and advised the steering committee on potential issues that might have occurred during the conduct of the trial. Special emphasis was placed on capturing thrombotic intraprocedural complications such as abrupt vessel closure, no reflow, and formation of clots on or within the interventional equipment (i.e., catheters and wires). The trial was approved by the ethics committee at each participating institution, and all patients provided written informed consent.

RANDOMISATION AND STUDY TREATMENTS

Patients were randomly assigned through pre-prepared sealed envelopes, in an open-label fashion respecting a 2:2:1 ratio to either preprocedural dabigatran (Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim, Germany) 110 mg twice daily (n=19), dabigatran 150 mg twice daily (n=21) or intraprocedural unfractionated heparin (UFH) 70 IU per kilogram of body weight with subsequent boluses targeted to achieve an activated clotting time (ACT) of 250 to 300 (n=10). Dabigatran was started 24 hours before the index PCI for a total of three doses before PCI (respectively 24 hours, 12 hours and two hours before the index PCI). All patients were pre-treated with 75 to 325 mg aspirin, and clopidogrel (loading dose 300 mg) administered no less than 12 hours before the index PCI.

Given the overall low to medium-risk patient group included in this trial, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor (abciximab: bolus of 0.25 mg per kilogram followed by an infusion of 0.125 µg per kilogram per minute; maximum dose, 10 µg per minute, for a maximum of 12 hours) use was restricted to a bail-out situation, as defined below, in addition to an additional bolus of heparin (50 U/kg maximum 3,500 U). Coronary stenting with either bare metal or drugeluting stents, according to the choice of the physician, was the preferred method of PCI.

LABORATORY COAGULATION ASSAYS

The coagulation assay panel included the thrombin time performed with 10 units of thrombin (TT 10U, Thromboclotin: Siemens, Munich, Germany), prothrombin time (PT) and international normalised ratio (INR) (Tromborel S; Siemens), activated clotting time (ACT), activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT, TriniCLOT; Trinity Biotech, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland), fibrinogen according to Clauss (Thrombin Reagent; Siemens), D-dimer levels (AutoDimer; Biopool International, Ventura, CA, USA) and assays of thrombin formation: thrombin-antithrombin (TAT) complex (Enzygnost® TAT micro; Siemens), prothrombin fragment 1+2 (the activation peptide released from prothrombin during thrombin formation) (F1+2, Enzygnost[®] F1+2; Siemens). Dabigatran plasma levels were measured at screening (blank sample), just before second dose (12 hours before PCI), just before third dose (two hours before PCI), just before the index PCI (two hours post dose, around peak), six to eight hours post index PCI (eight to 10 hours post dose).

Blood samples for coagulation testing were collected in 3.2% trisodium citrate (0.105 M) using a vacutainer system (Becton Dickinson, Plymouth, UK). Blood samples for pharmacokinetic analysis were collected in K-EDTA (potassium ethylene-di-amine-tetra-acetic acid). All samples were centrifuged within 30 minutes after collection at 4°C for 10 minutes at 2,000 g. Platelet-poor plasma was prepared frozen in small pre-labelled cryo vials and frozen immediately at -80°C until processing. Shipment of the frozen

(-80°C) plasma samples from the clinical site to the central analytical laboratory was on dry ice. All coagulation testing was performed (in bath) at the Department of Haematology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. The dabigatran plasma levels were measured by Boehringer Ingelheim, Biberach, Germany.

CLINICAL OUTCOME MEASURES

We assessed the number of patients who needed bail-out anticoagulant treatment for ischaemic cardiac events and/or had clinical or angiographic signs of catheter-related thrombosis during the PCI procedure such as abrupt vessel closure, new thrombus with reduced flow, no reflow; or clot formation on or within the interventional equipment (i.e., guiding catheter, guidewire).

We also assessed the occurrence of major and clinically relevant non-major in-hospital bleeding events or up to three days (whichever came first). Major bleeding events, clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding events, and minor bleeding events were classified on the basis of the Randomised Evaluation of Long-Term Anticoagulation Therapy (RE-LY)³ and the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) scales¹⁰. The occurrence of other adverse events up to 14 days following the index PCI was captured. Myocardial infarction (MI) was defined according to the 2007 universal definition¹¹.

The protocol issued for performance of a standard 12-lead electrocardiogram (before PCI, six to eight hours post PCI and 18-24 hours post PCI, at discharge and during the seven to 14-day follow-up visit), collection of blood samples for the measurement of cardiac enzyme levels (before PCI; six to eight hours post PCI and 18-24 hours post PCI) and the collection of haemoglobin levels.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

For the comparison of coagulation parameters over time, analysis of repeated measures was performed. The repeat measures model of the log-transformed laboratory values contained treatment, visit (time) and visit interaction as fixed classification effects, along with the log-transformed baseline laboratory value and log baseline lab value by visit interaction as linear covariates. An unstructured covariance structure was used to model the within-patient errors. Baseline to pre-procedure changes and pre-procedure to intervals during procedure changes, in log-transformed F1+2 and TAT laboratory values, were assessed.

For laboratory values, the repeated measures model reported results in adjusted geometric means and in the comparison of the ratio of these means between the treatments. The ratio was accompanied by a confidence interval and p-value. Due to log transformations, ratios were presented to achieve normality in the skewed distribution on the original scale.

Clinical outcome data were analysed according to a modified intention-to-treat principle, whereby patients who did not receive any study drug were excluded from all analyses, as was pre-specified in the protocol. Differences between the treatments were expressed in odds ratios and their matching 95% confidence intervals. Interpolation of missing data was not conducted. Categorical outcomes were compared with the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Continuous variables were compared using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. ANOVA was used for between-group comparison of changes in F1+2 and TAT values; paired analysis of F1+2 and TAT lab values was assessed using the paired T-test.

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.2, by a dedicated statistician.

Results

PATIENT POPULATION AND TREATMENT ALLOCATION

A total of 53 patients were randomised between August 2009 and April 2010. Three patients in the dabigatran 110 mg dose group were excluded from the study: two had predefined major exclusion criteria (left main coronary artery disease, severe anaemia; both patients were excluded before the intake of any study medication) and one was not compliant to the intake of study medication. All other patients (n=50) completed the planned observation period.

Baseline demographics are presented in **Table 1**. Median age was 65 (25th and 75th percentiles, 59 and 71 years), and 68% were male. The cardiac-specific troponin measurement at baseline was below the upper reference limit in all patients. The median estimated

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of each treatment group.

	Dabigatran 110 mg (n=22)	Dabigatran 150 mg (n=21)	Dabigatran 110 or 150 mg (n=43)	Heparin (n=10)	
Age (yr), mean	65.5	63.0	64.3	67.0	
Male sex (%)	63.6	71.4	67.4	70.0	
BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)	27.8 (3.5)	27.4 (3.9)	27.6 (3.6)	29.6 (4.4)	
BSA (m²), mean (SD)	2.0 (0.2)	2.0 (0.2)	2.0 (0.2)	2.0 (0.2)	
Previous MI (%)	22.7	23.8	23.3	10.0	
Previous PCI (%)	36.4	33.3	34.9	60.0	
Previous CABG (%)	4.5	14.3	9.3	10.0	
Hypertension	63.6	71.4	67.4	70.0	
Diabetes mellitus	18.2	23.8	20.9	20.0	
Current smoker (%)	9.1	9.5	9.3	0.0	
Creatinine clearance Class ml per minute per 1.73 m² of BSA					
≥30 and <50 ml	3	0	3	0	
≥50 and <80 ml	2	4	6	3	
≥80 ml	14	17	31	7	
Unknown	3	0	3	0	
Silent ischaemia, n (%)	1 (4.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.3)	0 (0.0)	
Stable angina (%)	21 (95.5)	21 (100.0)	42 (97.7)	10 (100.0)	
Categorical variables are presented in absolute values and percent n (%) Non-ST-ACS:					

Categorical variables are presented in absolute values and percent n (%) Non-ST-ACS: non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome was defined as: unstable angina class I-III according to Braunwald classification with or without elevation of troponin I. Stable angina was defined according to the classification of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society. BMI: body mass index; BSA: body surface area; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; MI: myocardial infarction; CABG: coronary artery bypass grafting glomerular filtration fraction (eGFR) calculated by means of the Cockcroft-Gault formula was 95.4 ml/min (25^{th} and 75^{th} percentiles, 83.1 and 118.3 ml/min)¹². The details of the procedural characteristics are listed in **Online Table 1**. All patients undergoing PCI (n=50) were pre-treated with DAPT. Of the 59 attempted lesions, all were successfully dilated. Three patients had a pressure-derived fractional flow reserve >0.80 and the lesions were left untouched. Drug-eluting stents were used in all except one patient (98%) and two-vessel stenting was performed in nine (18%) cases.

COAGULATION LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS

A selection of coagulation parameters is presented in **Figure 1** and **Table 2**. The aPTT was significantly higher on heparin (p<0.0001 within the first two hours) as compared to the dabigatran groups. The APTT (seconds) increased from 28.8 (1.1) (geometric mean [gMean], standard deviation [gSD]) to a maximum of 51.8 (1.5 gSD) seconds at one hour following the start of PCI in the dabigatran group and an increase from 29.2 (1.1 gSD) to a maximum of 240 (1.0 gSD) seconds at one hour in the UFH group. A similar pattern was observed for ACT **(Table 2)**. The INR was hardly influenced by dabigatran and remained below 1.5. The thrombin time (TT10U, seconds) at baseline was not different

between the treatment groups. In the dabigatran group, the TT 10U increased from 13.3 (1.1 gSD) to 60 (1.0 gSD) seconds after one hour. Also, in the heparin group, the TT 10U increased from 14.6 (1.5 gSD) to 60 (1.0 gSD) seconds after an hour. During the interventional procedure a similar pattern was observed between dabigatran and UFH. However, after 18 hours, in the heparin group, the TT10U was normalised, whereas in the dabigatran group the TT10U was still slightly prolonged (23.8 [1.5 gSD] seconds).

The relationship between dabigatran plasma concentrations and the coagulation parameters (INR, TT10U, aPTT and ACT,) is presented in **Figure 2**, panels A-D. This includes all blood samples taken during the study. We observed a weak correlation between dabigatran plasma levels and INR ($r^2=0.4029$, p-value<0.0001) and ACT ($r^2=0.2981$, p-value=0.0104). No correlation was found with TT10U ($r^2=0.0270$, p-value=0.0818). The thrombin time could not be measured (>60 seconds, maximum) in most patients with high plasma concentrations of dabigatran (**Figure 2B**).

For the markers indicating coagulation activation, including F1+2 and TAT, there were no significant differences in pre-PCI values (Figure 1C-Figure 1D) (Table 2). However, a marked rise of both thrombin generation markers was observed in the dabigatrantreated patients as compared to the UFH group.



Figure 1. *Results of markers of coagulation related to the index PCI. A-D: markers of coagulation activation for dabigatran vs. heparin. A) aPTT: activated partial thromboplastin time; B) D-dimers; C) F1+2: prothrombin fragments 1 and 2; D) TAT: thrombin-antithrombin III*

		Pre PCI		During PCI			Post PCI		
		baseline	<2 hrs	0.5 hrs	1.0 hr	1.5 hrs	2.0 hrs	6-8 hrs	18-24 hrs
aPTTratio	D110 mg	1.0 (0.0)	1.3 (1.1)	1.6 (1.2)	1.6 (1.2)	1.5 (1.2)	1.4 (1.3)	1.4 (1.6)	1.0 (1.1)
	D150 mg	1.0 (0.0)	1.5 (1.2)	1.8 (1.3)	2.0 (1.7)	2.0 (1.7)	1.8 (1.9)	1.4 (1.5)	1.1 (1.2)
	Combined	1.0 (0.0)	1.4 (1.2)	1.7 (1.3)	1.8 (1.5)	1.7 (1.5)	1.6 (1.6)	1.4 (1.5)	1.1 (1.2)
	UFH	1.0 (0.0)	1.0 (0.0)	8.2 (1.1)	7.4 (1.4)	7.3 (1.2)	5.5 (1.5)	1.1 (1.2)	1.0 (1.0)
ACT*	D110 mg	ND	172.6 (1.2)	186.3 (1.5)	193.8 (1.2)	177.3 (1.4)	176.0 (1.3)	ND	ND
seconds	D150 mg	ND	163.3 (1.4)	187.6 (1.2)	211.7 (1.3)	191.6 (1.2)	190.0 (1.1)	ND	ND
	Combined	ND	168.1 (1.3)	187.0 (1.4)	203.2 (1.3)	184.1 (1.3)	182.2 (1.2)	ND	ND
	UFH	ND	147.0 (1.1)	187.3 (1.4)	243.6 (1.2)	199.4 (1.1)	177.0 (1.2)	ND	ND
INR	D110 mg	0.9 (1.1)	1.0 (1.1)	1.1 (1.2)	1.1 (1.1)	1.1 (1.2)	1.1 (1.1)	1.0 (1.1)	1.0 (1.1)
	D150 mg	1.0 (1.3)	1.1 (1.1)	1.3 (1.2)	1.2 (1.2)	1.2 (1.2)	1.2 (1.2)	1.1 (1.1)	1.0 (1.1)
	Combined	0.9 (1.3)	1.0 (1.1)	1.2 (1.2)	1.2 (1.2)	1.2 (1.2)	1.2 (1.2)	1.1 (1.1)	1.0 (1.1)
	UFH	1.0 (1.1)	1.0 (1.2)	1.5 (1.5)	1.3 (1.3)	1.3 (1.3)	1.3 (1.3)	1.0 (1.2)	0.9 (1.1)
TT 10U	D110 mg	13.6 (1.1)	38.8 (1.6)	56.4 (1.2)	52.9 (1.3)	53.6 (1.3)	55.2 (1.2)	42.5 (1.6)	22.5 (1.6)
seconds	D150mg	13.1 (1.1)	59.0 (1.1)	60.0 (1.0)	60.0 (1.0)	60.0 (1.0)	60.0 (1.0)	50.3 (1.4)	25.0 (1.5)
	Combined	13.3 (1.1)	48.4 (1.5)	58.3 (1.1)	56.6 (1.2)	56.8 (1.2)	57.6 (1.1)	46.5 (1.5)	23.8 (1.5)
	UFH	14.6 (1.5)	14.6 (1.5)	60.0 (1.0)	60.0 (1.0)	60.0 (1.0)	60.0 (1.0)	20.7 (2.0)	15.2 (1.6)
F1+2	D110 mg	203.6 (1.4)	166.7 (1.3)	217.7 (1.5)	212.7 (1.7)	211.3 (3.4)	278.7 (1.7)	196.1 (1.5)	214.9 (1.8)
	D150 mg	209.8 (1.4)	152.7 (1.4)	174.5 (1.3)	245.3 (1.6)	248.5 (1.4)	263.2 (1.7)	191.8 (1.5)	269.3 (1.8)
	Combined	207.0 (1.7)	159.1 (1.4)	193.5 (1.4)	229.7 (1.6)	229.6 (2.4)	270.6 (1.7)	193.8 (1.5)	242.8 (1.8)
	UFH	190.2 (1.6)	190.2 (1.6)	138.1 (1.4)	160.5 (1.5)	147.3 (1.5)	179.0 (2.1)	242.1 (2.1)	246.8 (1.5)
TAT	D110 mg	3.7 (2.0)	4.8 (2.3)	6.0 (2.7)	7.9 (2.1)	11.6 (2.4)	10.4 (2.0)	5.5 (2.2)	6.4 (2.5)
	D150 mg	2.9 (1.9)	3.7 (2.2)	4.6 (2.4)	6.6 (2.2)	6.2 (2.1)	7.0 (2.6)	4.0 (2.7)	7.7 (3.0)
	Combined	3.2 (1.9)	4.2 (2.2)	5.2 (2.5)	7.2 (2.2)	8.4 (2.4)	8.5 (2.3)	4.6 (2.5)	7.1 (2.7)
	UFH	3.9 (2.0)	4.1 (2.1)	3.2 (1.7)	4.4 (1.8)	3.3 (1.8)	4.5 (3.8)	5.4 (2.5)	6.8 (2.9)
Fibrin	D110 mg	3.7 (1.2)	3.5 (0.9)	3.1 (1.2)	3.0 (1.2)	3.2 (1.2)	3.1 (1.2)	3.2 (1.2)	3.8 (1.2)
	D150 mg	3.6 (1.1)	3.6 (1.1)	3.4 (0.6)	2.88 (1.3)	3.0 (1.2)	3.1 (1.2)	3.1 (1.2)	3.6 (1.2)
	Combined	3.7 (1.2)	3.5 (0.7)	2.9 (1.3)	3.0 (1.2)	3.1 (1.2)	3.1 (1.2)	3.2 (1.2)	3.7 (1.2)
	UFH	2.5 (1.8)	2.8 (1.0)	2.1 (2.1)	2.2 (2.0)	2.4 (1.9)	2.4 (2.0)	2.5 (2.0)	2.8 (2.0)
D-dimers	D110 mg	0.08 (0.05)	0.08 (0.13)	0.07 (0.06)	0.06 (0.07)	0.06 (0.04)	0.11 (0.20)	0.06 (0.05)	0.09 (0.06)
	D150 mg	0.20 (0.50)	0.09 (0.06)	0.07 (0.05)	0.08 (0.05)	0.08 (0.05)	0.08 (0.05)	0.08 (0.05)	0.09 (0.05)
	Combined	0.14 (0.37)	0.09 (0.10)	0.07 (0.05)	0.07 (0.06)	0.07 (0.06)	0.09 (0.14)	0.07 (0.05)	0.09 (0.05)
	UFH	0.14 (0.10)	0.14 (0.10)	0.09 (0.05)	0.11 (0.08)	0.11 (0.06)	0.11 (0.05)	0.09 (0.07)	0.29 (0.47)

D110 mg: dabigatran 110 mg; D150 mg: dabigatran 150 mg; Combined: dabigatran 110 mg or 150 mg; UFH: unfractionated heparin; ND: not done; F1+2: prothrombin fragments 1+2; TAT: thrombin-antithrombin III complexes. * First measurement at the time of the PCI providing "optimal" study medication.

Following PCI, a significant increase in the levels of prothrombin fragment 1+2 (F1+2) in the combined dabigatran group was observed compared to the level at the start of PCI (159.1 [1.4] pmol/l; geometric mean [gSD]). Levels at 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2 hours after the start of PCI ranged from 193.5 (1.4) to 270.6 pmol/l (1.7) (p-value for paired analysis =0.015, 0.022, 0.2342, 0.0379, respectively). Also thrombin-antithrombin (TAT) complexes were increased significantly in the combined dabigatran group compared to pre-PCI levels (4.2 [2.2] ug/l). Levels ranged from 5.2 (2.5) to 8.5 (2.3) (p=0.0497, 0.0343, 0.005 and 0.1628, respectively). In the control group of patients treated with UFH, no increase was observed in F1+2 and TAT complexes during PCI.

D-dimer levels were similar between the dabigatran and UFH groups and were not greatly increased during and after PCI.

PHARMACOKINETIC MEASUREMENTS

The dabigatran plasma concentrations within two hours prior to PCI ("trough": 10-16 h post second dose and immediately before third dose) were 36.9 ng/ml (gMean) (45.1%gCV) for the 110 mg dose group and 64.2 ng/ml (44.0%gCV) for the 150 mg dose group. The levels remained within the same range at the time of PCI ("around peak": one to three hours post third dose) (98.4 ng/ml, 89.9% gCV; 161 ng/ml, 65.2% gCV, respectively) and six to eight



Figure 2. Relationship between dabigatran plasma concentrations and INR, TT 10U, aPTT and ACT. A-D: relationship between dabigatran plasma concentrations and the result of INR, TT10U, aPTT and ACT. A) INR: international normalised ratio; B) TT 10U: thrombin time (thrombin concentration 10 IU/ml); C) aPTT: activated partial thromboplastin time; D) ACT: activated clotting time

hours following PCI (36.6 ng/ml, 84.9% gCV; 63.1 ng/ml, 57.5% gCV, respectively). In patients with mild renal impairment (eGFR 30-80 ml/min) (5/43, 12%) dabigatran trough levels were approximately 42% higher (0.669 ng/mL/mg vs. 0.470 ng/mL/mg) and peak concentrations approximately 60% (1.86 ng/mL/mg vs. 1.16 ng/mL/mg) higher than in patients with an eGFR \geq 80 ml/min.

CLINICAL OUTCOMES

The overall proportion of patients who required rescue anticoagulant medication and/or had clinical signs of catheter-related thrombosis did not differ significantly between groups (odds ratio 1.25, 95% CI: 0.128-12.252) **(Table 3)**. Two patients in the dabigatran group experienced a procedural flow-limiting thrombus formation imposing bail-out anticoagulation: one of these was a case of procedural stent thrombosis while an intravascular ultrasound examination was being performed. Additional (to the primary outcome) major adverse cardiac events up to 14 days occurred in two patients in the dabigatran groups (all were periprocedural myocardial infarction), versus none in the UFH group. One patient in the dabigatran 110 mg dose group developed new symptoms consistent with myocardial ischaemia after the patient left the catheterisation suite, but within 12 hours after the index PCI: a periprocedural MI was diagnosed. There were no deaths. For a full description of the individual patient narratives see **Online Table 2**. Major and clinically relevant bleeding events did not occur. The overall incidence of treatment-related (non-thrombosis or haemostasis) adverse events was similar in both treatment groups: 34.1% in the combined dabigatran group, 30.0% in the UFH group and of mild intensity. None led to discontinuation of the study drug (data not shown).

Discussion

The D-fine study is the first to investigate whether pre-procedural dabigatran provides sufficient anticoagulant effect to obviate the need for adjunctive heparin in case of PCI. Our results suggest that a short course of dabigatran, plus standard DAPT, but without concomitant intraprocedural UFH, prior to an elective PCI was insufficient to suppress coagulation activation during balloon inflation and stent expansion as compared to intraprocedural UFH.

Patients on oral anticoagulants in need of (an urgent) PCI represent a clinical conundrum, as management guidelines for revascularisation are based on clinical trials that have largely excluded patients who receive long-term anticoagulation therapy. Dabigatran etexilate is a new-generation oral direct thrombin inhibitor that decreases thromboembolic events in patients with atrial fibrillation^{3,13-14}. The pharmacokinetic profile of dabigatran etexilate is characterised by maximum plasma concentrations at approximately

Table 3. The need for rescue medication an	d/or clinical signs of catheter-related thror	nbosis during the PCI procedure.

	Dabigatran 110 mg n (%)	Dabigatran 150 mg n (%)	Dabigatran 110 or 150 mg n (%)	Heparin n (%)
Total number of patients in analysis set	19 (100)	21 (100)	40 (100)	10 (100)
Bailout AC therapy and/or catheter-related thrombosis during the procedure*	2 (11)	3 (14)	5 (13)	1 (10)
Odds ratio (95% CI) vs. heparin	1.00 (0.08, 12.76)	1.50 (0.13, 16.82)	1.25 (0.13, 12.25)	
Bailout antithrombotic therapy				
UFH	0	3 (14)	3 (8)	0
GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor	1 (5)	2 (10)	3 (8)	1 (10)
Abrupt vessel closure, new thrombus with reduced flow, or no-reflow	2 (11)	1 (5)	3 (8)	0
Myocardial infarction				
Periprocedural	2 (11)	3 (14)	5 (13)	0
Procedural	1 (5)	3 (14)	4 (11)	0
AC: anticoagulation; UFH: unfractionated heparin; *Until removal of the guiding catheter and the patient left the catheterisation laboratory; GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor=abciximab				

two hours after oral administration, a bi-exponential distribution phase and a terminal half-life of about 11 hours in healthy volunteers above 65 years⁹. The total and peak exposure increase linearly and are dose-proportional after single and multiple oral dosing of the drug. Dose selection of dabigatran in this trial was based on phase II and III clinical trial data (i.e., RE-LY trial)^{15,16}. In our study three doses of dabigatran (110 or 150 mg) were given before the PCI. This resulted in trough and peak dabigatran plasma concentrations that were 43% and 10% lower, respectively, than the steady state concentrations achieved in the previously reported RE-LY trial³. The trough concentrations were closer to those encountered in the VTE treatment study¹⁷. These differences in pharmacokinetics may be explained both by the short treatment course and by differences in study populations, mainly driven by differences in estimated glomerular filtration^{3,9}.

In this mechanistic study the main focus was the periprocedural results of coagulation tests and levels of markers of coagulation activation in both the UFH and dabigatran-treated patients. The differential increase of levels of F1+2 and TAT complexes, which are both markers of thrombin generation, was significantly higher in patients treated with dabigatran than in those treated with UFH. This may have been caused by the fact that UFH inhibits the coagulation system not only via thrombin, but also via factor Xa and, to a lesser extent, also via other coagulation factors. Both dabigatran dosing groups had elevated TAT complex values as compared to UFH, suggesting more coagulation activation than in the heparin group. This seemed to be dabigatran dose-dependent, since patients treated with dabigatran 110 mg BID had F1+2 and TAT values that were even more increased than in those treated with dabigatran 150 mg BID (**Figure 1**).

Although our study was not powered to detect a difference in clinical outcome measures between UFH and dabigatran, the number of adverse events at 13% of patients is higher than that seen in general

practice and in previous studies with other anticoagulants and may raise some concerns^{18,19}. It is important to mention the recent report by Uchino et al who reported a 30% increased incidence of AMI in patients treated with dabigatran compared to vitamin K antagonists in a meta-analysis of all major dabigatran trials for various indications²⁰.

The reported laboratory data, coupled with the cases of flowlimiting thrombus in the dabigatran groups, indicate that the differences between UFH and dabigatran with regard to the attenuation of coagulation activation may be clinically relevant. Whether higher doses of dabigatran etexilate, with or without an additional bolus of UFH, could support PCI remains a subject for further investigation. Pending further data, and analogous with the OASIS-5 trial and the HORIZONS-AMI trial, one may consider an additional bolus of heparin in patients in need of urgent PCI while being anticoagulated with dabigatran^{21,22}.

Study limitations

This study has several limitations. First, the limitations of an open-label study design should be acknowledged: only the dabigatran dose was blinded. However, the laboratory technicians were not aware of the treatment the individual patients had received. This study was limited to elective PCI in stable patients. This consists of up to 60% of patients in routine PCI practice²³. Patients with more complex lesion morphology and on-going myocardial ischaemia may be at higher risk of periprocedural thrombotic complications (complex lesion morphology, STEMI) and in need of even more intense periprocedural anticoagulation^{4,5}. As indicated earlier, the D-fine study was only exploratory by design. D-fine was not powered to show a difference in clinical or angiographic thrombotic complications among its three arms. Overall, the trial is too small to draw any conclusion regarding differences in clinical outcome. A definitive non-inferiority study versus UFH in an all-comers PCI setting will require a considerable number of patients and may not be achievable.

In conclusion, in the setting of PCI, a short course of dabigatran prior to the procedure, without intraprocedural heparin, may not be sufficient to suppress coagulation activation compared to intraprocedural unfractionated heparin.

Acknowledgements

The authors recognise the valuable input of K. Metzman, PhD (pharmacokinetic data analysis and interpretation), L.M.C. van Campen, MD (source verification of the data) and P. Gobbels (statistical data analysis). We thank G.A. Van Es for his critical revision of the intellectual content of the manuscript. We thank the study staff at the participating centres for their efforts in collecting the data and the patients who volunteered to participate in the study.

Funding

The members of the steering committee, including the representatives of the sponsor, were responsible for the design and conduct of the study and the writing of the paper. The sponsor was responsible for collection and source verification of the data, with oversight by an independent clinical events committee. Analyses were performed by the sponsor and were verified by an independent statistician, Wietze Lindeboom.

Conflict of interest statement

J. Friedman, P. Reilly, and R. L. Jones are employees of Boehringer Ingelheim. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Prandoni P, Bilora F, Marchiori A, Bernardi E, Petrobelli F, Lensing AW, Prins PH, Girolami A. An association between atherosclerosis and venous thrombosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348: 1435-41.

2. Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, Eikelboom J, Oldgren J, Parekh A, Pogue J, Reilly P, Themeles E, Varrone J, Wang S, Alings M, Xavier D, Zhu J, Diaz R, Lewis BS, Darius D, Diener HC, Joyner CD, Wallentin L, and the RE-LY Steering Committee and Investigators. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361:1139-51.

3. Sørensen HT, Horvath-Puho E, Pedersen L, Baron JA, Prandoni P. Venous thromboembolism and subsequent hospitalization due to acute arterial cardiovascular events: a 20-year cohort study. *Lancet.* 2007;370:1773-9.

4. Silber S, Albertsson P, Aviles FF, Camici PG, Colombo A, Hamm C, Jorgensen E, Marco J, Nordrehaug JE, Ruzyllo W, Urban P, Stone GW, Wijns W, for the Task Force for Percutaneous Coronary Interventions of the European Society of Cardiology. Guidelines for percutaneous coronary interventions. The Task Force for Percutaneous Coronary Interventions of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J.* 2005;26:804-47.

5. King SB III, Smith SC Jr, Hirshfeld JW Jr, Jacobs AK, Morrison DA, Williams DO, Feldman TE, Kern MJ, O'Neill WW, Schaff HV, Whitlow PL, Adams CD, Anderson JL, Buller CE, Creager MA, Ettinger SM, Halperin JL, Hunt SA, Krumholz HM, Kushner FG, Lytle BW, Nishimura R, Page RL, Riegel B, Tarkington LG, Yancy CW. 2007 Focused Update of the ACC/ AHA/SCAI 2005 Guideline Update for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: 2007 Writing Group to Review New Evidence and Update the ACC/AHA/SCAI 2005 Guideline Update for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, Writing on Behalf of the 2005 Writing Committee. *Circulation*. 2008;117:261-95.

6. Davi G, Patrono C. Platelet activation and atherothrombosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2007;357:2482-94.

7. Mann KG. Thrombin formation. Chest. 2003;124:4S-10S.

8. Ndrepepa G, Berger PB, Mehilli J, Seyfarth M, Neumann FJ, Schömig A, Kastrati A. Periprocedural bleeding and 1-year outcome after percutaneous coronary interventions appropriateness of including bleeding as a component of a quadruple end point. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008;51:690-7.

9. Stangier J. Clinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the oral direct thrombin inhibitor dabigatran etexilate. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2008;47:285-95.

10. Rao AK, Pratt C, Berke A, Jaffe A, Ockene I, Schreiber TL, Bell WR, Knatterud G, Robertson TL, Terrin ML. Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) Trial-phase I: hemorrhagic manifestations and changes in plasma fibrinogen and the fibrinolytic system in patients treated with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator and streptokinase. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1988;11:1-11.

11. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, White HD Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/ WHF Task Force for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction. *Eur Heart J.* 2007;28:2525-38.

12. Stevens LA, Coresh J, Greene T, Levey AS. Assessing kidney function -- measured and estimated glomerular filtration rate. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;354:2473-83.

13. Wallentin L, Yusuf S, Ezekowitz MD, Alings M, Flather M, Franzosi MG, Pais P, Dans A, Eikelboom J, Oldgren J, Pogue J, Reilly PA, Yang S, Connolly SJ; RE-LY investigators. Efficacy and safety of dabigatran compared with warfarin at different levels of international normalised ratio control for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: an analysis of the RE-LY trial. *Lancet.* 2010;376:975-83.

14. Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, Reilly P, Wallentin L; Randomized Evaluation of Long-Term Anticoagulation Therapy Investigators. Newly identified events in the RE-LY trial. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;363:1875-6.

15. Ezekowitz MD, Reilly PA, Nehmiz G, Simmers TA, Nagarakanti R, Parcham-Azad K, Pedersen KE, Lionetti DA, Stangier J, Wallentin L. Dabigatran with or without concomitant aspirin compared with warfarin alone in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (PETRO Study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;100:1419-26.

16. Ezekowitz MD, Connolly SJ, Parekh A, Reilly PA, Varrone J, Wang S, Oldgren J, Themeles E, Wallentin L, Yusuf S. Rationale and design of RE-LY: randomized evaluation of long-term anticoagulant therapy, warfarin, compared with dabigatran. *Am Heart J.* 2009;157:805-10.

17. Schulman S, Kearon C, Kakkar AK, Mismetti P, Schellong S, Eriksson H, Baanstra D, Schnee J, Goldhaber SZ; RE-COVER Study Group. Dabigatran versus warfarin in the treatment of acute venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361:2342-52.

18. Lincoff AM, Bittl JA, Harrington RA, Feit F, Kleiman NS, Jackman JD, Sarembock IJ, Cohen DJ, Spriggs D, Ebrahimi R, Keren G, Carr J, Cohen EA, Betriu A, Desmet W, Kereiakes DJ, Rutsch W, Wilcox RG, de Feyter PJ, Vahanian A, Topol EJ; REPLACE-2 Investigators. Bivalirudin and provisional glycoprotein IIb/IIIa blockade compared with heparin and planned glycoprotein IIb/IIIa blockade during percutaneous coronary intervention: REPLACE-2 randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2003;289: 853-63.

19. Stone GW, McLaurin BT, Cox DA, Bertrand ME, Lincoff AM, Moses JW, White HD, Pocock SJ, Ware JH, Feit F, Colombo A, Aylward PE, Cequier AR, Darius H, Desmet W, Ebrahimi R, Hamon M, Rasmussen LH, Rupprecht HJ, Hoekstra J, Mehran R, Ohman EM; ACUITY Investigators. Bivalirudin for patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355:2203-16.

20. Uchino K, Hernandez AV. Dabigatran association with higher risk of acute coronary events: meta-analysis of noninferiority rand-omized controlled trials. *Arch Intern Med.* 2012;172:397-402.

21. Fifth Organization to Assess Strategies in Acute Ischemic Syndromes Investigators, Yusuf S, Mehta SR, Chrolavicius S, Afzal R, Pogue J, Granger CB, Budaj A, Peters RG, Bassand JP, Wallentin L, Joyner C, Fox KA. Comparison of fondaparinux and enoxaparin in acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;354:1464-76.

22. Stone GW, Witzenbichler B, Guagliumi G, Peruga JZ, Brodie BR, Dudek D, Kornowski R, Hartmann F, Gersh BJ, Pocock SJ, Dangas G, Wong SC, Kirtane AJ, Parise H, Mehran R; HORIZONS-AMI Trial Investigators. Bivalirudin during primary PCI in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:2218-30.

23. Windecker S, Serruys PW, Wandel S, Buszman P, Trznadel S, Linke A, Lenk K, Ischinger T, Klauss V, Eberli F, Corti R, Wijns W, Morice MC, di Mario C, Davies S, van Geuns RJ, Eerdmans P, van Es GA, Meier B, Jüni P. Biolimus-eluting stent with biodegradable polymer versus sirolimus-eluting stent with durable polymer for coronary revascularisation (LEADERS): a randomised non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2008;372:1163-73.

Online data supplement

Appendix. Patients included in this study.Table 1. Procedural characteristics.Table 2. Clinical outcomes, patient narratives.

Online data supplement

Appendix

PATIENT SELECTION

INCLUSION CRITERIA:

Patients were eligible for the D-fine study if they were:

- 1. between 18 and 85 years of age inclusive
- 2. due to undergo an elective (non-urgent) PCI on one or multiple lesions in the native coronary vessel(s) via a femoral approach
- 3. if they provided informed consent.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA:

Patients were excluded from the D-fine study if they:

- 1. were not a candidate for PCI or due to lesion-specific conditions:
 - a. left main disease
 - b. chronic total occlusions
 - c. bifurcation lesions, with haemodynamic important side branch*
 - d. three-vessel disease requiring treatment of more than two lesions (no staging is allowed)
- 2. had to undergo PCI for restenosis**
- 3. had haemodynamic instability
- had severe hypertension not adequately controlled by antihypertensive therapy at the screening visit (blood pressure >180/110 mmHg)
- 5. had significant mitral or aortic valve disease
- 6. had increased bleeding risk:
 - a. ischaemic stroke within the last year or history of any previous haemorrhagic stroke, or
 - b. intracranial aneurysm
 - c. recent (<1 month) trauma or major surgery (including bypass surgery) in the last three months
 - d. symptomatic or endoscopically documented ulcer disease in the previous 30 days
 - e. active or recent (<3 months) major, minor TIMI-definition bleeding
 - f. impaired haemostasis: thrombocytopenia (platelet count ${<}100{*}109)\, or$ active bleeding disorder
 - g. anticoagulant (heparin, coumarin) use; international normalised ratio >1.5
 - h. use of oral non-steroidal inflammatory drugs (NSAID) within 12 hours prior to PCI
 - i. past or present bleeding disorder (including congenital bleeding disorders such as von Willebrand's disease or haemophilia;

acquired bleeding disorders; and unexplained clinically significant bleeding disorders)

- j. thrombolytic therapy within 24 hours preceding randomisation
- had severe renal impairment (e.g., known creatinine clearance <30 mL/min (GFR - assessed by the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease [MDRD] study equation) or clinical markers of severe renal impairment) [R02-2429] [R02-2529]
- had known liver disease (e.g., known high concentrations of liver enzymes [more than twice the ULN])
- 9. were pre-menopausal (last menstruation <1 year prior to screening) sexually active women who: were pregnant or nursing or were not surgically sterile or were of child-bearing potential and not practicing an acceptable method of birth control (acceptable methods included intrauterine devices [IUD], oral, implantable or injectable contraceptives, double barrier or vasectomised partner). A pregnancy test indicated pregnancy in a woman of child-bearing potential at screening.</p>
- 10. had been treated with other investigational drugs or devices within 30 days before enrolment or
- 11. had planned use of investigational drugs or devices during the study.
- 12. were using quinidine
- 13. had a known allergy, hypersensitivity or contraindication to clopidogrel, aspirin
- 14. were unable to give informed consent or had a high likelihood of being unavailable for follow-up:
 - a. inability or unwillingness to perform 7 to 14-day follow-up
 - b. patients considered unreliable by the investigator concerning the requirements for follow-up during the study and/or compliance with study drug administration or had any condition which, in the opinion of the investigator, would not allow safe participation in the study (e.g., drug addiction, alcohol abuse).

PROTOCOL AMENDMENT 17/11/2009:

- * Simple bifurcation lesions (Medina class: 1,0,0, 0,1,0, 1,0,1 and angle between the distal main vessel and the side branch >70°), with a minimal diameter of the side branch of >2.0 mm by visual estimate. (In all cases of side branch stenting the operator is required to attempt a "kissing balloon" dilatation at the end of the procedure).
- ** PCI for diffuse restenosis (focal in-stent restenosis can be treated in this study)

Online Table 1. Procedural characteristics*.

	Dabigatran 110 mg (n=22)	Dabigatran 150 mg (n=21)	Dabigatran 110 or 150 mg (n=43)	Heparin (n:10)	
Lesions treated, mean (SD)	1.2 (0.4)	1.4 (0.5)	1.3 (0.5)	1.3 (0.5)	
Target vessel, n (%)					
LAD	8 (42.1)	9 (42.9)	17 (42.5)	4 (40.0)	
CFX	6 (31.6)	9 (42.9)	15 (37.5)	1 (10.0)	
RCA	6 (31.6)	6 (28.6)	12 (30.0)	6 (60.0)	
2-vessel PCI, n (%)	2 (10.5)	5 (23.8)	7 (17.5)	2 (20.0)	
Number of stents used, n (%)					
0	1 (5.3)	3 (14.3)	4 (10.0)	1 (10.0)	
1	13 (68.4)	9 (42.9)	22 (55.0)	4 (40.0)	
2	1 (5.3)	2 (9.5)	3 (7.5)	5 (50.0)	
>2	4 (21.1)	7 (33.3)	11 (27.5)	0 (0.0)	
Total stent length (mm) (gMean)	21.7	29.2	25.5	27.4	
Procedure time (min) (mean)	36.7	56.9	46.8	46.1	
LAD: left anterior descending coronary artery; CFX: circumflex coronary artery; RCA: right coronary artery; *as reported by the investigator					

Online Table 2. Patient narratives.

	Study group	Event type	Case scenario			
1	UFH	RE-LY minor bleeding	69-year-old female patient. Access site bleeding, no drop in haemoglobin. No transfusion required. No additional treatment required. The patient has recovered.			
2	UFH	Flow-limiting thrombus	63-year-old female patient. Target vessel was a severe in-stent restenosis in segment 2. During the PCI phase, a flow-reducing thrombus was seen at the first contrast injection, before any wire insertion. The patient experienced no chest pain and no ST-T changes were seen. Cardiac enzymes: CK and CK-MB were not elevated. cTn peak 0.05 ug/l (URL:<0.03 ug/L). The event has been treated with thrombus aspiration and rescue medication: GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor. The patient has recovered.			
3	Dabigatran 110 mg	Flow-limiting thrombus and procedural myocardial infarction	69-year-old female patient. During PCI phase, a thrombus with reduced flow in segment 2 was seen. The patient experienced chest pain with (on the monitor) ST elevations. CK peak was 145 U/I (URL:<170 U/I) and cTn peak was 0.11 ug/I (URL:<0.03 ug/L). The event was treated with rescue medication: UFH and GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor. The patient has recovered.			
4	Dabigatran 150 mg	Flow-limiting thrombus and procedural myocardial infarction	69-year-old male patient. The patient was treated by PCI and stenting for a lesion (segment 13) in the CFX coronary artery. Following the index study procedure an IVUS examination towards the LAD was performed. During the IVUS procedure a large thrombus appeared in the LAD, the left main and proximal CFX coronary artery (procedural stent thrombosis). The patient experienced chest pain with ST-segment elevations on the ECG. There was an increase in cardiac biomarkers: CK peak 428 IU/L (URL:<200U/L), CK-MB peak 81.5 U/I (<24 U/L) and cTn peak 1.57 ug/l (URL:<0.03 ug/L). The event was treated with rescue medication: UFH and GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor An additional stent was deployed in segment 6. The patient has recovered.			
5	Dabigatran 110 mg	Periprocedural myocardial infarction and rescue therapy.	63-year-old male patient. Clinical signs and symptoms consistent with myocardial ischaemia after leaving the catheterisation suite but within 12 hours post PCI. There was an increase in cardiac biomarkers: CK peak 999IU/L (URL:<200U/L), CK-MB peak: 93 ug/L (<7.6 ug/L) and cTn peak 2.13 ug/l (URL:<0.03 ug/L). The event was treated with rescue medication: GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor; atropine and nitroglycerine. The patient has recovered.			
6	Dabigatran 150 mg	Procedural myocardial infarction	64-year-old male patient. During the PCI phase the patient experienced no chest pain but showed abnormalities on the ECG. The PCI target segment was 15 mm in segment 6. Cardiac enzymes increased: cTn peak 0.23 ug/l (URL:<0.03 ugram/L), CK peak 215 U/l (URL:<200U/L) and CK-MB peak 12 U/l (<24 U/L). No rescue medication was given. The patient has recovered.			
7	Dabigatran 150 mg	Procedural myocardial infarction	62-year-old male patient. Index procedure complicated with spiral dissection. The patient experienced chest pain with (on the ECG) Q-waves and ST-elevation. There was an increase in cardiac biomarkers: CK peak 1,339 IU/I (URL:<200 U/L) and CK-MB peak 144 U/I (<24 U/L) and CTn peak 2.76 ug/I (URL:<0.03 ug/L). The event was treated with rescue medication: UFH and GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor; and multiple additional stents. The DSMB/CEC judged the lesions were complex and not suitable for the trial. The patient has recovered.			
cTn:	cTn: cardiac troponin; UFH: unfractionated heparin; IVUS: intravascular ultrasound					